

Office of Evaluation

# Assistance to Populations Affected by the Côte d'Ivoire Protracted Crisis

# PRRO 10672.0

## **Final Evaluation Report**

October 2009

ROM/2009/012

Prepared by:

Giorgio V Bradolini Raphael Girod Mathias Rwehera Daniela del Giudice Food Security Expert, Team Leader Health and Nutrition Expert Education Expert Data Analyst (Contribution)

Commissioned by the Office of Evaluation of the World Food Programme

## Acknowledgements

The Evaluation Team would like to acknowledge the support of World Food Programme (WFP) counterparts in the Ivory Coast, Government officials and representatives of United Nations (UN) agencies, multilateral organisations and NGOs dedicated time, and approached the interviews in a frank and constructive manner. WFP's Office in Côte d'Ivoire contributed time and effort, particularly during the organisation of the field study, collating documentation and data. The Bangladesh office made every effort to accommodate the Evaluation Team, from the commitment of senior management to the many hours of support provided by the Monitoring and Evaluation unit and programme personnel.

## Disclaimer

The opinions expressed are those of the Evaluation Team, and do not necessarily reflect those of the World Food Programme. Responsibility for the opinions expressed in this report rests solely with the authors. Publication of this document does not imply endorsement by WFP of the opinions expressed.

The designation employed and the presentation of material in the maps do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of WFP concerning the legal or constitutional status of any country, territory or sea area, or concerning the delimitation of frontiers.

## **Evaluation Management**

Evaluation Manager: Director, Office of Evaluation: Alain Cordeil Caroline Heider

### **Fact Sheet**

| Fact Sheet                | Accientary    | nonulation of      | tad by the Off 17      | ino magazine 1 . 1 . 1 |                |
|---------------------------|---------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| Title of the Operation    |               |                    | ted by the Côte d'Ivo  | orre protracted cris   | 18             |
| Operation Number          | PRRO 10672    |                    |                        |                        |                |
| Approval Date             | 01.07.2007 -  |                    | P4                     | C. 1                   | 1. 6 1 1       |
| Operation objectives      |               |                    | lity and household     |                        |                |
|                           |               |                    | nan and productive a   |                        |                |
|                           | and sen-rena  | lice of vulnerable | people affected by th  | e crisis in Cole d     | Ivone          |
| <b>Operation specs</b>    | Start Date    | End Date           | Beneficiaries          | Metric tons            | Budget         |
| Operation specs           | Start Date    | Ellu Date          | Denenciaries           | Wietric tons           | (US\$)         |
| Design at the start       | 1.7.2007      | 31.12.2008         | 981,000                | 47,256                 | 41,239,517     |
| Design at the time of the | 1.7.2007      | 31.08.2009         | 1,302,865              | 47,256                 | 79,658,506     |
| evaluation                | 1.7.2007      | 51.00.2007         | 1,502,005              | +7,250                 | 77,050,500     |
| evaluation                |               |                    |                        |                        |                |
| Planned food aid          |               |                    | Beneficiaries          | Metric tons            | Food value     |
| activities                |               |                    | Denenciaries           | With tons              | (US\$)         |
| General emergency         |               |                    | 17,000                 | 1,274                  |                |
| distribution              |               |                    | 17,000                 | 1,271                  | 724,599        |
| Food for Work             |               |                    | 35,000                 | 7,878                  | 2,045,865      |
| Food for Training         |               |                    | 20,000                 | ,,070                  | 292,266        |
| Supplementary feeding     |               |                    | 6,000                  | 808                    | 272,200        |
| Caretakers of Children in |               |                    | 4,000                  | 000                    |                |
| TFC                       |               |                    | 4,000                  |                        | 292,266        |
| Mother and Child Health   |               |                    | 10,000                 | -                      |                |
| PLWH in families          |               |                    | 33,000                 | 7,664                  |                |
| OVC in families           |               |                    | 15,000                 | 1,290                  | 2,338,131      |
| Emergency School          |               |                    | 580,000                | 19,001                 |                |
| Feeding                   |               |                    | 500,000                | 17,001                 |                |
| Food for social workers   |               |                    | 18,000                 | 1,091                  | 9,060,258      |
| Take home rations for     |               |                    | 243,000                | 8,250                  | ,,000,250      |
| girls                     |               |                    | 245,000                | 0,250                  |                |
| Total                     |               |                    | 981,000                | 47,256                 | 14,753,385     |
| Government                | Ministry of   | Education: Direc   | tion nationale des     |                        |                |
| Government                |               |                    | e nutrition, Agence    |                        |                |
|                           |               | y of Agriculture   |                        | F                      |                |
| NGO                       | Care, Caritas | <i>,8</i>          |                        |                        |                |
| Bilateral                 |               |                    |                        |                        |                |
| Multilateral              | ONUCL UNI     | CEF, FAO, UNO      | CHA, UNAIDS, ION       | Л                      |                |
| Main Donors               | African devel |                    | ,,                     | -                      |                |
|                           | European cor  |                    |                        |                        |                |
|                           | Greece        |                    |                        |                        |                |
|                           | Japan         |                    |                        |                        |                |
|                           | Korea, Reput  | olic of            |                        |                        |                |
|                           | Netherlands   |                    |                        |                        |                |
|                           | Private donor | ·c                 |                        |                        |                |
|                           |               | 0                  |                        |                        |                |
|                           | Switzerland   |                    | · · ·                  |                        |                |
|                           | UN CERF Co    | ommon funds and    | agencies               |                        |                |
| Other ongoing WFP         | 10720.0 Spec  | cial Operation Cô  | te d'Ivoire 10720.0    | - Repairing of r       | ural roads and |
| Operations                |               |                    | ort of PRRO 10672.     |                        |                |
| *                         |               |                    | Air Passenger Ser      |                        | Africa Coastal |
|                           |               |                    | a, Liberia & Sierra Le |                        |                |
|                           |               |                    |                        |                        |                |

## **Table of Contents**

| Executive summary  | 1  |
|--|----|
| 1 Background   | 5  |
| 1.A Context  | 5  |
| 1.B Description of the Operation                                 | 7  |
| 1.C Evaluation features  | 12 |
| 2 Findings   | 16 |
| 2.A Operation design: relevance and appropriateness              |    |
| 2.A.1 Objectives of the Operation                                |    |
| 2.A.2 Internal coherence   |    |
| 2.A.3 External coherence   |    |
| 2.A.4 Project design   |    |
| 2.A.5 Appropriateness  |    |
| 2.B Outputs and implementation processes: elements of efficiency | 23 |
| 2.B.1 Levels of outputs  |    |
| 2.B.2 Channels of delivery                                       |    |
| 2.B.5 Internal institutional arrangements                        |    |
| 2.B.6 Cost and funding of the Operation                          |    |
| 2.B.7 Cost efficiency  |    |
| 2.C Results  |    |
| 2.C.1 Effectiveness  |    |
| 2.C.2 Connectedness  | 44 |
| 2.D Cross cutting issues   |    |
| 2.D.1 Gender   |    |
| 2.D.2 Partnership  |    |
| 2.D.3 Protection   |    |
| 3 Conclusions and recommendations                                | 47 |
| 3.A Overall assessment   | 47 |
| 3.B Key issues for the future                                    |    |
| 3.C Recommendations  |    |

| nexes  | 5  |
|--|----|
| 1. TOR   |    |
| 2. Bibliography  |    |
| 3. Methodology / Evaluation matrix   | 7  |
| 4. Country office staff consistency and repartition                                | 78 |
| 5. Rations composition (2007 – 2008)   | 79 |
| 6. Beneficiaries by sub-office (mt), Jan to Decr 2008                              |    |
| 7. Food distribution Jan to Dec 2007 (PRRO 20276.0 and PRRO 20672.0)               |    |
| 9. Beneficiaries and food distribution, Jan to Dec 2008                            | 8  |
| 10. Food distribution by sub-office (mt), Jan to Dec 2008                          |    |
| 11. Food distribution individual amount by sub-office (Kg/person), Jan to Dec 2008 |    |
| 12. Vocational training OVC beneficiaries  |    |
| 14. Beneficiaries of the Therapeutic feeding activity, Jan - Dec 2008              |    |
| 15. Beneficiaries of the MCH activity, Jan to Dec 2008                             |    |
| 17. PLWH beneficiaries Jan to Dec 2008   | 85 |
| 20. Pupils of the 14 surveyed schools  | 88 |
| 21. Training events provided by the Project to the partners                        | 91 |
| 22. Cooperating partners   |    |
| 23. Questionnaire  | 93 |
| 24. Pre mission plan of visits (November 2008)                                     | 97 |
| 25. Evaluation plan of Field visit (January 2009)                                  | 98 |
| 26. Chronogramme   | 99 |
| 27. List of contacts   |    |
| 28. Côte d'Ivoire map  |    |
| 29. Original Logical framework   |    |
| 30. Revised Logical framework  |    |

#### Tables in the text

| 1  | Project interventions  | 7  |
|----|--|----|
| 2  | Field visits   | 8  |
| 3  | WFP strategic objectives   | 13 |
| 4  | Realignment of the Project activities under the Strategic plan 2008-2011 | 14 |
| 5  | Food distribution by activity  | 19 |
| 6  | Individual amount of food distributed by sub-office                      | 21 |
| 7  | Rates of achievement of the Project                                      | 21 |
| 8  | Planned duration of food assistance by beneficiary category              | 25 |
| 9  | Number of assisted schools and pupils by zone and provider               | 26 |
| 10 | WFP sub-offices in Côte d'Ivoire   | 29 |
| 11 | Project allocations by source  | 32 |
| 12 | Project expenditure at 31.12.2008  | 32 |
| 13 | Performance of beneficiaries of the nutrition activities                 | 34 |
| 14 | Changes in enrolments in the sample of schools                           | 37 |
| 15 | Girls enrolment (%) by grade and zone                                    | 28 |

#### **Figures in text**

| 1 | HIV data management capacities of the Project management unit             | 31 |
|---|---|----|
| 2 | Weight evolution of PLWH after 6 months of food distribution, Care Bouaké | 35 |

#### **Executive summary**

Background. The *World food programme* (WFP) has been present in Côte d'Ivoire since 1989, mainly in support of the national *School feeding programme*. WFP operations were scaled up, in order to match the emergency food needs of the population affected by the 2002-2003 conflict. Since then, WFP run three *Emergency Operations* (EMOPs) and a regional *Protracted relief and recovery operation* (PRRO), followed by the PRRO 10672.0. As a result of the protracted crisis, food insecurity and malnutrition persist: in the 5 Northern regions 4 percent severe and 17.5 percent global acute malnutrition rates were recorded by a recent survey (SMART, 2008).

**Context.** WFP undertook the *Protracted relief and recovery operation 10672.0* (PRRO 10672.0, the Project under evaluation), in order to alleviate food insecurity. This project was planned for a period of 18 months (1 July 2007 - 31 December 2008), with a total cost of US\$ 41,239,517 for WFP, a food tonnage of 47,256 mt (US\$ 14,753,385) and 981,000 beneficiaries. In November 2008 the Project was extended to 31 August 2009 and the budget increased to US\$ 79,658,506.

**Description of the operation**. The PRRO has concentrated on the following activities: *Strategic objective 1 (SO1) Relief*, comprising two activities:

a) General emergency distribution to *Internally displaced people* (IDP) in camps, till the closure of the camps in June 2008;

b) General emergency distribution to resettled IDPs during the lean season.

Strategic objective 2 (SO2) Food for recovery:

a) Food for work (FFW): Rehabilitation of rural infrastructure

b) Food for training (FFT).

*Strategic objective 3 (SO3) Nutrition and Human Immunodeficiency Virus/Acquired Immuno Deficiency Syndrome (HIV/AIDS) interventions:* 

a) Nutrition: support to moderately malnourished children in *Supplementary Feeding Centres* (SFC), to caretakers of severely malnourished children in *Therapeutic Feeding Centres* (TFC) and to pregnant and lactating women in *Maternal and children health* (MCH) centres. b) Human Immune-deficiency Virus/Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome (HIV/AIDS): delivery of HIV prevention and nutrition rehabilitation assistance to *People living with HIV and AIDS* (PLWH), Prevention of Mother to Child Transmission (PMTCT) and Orphan and other Vulnerable Children (OVC).

Strategic objective 4 (SO4): Food for education (FFE), the largest component of the Project:

a) Support to the national School feeding programme, as a way to encourage primary school enrolment, by delivering food rations to pupils and voluntary teachers.b) Accessory activities in support of the School feeding program (de-worming of pupils, HIV awareness raising)

**Evaluation features.** This evaluation covers the initially planned PRRO implementation period: 1 July 2007 - December 2008. The evaluation included the assessment of the Project documents, field visits to corroborate recorded data, together with sample data collection, interview and discussion with Project staff, partners and beneficiaries in 42 locations. The

Summary report presented to the WFP *Executive Board* for consideration will be available to stakeholders.

**Relevance and appropriateness.** The Project immediate objectives coincided with WFP Strategic objectives and matched the food security approach of the Côte d'Ivoire Government *Poverty reduction strategy paper* (2008), even if at the time of the PRRO no such planning tools existed. The Project design was aligned to the WFP Contingency plan. A WFP *Country strategy*, needed to cope with the improved situation in Côte d'Ivoire and new orientation of the Government and Donors, had not been developed yet. Emergency assistance is shifting now from *centre stage* to move a support role of the development process. The Project Logical framework included a limited and quantitatively imprecise set of indicators. The Project Monitoring and evaluation unit recorded a considerable amount of data, although approximate. Such information was shared in a limited way with the Implementing partners. Therefore, local partners contribution to the re-planning of activities was secondary. The Project funding of the operation and shipment of food was lower than planned, possibly due to a change in perspective of most donors' strategy. In fact, Donors have refocused their priorities from relief to development.

**Results**. In response to the reduced availability of resources and increase in fuel and food prices, some activities were downsized or interrupted. A smaller number of food rations per recipient was distributed, in order to keep in line with the planned number of beneficiaries.. From January to December 2008, the acme of the Project, a grand total of 706,505 *recipients* were assisted (100 percent of planned). *Beneficiaries*, i.e. recipients plus their families members entitled to their share of the family rations, were 792,294 (81 percent). Pupils assisted by the school canteens accounted for 94 percent of the beneficiaries. From July 2007 to December 2008, food distribution reached 24,633 mt, vs a planned 47,256 mt target. Other constraints to a full scale delivery of food included the higher than expected costs of food commodities and transport.

The Project was implemented in a flexible way, rescheduling activities to the effective availability of inputs. In curtailing Project operations, life saving activities were prioritised, with emphasis on the assistance to HIV affected people.

In order to maximise food aid impact, external partnerships were established, along the following priorities: (a) integration of food aid into national policies and the fight against poverty strategy, and (b) reliance on the proved skills of implementing partners in charge of the follow up of the beneficiaries. At the end of 2008, the Country office had received 61.8 percent of the resources planned in the Project document. Commodities and Direct support costs, the largest component of the budget, were those with the highest execution rate.

Pupils assisted through the School feeding programme were the largest group of beneficiaries (83.8 percent), followed by General emergency distribution (6.1 percent), HIV and AIDS (5.5 percent), Nutrition (2.9 percent), Food for work (1.4 percent) and Food for training (0.5 percent). The beneficiaries who received the higher amount of food per capita were those assisted under the HIV and AIDS component. The SO1-Relief activities number of recipients matched the original target, even if the effectiveness was hampered by the late delivery of food. Most SO2-Food for work interventions included the rehabilitation of farm to market roads and bridges, enabling development of agriculture in isolated areas. SO3-Nutrition activities were in part successful. The recovery rates for the SFC and TFC beneficiaries were positive, even if the repeated interruptions in the food delivery chain increased the recipients defaulter rate. SO3-HIV and AIDS: PLWH under treatment and receiving nutritional aid recorded a constant weight gain, with a peak after 5-6 months. Food aid to OVC through family rations enhanced the acceptance of the vulnerable children by host families and facilitated the enrolment of the recipients in vocational training courses.

SO4-Food for education. During 2006/2007, the Project provided food assistance to 3,013 primary schools: 1,951 (65 percent ) in the Northern regions and 1,062 in the Southern ones. The Project supplied 80-90 percent public schools canteens running North of the Green line (East to West divide between the Government and Force nouvelles zones), and less than 50 percent South of such demarcation. The number of pupils registered in the assisted schools averaged 630,000 in that year, with strong fluctuation across school terms.

Due to incomplete official data on enrolment, the Evaluation team concentrated its analysis on a sample of visited schools. The total enrolment grew by 19.3 percent between academic years 2006/2007 and 2007/2008 and by 13.6 percent between 2007/2008 and 2008/2009, well over the national average. The enrolment growth by gender shows no substantial difference in the effects on girls and boys. However the increase in registration into Grade 1 was decidedly higher for girls than for boys. The theoretical probability of a girl to reach the final primary grade improved from 43 percent to 75 percent, between 2006/2007 and 2007/2008. The project implementation contributed to improvements in girl pupil retention. Schools charge parents with several fees, school feeding included, a practice originating from the *National school canteens sustainability programme* and accepted by WFP, even though it represents an obstacle for some of the poorest children to attend school, in the absence of consistent support mechanisms. In order to render school feeding sustainable, the *Direction national des cantines* (DNC) promoted the establishment of women's economic groups, producing and supplying food to the School canteens, with mixed results.

**Overall assessment.** Available resources were uneven to fully match the broad set of objectives stated in the Project document. Project Indicators recorded mixed results. The number of assisted beneficiaries were near the Project goal, even if the level of achievement was unbalanced across activities. The Project now faces a development oriented context, without having clarified the change in the challenges under-laying its relevance. The lack of actions valorising the rehabilitated infrastructure limited the development benefits associated to such actions. Vocational training had a great appeal for war affected children and contributed to their reintegration in the job market. Vulnerable people' access to social services improved, even if nutrition activities were plagued by insufficient implementing partners skills and resources and low rate of food delivery. The food aid provided to malnourished children and, HIV affected people improved their access to higher integrated care and social services. The School feeding activities contributed to improve both boys and girls attendance rate and proportion of female pupils in the assisted schools.

**Key issues for the future**. Local procurement can contribute to contain the increased costs of commodities and transport, which hampered food procurement and delivery. High rates of food insecurity exist North of the Green line, where development is progressing at a slower rate than in the South. Therefore, demand for more effective food aid is expected. In view of the Project extension, a greater role of Implementing partners and beneficiaries in streamlining field activities is forecasted. Such an approach has to be based on greater local involvement in identifying and planning PRRO activities, which already occurs in the School canteens in the South.

#### **Key recommendations**

#### General recommendations

1. Adjust beneficiaries targeting to the new situation and design a development oriented *Country strategy*, coherent with the Government and Donors priorities.

2. Prioritise a smaller range of activities (SO3 and SO4), matching the existing Project human resources skills, improving efficiency there by improving technical competencies in coordination with implementing partners.

#### Lean season food aid

1. Define the intervention priorities for the resettlement of new vulnerable groups (IDPs), on integrated needs of local development in order to build conditions for sustainable food security.

#### Food for work

1. Address the infrastructure rehabilitation needs in the framework development plans, in order to build conditions for sustainable food security.

#### FFT in agriculture

1. Link the implementation of this activity to rural development strategies.

#### Nutrition

1. Adjust the geographical targeting, by concentrating on the higher malnutrition rate areas, based on detailed participatory needs assessment, by collaborating with local Health and nutrition centres. The criteria for the selection/discharge of beneficiaries should be implemented in a more stringent way.

#### HIV and AIDS: PLWH

1. Establish WFP Country HIV strategy, in order to reflect the present realities, experience and knowledge. Include in HIV activities the three components: care and treatment, mitigation and prevention, adjusting individual assistance on careful participatory needs assessments.

2. Ensure the participation of HIV affected people in the monitoring and exchange of information concerning their assistance

3. Contract a nutritionist with expertise in HIV, to supervise the nutrition components of HIV programming.

#### HIV and AIDS: OVC (FFT)

1. Food for training. Define the intervention priorities on the basis of a job market study, in order to increase the opportunities for trainees to find a job.

#### School feeding

1. Prioritise the Northern regions, those with higher malnutrition prevalence rates, for School feeding assistance. Match School feeding activities in the North, West and East regions, as in the South, by including the development of local planning, management and monitoring skills, along with conditions and opportunities existing locally.

2. Strengthen the DNC skills in planning, *Monitoring and Evaluation* (M&E) and logistics coordination.

3. Encourage the School Management committees, in collaboration with village authorities, to support specific cases of children unable to pay the School canteen fee, in order to avoid social exclusion.

4. Implement the *Take home rations for girls* activity in the Northern regions, for the advanced grades beneficiaries, starting with a pilot initiative.

### 1 Background

### **1.A Context**

1. **Country / regional situation overview.** In 1999 a coup broke Côte d'Ivoire stability. The Country split in 2 parts in September 2002: the Government controlling the Southern regions and a coalition of rebel groups (the *Forces Nouvelles*) the Northern ones. In between the buffer *Zone of confidence*, manned by UN and French interposition troops was created. In October 2005, the UN Security Council issued Resolution 1633, which outlined a map towards peace, through: *Disarmament, Demobilization and Reintegration* (DDR); identification of population and registration of voters in view of the elections; restoration of State authority; redeployment of the administration and public services; and, restructuring of the armed forces. On 1 November.2006 the Security Council adopted Resolution 1721, extending by a maximum of 12 months the transition period established in October 2005 under the previous Resolution 1633.

2. The *Zone of confidence* was dismantled in early 2007, as a result of the Ouagadougou Agreement. UN peacekeepers were redeployed to observation posts along the *Green line*, a median point inside the former *Zone of confidence*. Further steps outlined in the agreements included the rapid reviving and implementation of the DDR and identification process, the return of the administration to the North. Despite the recent political improvement, the long-term effects of the crisis, including widespread food insecurity are expected to persist. The security situation in the North is improving. Recent revivals of hostilities resulted in new Internally displaced people (IDPs) movements in the West, where return of previous IDPs are underway, albeit in a limited way.

3. With an average Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per capita of US\$ 866, half of the population is living under the poverty line, with 14.8 percent living below the extreme poverty line of US\$/day 1 per person. According to the 2006 United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) Human Development Report, Côte d'Ivoire is  $164^{th}$  out of 177 countries in the Human Development Index scale, in steady decline since 1980. As a corollary, the humanitarian situation has deteriorated over the years, adversely affecting IDPs and other vulnerable groups. Likewise the food insecurity level is high. The crisis has resulted in increasing poverty, from 38.2 percent of total population in 2002 before the conflict to an estimated 43.2 percent in 2006. On the assumption of a durable resolution of the sociopolitical conflict, real Gross domestic product growth is projected to gradually improve, after the stagnation of the 2000-2006. In 2007 it grew +1.8 percent, in 2008 +3.0 percent and in 2009 it is forecast to grow +4.5 percent.

4. The 2006 WFP/FAO joint *Emergency Food Security Assessment* (EFSA), surveyed 1,000 households in 10 out of 19 Country regions (Centre-North), recorded 9 percent of food insecure people and an additional 20 percent at risk. Most food insecure households were in the West. In the Western *Moyen Cavally* region, 43 percent of households were food insecure. High food insecurity rates were in the *Denguele* and *Bafing* regions. The Food Security Monitoring System also showed that a large proportion of households were at risk of food insecurity in North-Western regions of Man (40 percent) and Bouake (28 percent). The (United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees's UNHCR) *Rapid survey* (September 2008) recorded 78,867 IDPs in the Moyen Cavally region.

5. The **nutritional status** of vulnerable populations in Côte d'Ivoire has deteriorated since the onset of the crisis. According to United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) *Multi Indicator Cluster Survey* (MICS), countrywide global malnutrition prevalence decreased from 7.3

percent (2004) to 6.7 percent (2006) and severe malnutrition prevalence decreased from 1,5 percent to 1.1 percent in the same period. Although, the long standing effects of the crisis are reflected in the chronic malnutrition increase from 20.8 percent in 2004 to 32.9 percent in 2006 - plus 12.1 percent in two years -In 2006, in the North 13.6 percent of children underfive suffered from wasting. The WFP/UNICEF/PNN *Standardised Monitoring and Assessment of Relief and Transitions Survey* (SMART, 2008) recorded in the five Northern regions 4 percent severe and 17.5 percent global malnutrition rates (20.5 percent for boys and 14.7 percent for girls). In comparison, the same survey recorded 0.7 percent severe and 4.7 percent global acute malnutrition rates in three areas around Abidjan. Such values were higher than those recorded in the North in 2004 (*Enquête nutrition et mortalité*: 11.0 percent and 2.7 percent) and in 2006 (MICS: 12.0 percent and 2.0 percent).

6. The under-five death rate has increased from 117 per 100,000 live births (2004) to 125 per 100,000 live births (2005). Only a third of the births are attended by skilled personnel. In the same year, maternal death was 690 deaths for 100,000 live births. With a HIV prevalence rate of 3.9 percent (UNAIDS 2008) Côte d'Ivoire was the most affected country in Western Africa. HIV and AIDS was concentrated in urban areas. The deterioration of social and health conditions, due to the persisting crisis, was likely to contribute to the rise in HIV transmission.

7. The 2006-2010 *National strategic plan for HIV and AIDS* made provision for an expanded access of HIV positive patients to anti-retroviral therapy (ART). An estimated 190,000 people need ART, of which 50,000 were under treatment. WFP, in line with the Government policy, provides food support in conjunction with ART. Nevertheless, since the poorest may still not have access to drug therapy due to its cost (CFA 1,000 or two US\$ per month), some HIV-affected beneficiaries receive WFP food aid. The Ivorian Government has created a *National Nutrition Programme* (PNN), gradually taking over the existing nutrition interventions implemented by international medical and nutrition Non-Governmental Organization (NGO) projects.

8. The education sector. Following the breakout of the 2002 crisis, virtually all primary education institutions ceased operation in the Northern regions, almost all teachers fled the region. As a result all education systems in the North stopped operation for almost 2 years. In the Government zone, demand for education exceeded the reception capacities of educational structures, while in the non-Government zone, the educational system is still weak due to lack of accredited teachers and poor infrastructures. The rapid return of teachers and the Ministry of Education staff is revolving. According to the MICS study (2006), the net primary enrolment ratio was estimated at 55 percent (male 59 percent, female 51 percent) at the national level, but only between 26 percent and 31 percent in the North (Savanes) and North West (Bafing, Denguele, Worodougou) regions, which have the lowest national girls' enrolment rates (21-25 percent). Illiteracy rates peak at 85-90 percent in the Northern rural areas, where four women out of five are illiterate. The national School canteens programme, run by the Direction Nationale des Cantines Scolaires (DNC) of the Ministry of Education, is resuming its presence in the North. Most Government staff, who had worked there -(including teachers and health workers) - fled to the South during the civil war. Their return was hampered by insecurity and reluctance of volunteer teachers and health workers associated with the Forces Nouvelles to leave their positions.

9. **Key actors** present in the Côte d'Ivoire food security sector are: WFP, FAO, providing training, seeds and tools to farmers; the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) with a small scale agricultural seeds and tools distribution programme; international and local NGOs, implementing a range of agricultural, nutrition, education and HIV and AIDS programmes mainly in the West and North regions. The presence of a UN mission in Côte d'Ivoire (ONUCI) and the Special Representative of the Secretary General ensures overall high level coordination of field activities with the Government and with the *Forces Nouvelles*.

10. The UN Interagency coordination was at two different levels: the UN Country team and the Interagency Humanitarian Coordination Committee, led by the Humanitarian coordinator, with the assistance of Office for the Coordination of the Humanitarian Activities (OCHA). WFP bilateral coordination with FAO, UNICEF and UNHCR is reported to be excellent. Memorandum of understanding was signed with each Agency, and included concrete plans of action in the field of agriculture, nutrition, HIV, protection and education. WFP co-chairs jointly with FAO the Food security and nutrition theme group, participating actively also with Education, Protection and HIV theme groups. Constant coordination is ensured with Cooperating Partners and with the ICRC at national and regional level through regular meetings.

#### **1.B Description of the Operation**

11. WFP has been present in Côte d'Ivoire since 1989, mainly in support of the national *School canteens programme* (Project 03358). The beginning of the Ivorian crisis interrupted the phase-out plan of handing over the entire school feeding program to the Government by 2003. At the end of March 2004 Project 03358 was duly terminated and school feeding activities continued under emergency operation 10244.1. During and after the conflict, WFP scaled up its emergency food assistance, undertaking three Emergency operations (EMOPs) and the regional Protracted relief and Recovery Operation (PRRO) 10372.0, in support of displaced populations, Liberian refugees, farmers and vulnerable groups affected by the conflict and its social consequences. Food assistance was provided through:

- general food distribution to populations in transit to farmers during the lean season, coupled with seeds and tools distribution to refugee and IDP populations in camps;
- feeding malnourished and HIV-affected people
- food aid to children and volunteer teachers in primary schools, under an extensive school feeding programme.

12. WFP approved a *Protracted relief and recovery operation* (PRRO 10672.0) in order to help the recovery and transition to development throughout the country. The PRRO 10672.0 *Assistance to populations affected by the Cote d'Ivoire protracted crisis* was planned for a period of 18 months (1 July 2007 - 31 December 2008), with a total cost of US\$ 41,239,517 for WFP and with a food tonnage of 47,256 mt (US\$ 14,753,385). In November 2008 the Project was extended to 31 August 2009, with the budget raised to US\$ 79,658,506, and the target population to 1,302,865. The Project overall goal was to contribute to return, stability and household food security through food aid interventions that preserve human and productive assets while promoting recovery and self-reliance of vulnerable people affected by the crisis in Côte d'Ivoire. The PRRO 10672.0 was designed to assist one million people through 4 components: *Relief, Food for Recovery, Nutrition and HIV & AIDS Interventions* and *Food for Education*.

13. The Project *Immediate objectives* were grouped under WFP strategic objectives as follows:

*SO1. Relief*: Provide life-saving assistance through general food distributions to targeted beneficiaries are critically food-insecure. The role of food aid was to maintaining the nutritional status of the IDP and to decrease the food expenditure of the households.

*SO2. Food for recovery*: Protect livelihoods, support rehabilitation of productive assets and enhance resilience to shocks. Food aid role is encouraging the participation of the beneficiaries in community work activities.

SO3. Nutrition and HIV & AIDS Interventions: Support the improved nutrition and health status of children, pregnant and lactating women, People Living with HIV and AIDS (PLWH) and other vulnerable groups. Food aid role is improving the nutrition status of the women and children, maintaining the nutritional status of the HIV and AIDS affected people, and encouraging host families to shelter OVC and fostering OVC access to vocational training sessions.

SO4. Food for Education: Support access to primary education, and reduce gender disparity in access to education. Food aid role is increasing the enrolment and attendance rate of boys and girls in primary schools

14. Planned activities<sup>1</sup>:

SO1 Relief: (2 percent of beneficiaries and 4 percent of resources). It comprises two initiatives:

a) General emergency distribution to IDPs and returnee refugees in camps, in particular in the West of the country. This activity was implemented in a limited way and suspended, as the last IDPs camps were closed in June 2008.

b). General emergency distribution to resettled IDPs and returnee refugees during the lean season (three months).

15. SO2 Food For Recovery: (9 percent of total beneficiaries and 22 percent of total resources). The Project sustains the return of previously displaced populations by promoting self-sufficiency and asset creation through various agriculture-related FFW/FFT activities:

a) FFW - Food-For-Agriculture: Rehabilitation of secondary rural access roads, small bridges, irrigation systems and wells; the distribution of a WFP seed protection rations in complement to FAO seeds, tools and fertilizer. FFW projects are selected by WFP with a needs-based approach. Partners and communities in food insecure areas are encouraged to initiate FFW schemes. NGOs and village councils implement the activities. Food aid is provided to the selected communities through NGOs in charge of food distribution.

b) FFT - Literacy and Agriculture; such as functional literacy, food processing, food conservation techniques, marketing cash/food crop; and gender awareness. This activity was performed in a limited way and suspended, due to lack of resources.

16. SO3 Nutrition and HIV/AIDS interventions: (5 percent of beneficiaries and 16 percent of resources)

a) Nutrition – The Project supported supplementary feeding programmes in areas with high malnutrition rates in order to improve the nutritional status of acutely malnourished children under five years of age (through food rations distribution to their caretakers) and malnourished pregnant and lactating women. When cases of moderate acute malnutrition2 were diagnosed by nutritional/medical implementing partners, the Project provided take-home rations composed of Corn Soya Blend (CSB), vegetable oil and sugar in the health / nutrition centres for the malnourished children and their mothers. Nutrition rehabilitation programmes provided food through Supplementary feeding centres (SFC) and Therapeutic feeding centres (TFC) in mobile clinics or hospitals managed by local and international NGOs, the Ministry of Health and the PNN. The Project food aid is remitted to these Centres (SFCs and TFCs) and UNICEF ensure that therapeutic milk and BP-100 are provided and identifies needs for complementary items. Pregnant and lactating women beneficiaries receive food as take-home

<sup>1</sup> In the Project Logical framework and in this report, the SO1, SO2, SO3 and SO4 acronyms are used as fast reference to the Project Immediate objectives, in relation to their dependence from WFP Strategic objectives.

family rations for nine months, from the sixth month of pregnancy to the sixth month of the life of the infant.

b) HIV & AIDS – The Project delivers a package of HIV prevention (PMTCT) and nutrition rehabilitation adherence (PLWH) assistance to HIV-affected beneficiaries and MCH programmes. The Project also assists vulnerable people living with HIV and AIDS, selected by medical and food insecurity criteria, with a family ration for nine months, as well as host families of HIV orphans for a period of ten months. Take-home family rations contribute to an adequate dietary intake and serve as an income transfer that allows household budgets to include non-food expenditures. Activities such as awareness raising, risk reduction and prevention education by UN/NGO partners at food distribution sites have been incorporated. Assistance to pregnant and nursing HIV-positive mothers living in food insecure areas through Prevention of Mother-To-Child (PMTCT) programmes. *Orphan and vulnerable children* (OVC) are the last target of this component, assisted, on the basis of their food insecurity, through

- food aid to encourage the support of the host families and
- Vocational training courses, such as those dealt in SO2, but mostly related to urban jobs.

WFP and UNICEF collaborate with the *Programme National de Démobilisation*, *Désarmement et Réinsertion* and local and international NGOs in this sector. The food is provided to implementing partners who ensures food distribution to beneficiaries.

17. SO4: Food-for-Education (FFE): (85 percent of beneficiaries and 62 percent of resources)

- Food assistance was provided to increase enrolment and attendance rates, enhance retention and narrow enrolment gap between boys and girls, In order to achieve these objectives, hot meals were to be served to 580,000 children (27 percent of the 2,179,801 total enrolled children in 2006/2007), during the lunch break, on each school day of 2007/2008, i.e. the same number as with the earlier PRRO 10372.0. Food was to be provided, as an incentive, as a take-home, family ration to 3,600 volunteer teachers in the 2007-2008 academic years in the North where teachers were lacking.
- The Take home rations for girls (60,000) was not implemented, as rated a low priority in coping for lack of funding.
- In order to increase the coordination with UNICEF and other partners in support of the education system under the leadership of the national Government, the Project funded: (1) De-worming of pupils, (2) Construction and use of improved energy cooking stoves by schools, and (c) Delivery of HIV and AIDS awareness courses to pupils3.

FFE was the largest component of the PRRO. It was implemented by the *Direction nationale des cantines scolaires* (DNC).

18. The Project document included some imprecise data on beneficiaries. The overall target was set at the level of 1,006,000 beneficiaries (in fact: 981,000), while the beneficiaries break down, both in the text and in the technical annexes, differs, in part for confusion in the reckoning of individual *recipients* and family rations *beneficiaries*, in part for divergent activities clustering and beneficiaries numbers. This discrepancy was not restored during the Project implementation, this can be verified by reading the Project quarterly reports, whose beneficiaries numeric targets and classification differ from those of the Project document and during a period.

<sup>3</sup> These activities belong to the Essential package by WFP and UNICEF.

19. The evaluation team revised and discussed with the Country office the Logical framework (making explicit the hierarchy of the interventions) and consolidated a reference *Table of Project interventions*, by systematising and reconciling the Project document figures. Such Table summarises the targets and specifies both the number of individual recipients and of all the family members beneficiaries of food aid (family rations), therefore providing reliable data for checking and validating the Project implementation. This exercise was made necessary for the evaluability of the Project progress, as the sources of information about the implementation - mainly the Project database and the quarterly reports – was varied and presented conflicting data for assisted beneficiaries.

| Tobla | Dro     | 100t | intori | iontione |
|-------|---------|------|--------|----------|
|       | <br>FIU |      |        | ventions |
|       |         |      |        |          |

| Activity   | L | Intervention  | Status       |            |               | Assisted p | opulation  |               |         | Activity rate |                   |
|------------|---|---|--------------|------------|---------------|------------|------------|---------------|---------|---------------|-------------------|
|            |   |   |              |            | Planned       |            | Achieved   | ,             | % of    | planned       |                   |
|            |   |   |              | P          | eople         | Schools    | Ре         | eople         | Schools |               | sisted<br>ulation |
|            |   |   |              | Recipients | Beneficiaries |            | Recipients | Beneficiaries |         | Direct        | Family<br>members |
| SO1        |   |   |              | 17,000     | 17,000        | 0          | 9,635      | 48,177        | 0       | 57            | 283               |
| 1.1        | Α | General Emergency Distribution to IDPs in camps           | Discontinued |            |               |            |            |               |         |               |                   |
| 1.2        | Α | General Emergency Distribution to returnee IDPs           | Done         | 17,000     | 17,000        |            | 9,635      | 48,177        |         | 57            | 283               |
| SO2        |   |   |              | 11,500     | 55,000        | 0          | 3,063      | 15,327        | 0       | 34            | 29                |
| 2.1        | F | Food for work   | Done         | 7,300      | 35,000        |            | 2,269      | 11,345        |         | 31            | 32                |
| 2.2        | Ι | Food for training in agriculture                          | Done         | 4,200      | 20,000        |            | 794        | 3982          |         | 19            | 20                |
| <b>SO3</b> |   |   | <b>_</b>     | 31,400     | 68,000        | 0          | 32,551     | 66,508        | 0       | 104           | 98                |
| 3.1.1      | С | Supplementary feeding of moderately malnourished children | Done         | 6,000      | 6,000         |            | 9,434      | 9,434         |         | 157           | 157               |
| 3.1.2      | В | Caretakers of children in TFC                             | Done         | 4,000      | 4,000         |            | 4,002      | 4,002         |         | 100           | 100               |
| 3.1.3      | D | Mother and child health MCH                               | Done         | 10,000     | 10,000        |            | 9,685      | 9,685         |         | 97            | 97                |
| 3.2.1      | J | PLWH in families (Support to ART)                         | Done         | 8,400      | 33,000        |            | 5,366      | 26,830        |         | 69            | 83                |
| 3.2.2      | J | РМТСТ   | Done         |            |               |            | 463        | 463           |         |               |                   |
| 3.2.3      | Κ | OVC in families - FFT                                     | Done         | 3,000      | 15,000        |            | 3,601      | 16,094        |         | 120           | 107               |
| SO4        |   |   |              | 644,350    | 841,000       | 200        | 661,326    | 662,282       |         | 103           | 79                |
| 4.1.1      | Е | Emergency school feeding                                  | Done         | 580,000    | 580,000       |            | 661,087    | 661,087       |         | 114           | 114               |
| 4.1.2      | G | Benevolent teachers                                       | Done         | 3,600      | 18,000        |            | 239        | 1,195         |         | 7             | 7                 |
| 4.2        | Е | Take home rations for girls                               | Not done     | 60,750     | 243,000       |            |            |               |         |               |                   |
| 4.3        | Е | Increased coordination in support of the education system | Done         |            |               | 200        |            |               | 3,013   |               |                   |
| Total      |   |   |              | 704,250    | 981,000       | 200        | 706,575    | 792,294       | 3,013   | 100           | 81                |

Source: Project documents and database

#### **1.C Evaluation features**

20. The evaluation was conducted from November 2008 to January 2009, at the end of the initially planned Project implementation period, in order to provide recommendations for the extension of activities or the conversion of the operation into development projects. The rationale was to inform Executive Staff, Executive Board, Regional Bureau and Country Offices, as main users of the evaluation, of the results achieved by the PRRO. The evaluation was managed by the WFP *Office of Evaluation* (OEDE) at the request of the Regional Bureau and the Country Office.

21. **Scope of the evaluation**. The evaluation covered the period under which the Project had been implemented: 1 July 2007 to December 2008. The Evaluation team examined the PRRO activities throughout the North and South zones. All major partners were interviewed (Government, UN Agencies, Donors representatives, NGOs, beneficiaries associations, etc...) The analysis and assessment of the Project was conducted by using the criteria: relevance and appropriateness, coherence, efficiency, effectiveness and connectedness; and answered the evaluation matrix questions (Annex 3). The evaluation also covered cross-cutting issues such as gender, partnership and protection.

22. **Methodology.** *The evaluation* included: a pre-mission, comprehensive of desk phase, a full scale evaluation field visit, including elaboration and submission of the Evaluation report. The *Pre-mission* included the collection of information, clarification of the Terms of Reference (TOR) and Logical framework and development of an appropriate evaluation design, taking into consideration the scope of the evaluation and the *evaluability* of the Project.

The *Evaluation mission*\_included checking and completion of the data collected during the pre-mission, field visits, completion of data collection and discussion with the Country office.

23. During the pre-mission, criteria for identification of a section of intervention sites was established, in collaboration with the Country office and a calendar of field visits agreed. The selection criteria adopted in selecting the villages to be visited were:

- geographical and logistic, i.e. sampling of target villages in the areas served by each sub-office, along a continuous track from Abidjan, to Man and Guiglo, to Odienné, Korhogo, to Bouaké, to Abidjan. The respective regions were covered by field visits to villages located at maximum 3 hours from each region capital city.
- Thematic, i.e. sampling all the Strategic objectives present in each area served by each sub-office and assisted by different Implementing partners.
- Quantitative, i.e. covering a greater number of villages in the region with more Project activities,

| Regions       | Capital | Initiatives visited                     |             |             |             |       |  |
|---------------|---------|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------|--|
|               | city    | Per objective                           |             |             |             |       |  |
|               |         | Strategic Strategic Strategic Strategic |             |             |             | Total |  |
|               |         | objective 1                             | objective 2 | objective 3 | objective 4 |       |  |
| Lagunas       | Abidjan |   |             |             | 2           | 2     |  |
| 18 Montaignes | Man     |   | 2           | 2           | 3           | 7     |  |
| Moyen Cavally | Guiglo  | 1                                       | 2           | 2           | 2           | 7     |  |
| Denguélé      | Odienne | 1                                       | 1           | 4           | 2           | 8     |  |
| Savanes       | Korhogo | 1                                       |             | 4           | 4           | 9     |  |
| Vallée du     | Bouaké  |   |             | 6           | 2           | 8     |  |
| Bandama       |         |   |             |             |             |       |  |
| Total         |         | 3                                       | 5           | 18          | 15          | 42    |  |

Table 2. Field visits

24. Field visits were agreed, according to logistic and security issues prevailing at the time of the evaluation. In fact the Country Office and sub-offices were adequately equipped and liaised with authorities and Implementing partners in the field, thus allowing safe access to sites and flexible re-scheduling of the field visits, depending on changes in security phases. In the Evaluation matrix, indicators on qualitative aspects of the Project were integrated with numeric indicators of the progress of the activities.

The field visit took place at the beginning of 2009, while the Country Office was finalising data collection from the end of 2008, preparing the annual report of activities and elaborate new collaboration agreements with implementing partners. Some staff and partners were still on annual leave and unavailable for discussion with the evaluation team. The draft evaluation report was submitted to WFP headquarters 3 March , 2009, as planned.

25. **Data collection strategy and sources**. The Project implementation was routinely monitored in the field, using as a source of information the implementing partners and the WFP field monitoring assistants on a monthly basis. Such data was systematised in the Project database and used by the Country office (CO) to elaborate the Project quarterly reports.

The evaluation team collected data from numerous sources:

- *Recorded data*. The collection and analysis of documents included policies, programming documents, project documents, the standard project reports, etc.

- *Primary data*, including phone, face-to-face interviews and field visits to sample villages, with focus groups discussions. The evaluation team met the Country office and sub-office staff, Government officials, partners NGOs, UN agencies and other organizations.

Two questionnaires were issued through the sub-offices, in order to record (a) implementing partners' views of the Project monitoring system and (b) repartition of pupils by grade and sex in the schools surveyed by the Evaluation team. Interviews took place with key stakeholders, i.e. OMX and OED concerned units at WFP Headquarters.

26. Twenty-two PRRO implementing partners answered to the questionnaire on the appraisal of the technical components of the Project, dealing with topics such as: M&E training, use of the information system, contractual relationship, attainment of the results, risk appraisal and future collaboration. The questionnaires focused on the assessment of:

- the capabilities of the Project Management unit to manage data on Project implementation,
- the strength of the M&E system related to the SO3 component of the Project.

Two Focus-group discussions were conducted on HIV activities in the Bouaké region, to survey: behaviour change, perception of the Project and pertinence of monitored data. Four main inputs of food security were considered: (1) consumption of diverse food groups, (2) food shortage, (3) rations distribution frequency and (4) food expenditure.

27. Recorded data quality. The Project M&E system was reshaped at the beginning of 2008. As a result, a new database was established, including the raw data on which this evaluation was based. An improved Nutrition data collection system was established, in connection with the PNN. While the PRRO improved the quality of its monitoring system, the inappropriate choice of some Project indicators is still evident. For instance, no information exists on the use of acquired skills by trainees after the courses, measurement of lactating women indicators is lacking. Furthermore, school reconciliation of the net enrolment ratio of the Project depended on the DNC, whose data collection was difficult to access in remote areas, which were the more insecure, and possibly most needy regions of the North.

28. The renewed M&E database records data started in January 2008. Available information for the previous six months was cumulated with those of the regional PRRO 10372.0. The

information stored in this repository was strictly linked to the execution of the activities, providing raw data for the elaboration of parameters related to Outputs and Outcomes.

29. Data recording by implementing partners is also failing, as the PRRO has little resources to undertake data checking in the field. Figures recorded in the Project database are often inexact, apparently due to the absence of a proper quality control procedure. The M&E unit focuses on the execution of activities, while data on the activities impact was lacking. The participatory approach is apparently absent from the Project M&E methodology, fully relying on the partners' delivery of information and lacking a procedure for validating the aggregates, by sharing them with the Implementing partners.

30. The Country office collects systematically information on beneficiaries and activities of the Project, through the Implementing partners' network, and loads such data in an Excel database. Collected data was incomplete because:

- Government bodies were slowly recovering access to insecure areas during the Project implementation,
- each Project partner had a different methodology and timeframe for data collection, not always standardised within the Project methodology,
- access to Project partners in the field were subject to human threats and weather constraints.

31. The implementation oriented monitoring system resources were limited and, obviously did not provide data on the impact and sustainability of the interventions. Sometime the available data was not aligned with the Project indicators. In order to provide a picture on the reliability of collected data, a short presentation of the Project M&E system is provided hereafter.

32. The Evaluation team had cross-checked the recorded data of the activities with first-hand field observations. Some recorded data available in the Project database was biased, apparently due to poor data checks after data entry and lack of sharing and verification by the Implementing parties. Specifically:

- FFW activities data were fragmentary,
- Vocational training data about vulnerable children did not allow tracking the trainees progress after completion of the courses,
- Data provided by Implementing partners on nutritional status of assisted lactating and pregnant women were only partial,
- School enrolment data for the two country zones for academic years 2006/2007 and 2007/2008 showed a substantial decreasing trend, something contradicted by observation
- School enrolment data did not show the distribution of pupils by grade, something needed in order to assess the school retention rate, especially for girls;
- School enrolment data did not allow comparison between assisted and non assisted schools, except for the PRRO 10372.0 Project in the Southern regions, and allow limited comparison between different periods in assisted schools,
- Data on the number of rations received by each beneficiary were often incomplete.

33. **School feeding data sources** is a good example regarding the difficulty to establish a reliable database. Data tables obtained proved useless for a trend analysis. Recorded data show enrolments per school term in the Government zone for academic years 2006/2007 and 2007/2008, and in the Northern regions for 2007/2008 only. Thus, the change between the two years in the whole country can not be appraised. Even the Government zone recorded change is not credible: from a maximum enrolment of 1,925,176 in the second term in

2006/2007 to a maximum of only 753,290 in 2007/2008 (i.e., less than 40 percent of the previous year). Enrolments for the whole country in 2005/2006 and 2006/2007 show that the figure for 2006/2007 is identical to the figure given by UNESCO's Institute for Statistics (2,179,801), thus implying that it concerns the whole system, not only assisted schools, as implied by the sources.

34. The DNC Annual Report 2006-2007 shows that enrolment in Project assisted schools in the Government zone, for the academic year 2006/2007, fell in the second and the third term by more than 75 percent, while the attendance rates were respectively 95.6 percent, 97.0 percent and 97.4 percent in the three terms. This statement is self-contradictory, questioning the reliability of school data.

35. The Evaluation team analysed data gathered during the field visits in: four schools in the Government zone, nine schools in the Northern region (i.e., the area under the Forces nouvelles influence); for a total of seven regions out of 19 covered by the Project. A non assisted school was added to the sample in order to be able to compare enrolment rates between assisted and non-assisted schools

36. **Quality assurance.** This evaluation follows the new Evaluation Quality Assurance System (EQAS) set up by OEDE. The pre-mission and the final evaluation reports have been structured along the lines foreseen in EQAS. WFP has developed an *Evaluation quality assurance system* (EQAS) based on the UNEG norms and standards and good practice of the international evaluation community (Active Learning Network for Accountability and Performance [ALNAP] and DAC). It sets out process maps with in-built steps for quality assurance and templates for evaluation products. It also includes checklists for feedback on quality for each of the evaluation products including the Terms of Reference. EQAS methodology was systematically applied in the course of this evaluation and relevant documents were provided to the evaluation team. The evaluation was exposed to a quality assurance process that entailed internal review by OEDE (for quality check) and the creation of an internal stakeholders group, who provided an independent assessment of the quality of the evaluation.

37. The quality assurance process drew on the OEDE quality pro-forma which has been developed on the basis of those adopted by ALNAP and OECD/DAC. Concerning the quality of data and information, the evaluation team ensured systematic check on accuracy, consistency and validity of collected data and information. The evaluation team members had no stake in WFP activities undertaken or underway in Côte d'Ivoire or with partners of the implementation of the Project in the field. This situation ensured that no conflict of interest existed between the evaluation team members and the Project assessed.

## **2** Findings

### 2.A Operation design: relevance and appropriateness

#### 2.A.1 Objectives of the Operation

38. In recent years, FAO, UNICEF, OCHA and WFP performed vulnerability analyses of the Côte d'Ivoire population (cf. UNICEF MICS, WFP/FAO biannual Food security evaluations, etc.). The Project design and tuning of operations used the output of such studies.

39. The PRRO 10672.0 project was designed to alleviate food insecurity, by supporting the resettlement of IDPs, the rehabilitation of rural infrastructure and vocational training of war affected children, as well as by improving women and children access to health and education services. The Project objectives were relevant with the needs originally assessed: to use food aid in order to improve the nutritional status of the beneficiaries and to facilitate the recovery of the livelihood of food insecure people. At the time of the Project identification, policy documents were oriented to relief actions, a fact reflected in WFP 2006 *Contingency plan*. In fact insecurity and political uncertainty precluded then a different approach to field activities. Thus, the Project objectives included the recovery of Food insecure IDPs (SO1 and SO2) and the general support to the access to social services by vulnerable sectors of the population. Special emphasis was put on the role played by nutrition in the care and support of PLWH. Such design was appropriate to tackle the food aid needs existing at the time of the PRRO identification and corresponded to Government recovery priorities. Emergency assistance is shifting now from *centre stage* to move a support role of the development process.

40. Since then, the Country stabilization has shifted Government and Donors priorities from relief to development. The Government poverty reduction strategy paper (2008) is prioritising development initiatives and the Country office participates in UN agencies development plans formulation. The new context reduces the relevance of the objectives tackled by the Project. The appropriateness of actions such as General distributions, tackling immediate nutritional needs, has decreased. The evaluation results are an opportunity to start a replanning exercise, based on the recommendations in is report.

41. In 2008, the WFP/UNICEF/PNN SMART survey recorded much higher Global acute malnutrition rates in 5 Northern provinces (17.5 percent), than in areas around Abidjan (4.5 percent). Field surveys tried to identify areas of extreme malnutrition, with the support of SFC. A participatory approach could reduce the cost and improve the reliability of such exercises at the micro level. Structural inequalities between North and South might well be addressed in a development more than in a relief context. Beneficiaries and partner organizations are now able to generate income and/or to run social services. Food aid is no more an autonomous tool, but has to be aligned and integrated into local development strategies addressing food insecurity. Typically, the Project largest component (SO4: Food for education) was ranked very high in national policies, representing an obstacle to the return of public education services in the separatist areas. School feeding, supplied free of charge, fostered the access to primary education during the institutional crisis. This objective (included in the PRSP) has now to be aligned with national policies, such as the *National School canteens sustainability programme*, that is fostering sustainability by raising contributions to pay for School canteen costs.

42. Different food rations were designed for different activities (Annex 5), by considering the specific exigencies of each group of recipients. SO3 Nutrition and HIV activities recipients were provided with enriched food rations. Supplementary rations were used to treat moderately malnourished children. HIV affected people rations were designed in part based on food security and access to other sources of food as well as special nutritional needs for HIV affected households. The energetic level was about a third of food daily needs in the Emergency school feeding component, providing pupils with a lunch meal at the school canteen. All other used rations were designed to address the daily exigencies of the beneficiaries. Family rations were used to assist the SO1, SO2 and SO3-HIV and AIDS programmes and SO4-Food for social workers recipients.

#### **2.A.2 Internal coherence**

43. The Project's immediate objectives coincided with WFP Strategic objectives valid at the time of the Project identification. Each objective addresses a different need and sector of the population. The WFP's five *Strategic objectives* of the new Strategic plan (2008-2011) are in line with the 2004-2007 plan *Strategic priorities*, but with a change in emphasis. The coherence of the Project objectives with the new Strategic objectives is still high, even if specific activities have to be realigned, in order to be coherent with the new framework.

| Strateg | gic priorities (2004-2007)          | Strate | gic objectives (2008-2011)                   |
|---------|-------------------------------------|--------|--|
| SP1     | Save lives of war-affected          | SO1    | Save lives and protect livelihoods in        |
|         | populations who are critically food |        | emergencies                                  |
|         | insecure                            |        |  |
| -       |                                     | SO2    | Prevent acute hunger and invest in disaster  |
|         |                                     |        | preparedness and mitigation measures         |
| SP2     | Protect livelihoods, support        | SO3    | Restore and rebuild lives and livelihoods in |
|         | rehabilitation of productive assets |        | post-conflict, post-disaster or transition   |
|         | and enhance resilience to shocks    |        | situations                                   |
| SP3     | Support the improved nutrition and  | SO4    | Reduce chronic hunger and under-nutrition    |
|         | health status of children, women,   |        |  |
|         | PLWH and other vulnerable people    |        |  |
| SP4     | Support access to primary education |        |  |
|         | and reduce gender disparity in      |        |  |
|         | access to education                 |        |  |
| SP5     | Help Governments Establish and      | SO5    | Strengthen the capacities of countries to    |
|         | Manage National Food-Assistance     |        | reduce hunger, including through hand-over   |
|         | Programmes                          |        | strategies and local purchase                |

Table 3. WFP Strategic objectives

44. Accordingly, the activities underway have to be realigned along the new Strategic objectives as follows:

| Strateg | Strategic plan 2008-2011   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
|---------|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Strateg | ric objectives   | Goals  | Main tools  | project<br>Activity                     |  |  |  |
| SO1     | Save lives and<br>protect livelihoods in<br>emergencies  |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| SO2     | Prevent acute hunger<br>and invest in disaster<br>preparedness and<br>mitigation measures  | To support and strengthen<br>capacities of<br>Governments to prepare<br>for, assess and respond to<br>acute hunger arising from<br>disasters                 | <ul> <li>Vulnerability analysis<br/>and mapping</li> <li>Early warning products<br/>and tools</li> </ul>    | Food<br>security<br>assessment          |  |  |  |
| SO3     | Restore and rebuild<br>lives and livelihoods<br>in post-conflict,<br>post-disaster or<br>transition situations                       | To support the return of<br>refugees and IDPs<br>through food and<br>nutrition assistance  | - Food distribution<br>programmes that<br>facilitate re-<br>establishment of food<br>and nutrition security | GFD /<br>Lean<br>season<br>distribution |  |  |  |
|         |  | To support the re-<br>establishment of<br>livelihoods and food and<br>nutrition security of<br>communities and families<br>affected by shocks                | - Special operations to<br>rebuild essential hunger-<br>related infrastructure                              | FFW                                     |  |  |  |
| SO4     | Reduce chronic<br>hunger and under-<br>nutrition   | To help countries bring<br>under-nutrition below<br>critical levels and break<br>the intergenerational<br>cycle of chronic hunger                            | - Mother-and-child<br>health and nutrition<br>(MCHN) programmes   | SFC, TFC,<br>MCH                        |  |  |  |
|         |  | To increase levels of<br>education and basic<br>nutrition and health<br>through food and<br>nutrition assistance and<br>food and nutrition<br>security tools | <ul> <li>School feeding<br/>programmes</li> <li>Policy and<br/>programmatic advice</li> </ul>               | FFE, FFT                                |  |  |  |
|         |  | To meet the food and<br>nutrition needs of those<br>affected by HIV/AIDS,<br>tuberculosis and other<br>pandemics   | - Programmes addressing<br>and mitigating<br>HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis<br>and other pandemics                  | PLWH,<br>PMTCT,<br>OVC                  |  |  |  |
| SO5     | Strengthen the<br>capacities of<br>countries to reduce<br>hunger, including<br>through hand-over<br>strategies and local<br>purchase | To develop clear hand-<br>over strategies to enhance<br>nationally owned hunger<br>solutions   | - Hand-over of WFP<br>hunger tools  | (FFE)                                   |  |  |  |

Table 4. Realignment of the Project activities under the Strategic plan 2008-2011

45. WFP contingency plan for the Côte d'Ivoire (2006), considered two scenarios: (a) the recrudescence of the conflict and (b) the stabilisation of the situation, with humanitarian crises localised in pocket areas. The PRRO adhered to the latter scenario, thus playing a relevant part of the economic recovery effort.

46. The assessment of local partners expertise was performed in 2006; these exercise results were used in selecting Implementing agencies. Most retained partners had previously been

working with the regional PRRO 10372.0 and other WFP projects. This approach provided continuity in the identification of beneficiaries, especially in terms of geographical targeting. The implementation of the Special Operation Côte d'Ivoire (10720.0) *Rehabilitation of rural roads and bridges in Côte d'Ivoire in support of PRRO 10672.0* was complementary to the PRRO, thus strengthened the Project FFW component.

#### 2.A.3 External coherence

47. Food security was part of the Côte d'Ivoire Government *Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper* (PRSP, 2008), leveraging the recovery of economic production and increase of public services efficiency. The PRSP prioritises Peace consolidation, including resettlement of IDPs (Objective 1 of the PRSP), the Wealth and employment creation in rural areas (Objective 2) and the Access to basic social services (Objective 4). The Project Relief and Recovery components (SO1 and SO2) were coherent with the priorities of the Objective 1 of the *Poverty reduction strategy paper*, WFP participated to the formulation of In fact they assist IDPs in the transition from food insecurity to the creation of sustainable food and income assets. This long term approach is more effective in the South, where the security level is higher, public institutions continuity of action was not disrupted by the conflict and more resources exist. The PRSP encourages NGOs and the private sector in general to play an important role in supplying basic social services, where the public intervention is weaker, such as in the insecure and remote rural areas. The Project support to the rehabilitation of productive assets in post-conflict Côte d'Ivoire is coherent with the PRSP approach.

48. National indicators record a swift recovery of the Ivorian economy. Donors recognise that political stabilisation is accompanying the <u>going on along with</u> economic recovery and that the slow but constant progress of the peace process allows the implementation of long term initiatives; in short,: development is under way, even if at a different pace along the coast and inland. Donors mood and vision are in line with the Government appreciation of the existence of conditions for the deployment of public services and the implementation of development policies. Food aid is still considered as a relevant tool, provided that beneficiaries nutritional needs are strictly defined and food aid is delivered in line with food security strategies. Consultation with key donors (EC, US, France and Japan) showed that these major donors phased out relief operations and are now focusing on development actions.

49. The Project SO1 and SO2 components address the needs of IDPs, assisted in their resettlement, during and after the closing of IDPs camps. The concentration of these activities in the areas most affected by the conflict was coherent with the PRRO objective.

The SO3-Nutrition component provided nutritional relief to malnourished children and women and fostered their access to the services provided by the SFC, TFC and MCH centres, in line with the PRRO objective.

50. The SO3-HIV and AIDS component was by and large aligned with Government policies and national plans relating to HIV and AIDS and food security. The *Plan stratégique national de lutte contre le VIH/SIDA 2006-2010* indicated an integrative approach of food and nutritional assistance to support people infected with HIV or affected by AIDS, by setting nutritional support as one of its six priority areas. This National plan strategically tackles improvement of the nutrition and food security of PLWH and AIDS-affected people, together with the promotion of the access to fortified foods.

51. The Project has prioritised its interventions in food insecure areas that are also areas that have consistent HIV prevalence rates. The National plan targets such objectives through the provision of food and nutrition kits, food and seed distribution, food fortification and sensitisation and the dissemination of lessons learned in pilot experiences. The Project is in

line with this National strategic plan in providing tailored micronutrient fortified food (CSB and oil) rations to food insecure people infected with HIV or affected by AIDS. Since May 2009 a national nutrition HIV protocol is available, following development of the national nutrition and HIV guidance. WFP's mandate on nutritional assistance to HIV affected people was generally well understood by the partners. Detailed composition of the food rations is provided in Annex 5.

52. Within the UNAIDS Division of Labour, WFP has the lead role in *dietary and nutritional support* with WHO, UNICEF as its main partners. The Project HIV activities were hampered by the lack of national nutritionists working on HIV. The linkage of the Project sponsored ART activities with livelihoods, income generating activities and vocational training, a crucial element to the sustainability of support of HIV-infected and AIDS-affected beneficiaries, was limited. There were no resources to implement plans to link food aid with livelihood activities. This intervention was broadly coherent with the PRRO objective, even if its design did valorise in a limited way local skills.

53. The SO4 (School feeding) component of the Project is aligned with the national education policies, and specifically with the implementation of the *National school canteens sustainability programme*. The improvement of the Education authorities access to rural areas is one of the major achievements of the rehabilitation of public services countrywide. Such progress is changing the priorities of the School feeding component, relying each day more on the capacity of DNC to plan, implement and monitor School feeding.

54. The Project external coherence was enhanced by the coordination with national institutions (i.e. DNC, Ministry of Education, Health, Agence nationale de Développement rural (ANADER), NGOs, etc.) and humanitarian agencies (UNICEF, OCHA, FAO, UNHCR, IOM, etc.). The Project signed agreement with such institutions, in order to obtain their commitment and participation in the forecasted initiatives. IOM, FAO, ANADER, NGOs provided guidance and expertise and, in some cases, UNICEF, FAO, DNC, NGOs also delivered material inputs.

#### 2.A.4 Project design

55. The poor description of the Project's implementation mechanisms and absence of reference to counterparts' commitment (for instance, financial contribution) in the Project document is in line with a relief orientation, in which local players have a limited role in shaping the intervention. The lack of details in the Logical Framework (LF) also reflected such orientation: Outcomes, Output and Activities were not articulated in different frames. Confusion between Outcome and Output indicators resulted in the poor monitoring of the progress toward the specific objectives (outcomes), as the stated Indicators applied mostly to the degree of execution of Activities. Another initial weakness of the LF was the *limited quantitative figures included among the Objectively Verified Indicators and the sources of verification*, sometimes unavailable.

56. The Project properly identified risks and assumptions: key issues for field deployment of activities being *the permanence of security and stabilisation of the North-Western region and the return of Government services to the separatist areas.* The situation in the field evolved in the direction advocated by the Project, with substantial gains around the urban areas (especially in the South) and a slower change in the Northern and rural areas. The planned food tonnage was adequate to reach the target of about a million beneficiaries during the timeframe of Project implementation.

57. SO1 and SO2. The Relief (SO1) and Recovery (SO2) interventions, intended to foster IDPs food self-sufficiency. The design of these actions was aligned with the progress in the recovery of Côte d'Ivoire from the conflict emergency. In fact the improvement of the Country situation (i.e. the closure of IDPs camps) and shortage in the Project's food pipeline limited the number of activities implemented.

58. SO3. The assistance to malnourished children and women was coordinated with the *Programme National de Nutrition* (PNN), active in nutritional education. Nutritional aid was directed to foster nutrition status and change of behaviour at once. Activities were clustered in two main objectives:

- Nutrition. Reduced level of malnutrition among targeted children under-five and pregnant/lactating women in targeted areas,

- HIV and AIDS. Improved nutrition and health status of recipients under Anti-retrovirus therapy (ART), people assisted under the *Prevention of Mother to Child Transmission* (PMTCT) of HIV programmes and OVC.

The design was incompletely matching the right issues, that is the need to complement nutritional aid and HIV and AIDS care with support to the beneficiaries and their caretakers livelihood. In fact treatment adherence and nutrition status of HIV affected people was supported by distribution of family ration comprising fortified food, to acknowledge the role of family in care and treatment of it HIV positive members, to reduce stigma on this member and also as a incentive to visit health facilities.

59. The key assumptions of food aid to HIV affected people are that nutrition supports the adherence to ART and that treatment and improvement of the nutritional status of patients/people at risks strengthens their immune system response. Malnutrition weakens the immune system, thus increasing susceptibility to infections. The Project design incorporated HIV and AIDS focused interventions, by targeting affected people on the basis of their food insecurity and <u>not</u> only of their HIV and AIDS status. Thus, the Project prioritised HIV affected people living in food insecure areas and in Abidjan outskirts. Supply of food aid was intended primarily to support patients adherence to ART treatments and host families commitment to the OVC welfare. The design of this activity was inappropriately addressing the complex issues (care and treatment, mitigation and prevention) impacting on the effectiveness of food aid to HIV affected people.

60. The criteria for selection of beneficiaries for PLWH / ART programme were the HIV test and food security index; in the case of the ART activity the patient had also to be under treatment. The Project provided the food rations, part of the logistics and some minor items included in the food aid package. The indicators at the output and outcome levels are appropriate and well defined, e.g. actual number of HIV orphan and vulnerable children having received WFP food assistance, by gender.

61. People with HIV and AIDS are frequently exposed to a cycle of under-nutrition and infection, each of which may accentuate the negative impact of the other, while at the same time increasing the severity of the HIV infection. The Project used food distribution sites to enable partners to raise awareness on HIV transmission, providing information on prevention. Most assumption of the HIV component were without the control of the Project management, that is:

A) At the Outcomes level:

a. Security conditions in the intervention areas

b. Sector policies targeting demobilization, rural infrastructure rehabilitation, health care and education access

B) At the Outputs level:

- a. Medical personnel available
- b. Health centres functional in targeted Departments
- c. UNICEF/ WHO collaboration.

The PRRO design was incompletely addressing this complex set of issues, contributing to the effectiveness and sustainability of the action. Breakdown in the supply chain, lack of logistic means and of food diversity, the risks higher ranked by the Implementing partners were not included in the Logical framework. The ensuing difficulties in dealing with Implementing partners were rooted in such design insufficiency.

62. SO4 Food for education. In the design of the Project, insufficient care was given in considering the time necessary to produce changes in accessing to formal education, as school admissions take place once a year. The Project started in June 2007, 2 months before the courses beginning. And changes in the following academic year, i.e. 2008/2009, are hard to be measured, due to slow data collection on pupils' enrolment and attendance rates.

#### 2.A.5 Appropriateness

63. Malnutrition is endemic in many rural regions and newly urbanised areas of Côte d'Ivoire, and linked to both poverty and culture/education. The internal conflict has accentuated this critical situation, by disrupting production and trade, as well as by igniting migrations of IDPs, overlapping with the trans-border refugees' emergencies. Security is slowly recovering, with IDPs still present in pocket areas of the Western regions (Moyen Cavally and 18 Montagnes). Most affected are rural mothers and children. Cultural patterns and dietetic traditions in part adding to this unbalance. The head of the household and those in charge of the heaviest jobs are entitled to food before the weaker members of the family.

64. The planned inputs and activities of the Project were in line with local needs. The Project used Food aid as a leverage to improve the nutritional status of the beneficiaries and to promote transition of food insecure people from relief to development.

65. The adaptation of food aid to the local conditions, was achieved by collaborating with UN agencies and Implementing partners active in the field. Thus food aid was used as a tool for the implementation of food security (SO1, SO2) and of the access to social services (SO3 and SO4). Such an approach was appropriate to match a situation in which local public and private organisations are slowly and unevenly taking the control of the development process. In absence of a Country strategy, such partnerships increases the risk of perpetuating food aid to clusters of the population no longer in need, by subordinating beneficiaries targeting to partners priorities.

66. National policies orientation were not always consistent with the needs of the most needed people: the DNC was obviously more active in the Government controlled South. Assisted Health and nutrition centres were concentrated in Bouaké. Education officials, teachers and parents, in the Northern *Forces nouvelles* held regions, stated that the reestablishment of School canteens was greatly appropriate and encouraged child participation in educational activities.

### 2.B Outputs and implementation processes: elements of efficiency

#### **2.B.1** Levels of outputs

67. From January to December 2008, a grand total of 706,505 recipients were assisted vs 704,250 planned (100 percent), including family members in receipt of with family rations, beneficiaries were 792,294 vs 981,000 (81 100 percent). Women were 45 100 percent and children (< 18 years) 95 100 percent of the total beneficiaries. In fact pupils assisted by the school canteens were 94 100 percent of the beneficiaries. From July 2007 to December 2008, food distribution reached 24,633 mt, vs a planned 47,256 mt in the Project document, with a 52 100 percent of achievement.

| Activity                          |         |        | Food delivery |                  |  |
|-----------------------------------|---------|--------|---------------|------------------|--|
|                                   | Planned | A      | ctual         | Actual / planned |  |
|                                   | mt      | mt     | %             | %                |  |
| SO1                               | 1,274   | 3,256  | 13.2          | 255.6            |  |
| - IDPs in camps                   |         | 40     | 0.16          |                  |  |
| - General emergency distribution  | 1,274   | 3,035  | 12.32         |                  |  |
| - Lean season distribution        |         | 119    | 0.48          |                  |  |
| - Resettled IDPs                  |         | 62     | 0.25          |                  |  |
| SO2                               | 7,878   | 2,248  | 9.1           | 28.5             |  |
| - Food for work                   |         | 515    | 2.09          |                  |  |
| - Seed protection ration          |         | 0      | 0.00          |                  |  |
| - Food for training               |         | 1,733  | 7.04          |                  |  |
| SO3                               | 9,762   | 6823   | 27.7          | 69.9             |  |
| - Patients in social institutions |         | 1      | 0.01          |                  |  |
| - Supplementary feeding           |         | 254    | 1.03          |                  |  |
| - Caretakers of children in TFC   | 808     | 62     | 0.25          | 69.9             |  |
| - Therapeutic feeding             |         | 0      | 0.00          |                  |  |
| - Mother and child health         |         | 247    | 1.00          |                  |  |
| - PLWH in families                | 7,664   | 3,875  | 15.73         | 50.6             |  |
| - OVC in families                 | 1,290   | 2,383  | 9.67          | 184.8            |  |
| - OVC in social institutions      | 1,290   | 1      | 0.00          | 104.0            |  |
| SO4                               | 28,342  | 12,305 | 50.00         | 43.4             |  |
| - Emergency school feeding        | 19,001  | 12,049 | 48.92         | 63.4             |  |
| - Food for social workers         | 1,091   | 252    | 1.02          | 23.1             |  |
| - Take home ration after TFC      | 8,250   | 4      | 0.02          | 0                |  |
| Total                             | 47,256  | 24,633 | 100           | 52.1             |  |

Table 5. Food distribution by activity (1.7.2007-31.12.2008)

Note: the classification of activities was reshaped in 2008, thus this table presents a larger set of entries Source: Project document / Project logistics unit.

68. Even if, in absolute terms, the score of beneficiaries was not too far from the target, it should be highlighted that the amount of food rations received by each beneficiaries were less than planned. The reduction in the budget and food availability was affected by (1) the exclusion or suspension of three activities and (2) the reduction in the number of rations per beneficiary, i.e. the duration of the individual assistance. In fact, in curtailing Project operations, life saving activities were prioritised, with emphasis on the assistance to HIV affected people. In some cases the target was surpassed through the re-identification and the adjustment of beneficiary targeting, (e.g., the pupils assisted through School feeding). FFW activities – directed to IDPs resettlement and livelihood enhancement - were performed under-target. Food aid to benevolent teachers (pedagogues working for free) was started and

later discontinued, HIV affected people food aid was implemented in a smaller proportion than scheduled.

69. <u>Food delivery</u>. 65. As a whole, 52.1 100 percent of planned food aid was delivered. The execution rate was highly uneven among activities. In fact the General emergency distribution (255.6 100 percent) and OVC (184.4 100 percent) food tonnage was higher than planned in the Project document: during the PRRO implementation a larger than planned need was identified in such sectors. All the other activities scored decidedly lower execution rates, best performing being Emergency school feeding (63.4 100 percent) and HIV and AIDS activities (PLWH 50.6 100 percent). Lowest scoring were Nutritional feeding (31.6 100 percent), Food for social workers (23.1 100 percent) and SO2 (Recovery) activities (28.5 100 percent). Although, the reduction of delivered food, with respect to the PRRO document, hampered the implementation of activities. Late shipments also reduced the utility of some actions (e.g., food aid delivered after the end of the lean season).

70. The SO4 activities, essentially the support to the school canteens, accounted for 50.0 of the delivered food, SO3 (Nutrition and HIV and AIDS) for 27.7 percent, SO1 (support to returnee IDPs in the lean season) for 13.2 percent and SO2 (FFW and FFT) for 9.1 percent. Apart from school feeding, the most beneficial activities were: PLWH in families (15.73 percent), General emergency distributions (i.e., support to returnee IDPs in lean season: 12.80 percent), OVC in families (9.67 percent), Food for training (7.04 percent) and Food for work (2.09 percent).

71. The Bouaké and Abidjan (i.e., Southern regions) sub-offices channelled 24.33 percent and 23.87 percent of food aid each. Guiglo (17.35 percent), Korhogo (15.34 percent) and Man (11.04 percent) the other most performing sub-offices, while Odienné scored the lowest (8.08 percent). The 3 Western regions (Guiglo, Man, Odienné) together scored 29.7 percent.

72. <u>Beneficiaries assisted</u>. The largest group of beneficiaries is made by pupils assisted through the School canteens programme (83.8 percent), followed by General emergency distribution (6.1), HIV and AIDS (5.5 percent), Nutrition (2.9 percent), Food for work (1.4 percent) and Food for training (0.5 percent).

73. <u>Beneficiaries by region</u>. Abidjan was the sub-office serving the higher number of beneficiaries (the School canteens pupils of the Southern regions), with 36.0 percent of the total. Bouaké sub-office came next (21.6 percent). Each of the other sub-offices assisted between 8.9 percent and 12.6 percent of the beneficiaries. The Bouaké sub-office includes food aid to the Eastern regions; recorded data provided by the Project do not allow the break-down of such geographical targets.

74. <u>Food individual amount by activity</u>, i.e. the amount of food received by each category of beneficiaries, was highly variable, due to different rations composition and duration of the aid packages. The beneficiaries who receive the higher amount of food were those assisted under the HIV and AIDS components (OVC: Kg/person 111, PLWH: Kg/person 104). FFT trainees and Lean season returnee IDPs were also highly scoring, with Kg/person 67 and 42 respectively. All the other groups were endowed with and average of Kg/person 8-19.

75. Food individual amount by region is the amount of food received by beneficiaries in each region. This value has to be confronted with the food aid package planned for each group of beneficiaries. The sub-offices of Bouaké (Kg/person 73.89), Korhogo (37.98) and Man (32.39) had a greater commitment of food per beneficiary; the Abidjan sub-office (Southern regions) with Kg/beneficiary 20.59 recorded as the lowest. This result reflects the greater role played by the SO2 and SO3 components in the higher scoring regions and the quite exclusive presence of the School feeding programme in the Southern regions. Such conclusion is substantiated the amount of food aid distributed by field office. Korhogo, Bouaké received the

higher food volume (over 300 mt/WFP staff), followed by Odienné and Guiglo. Abidjan recorded the lowest score, about 80 mt/WFP staff (but it should be noted that the main office was in charge also of countrywide services).

| Sub-office | Food aid   |               | Kg of food /<br>beneficiary | Staff, January<br>2008 | mt of food per<br>field office staff |
|------------|------------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|            | mt         | Beneficiaries |                             |                        |                                      |
| Abidjan*   | 5,878.735  | 285,451       | 20.59                       | 70                     | 84                                   |
| Guiglo     | 4,274.045  | 171,483       | 24.92                       | 17                     | 251                                  |
| Man        | 2,718.382  | 83,929        | 32.39                       | 17                     | 160                                  |
| Odienné    | 1,989.734  | 70,799        | 28.10                       | 7                      | 284                                  |
| Korhogo    | 3,779.790  | 99,530        | 37.98                       | 11                     | 344                                  |
| Bouaké     | 5,992.299  | 81,103        | 73.89                       | 19                     | 315                                  |
| Total      | 24,632.990 | 792,294       | 31.09                       | 141                    | 175                                  |

Table 6. Individual amount of food distributed by sub-office (1.1.-31.12.2008)

Source: Project database. \* Including San Pedro field office

76. The <u>rate of achievement</u> of the Project, as a whole and for each output, is calculated according to the following Evaluation matrix.

| Issue / Question   |  | Indicators            |  | Achievements                                      |  |  |
|--|--|-----------------------|--|---|--|--|
| 1. Which is the Project  |  |                       |  |   |  |  |
| A. The delivery tools<br>of food aid as<br>identified in the<br>Project are<br>efficiently used? |  |                       | Increase in commodities transport price hampered the<br>tendering process. Available resources were delivered on a<br>discontinuous basis, due to late funding and shipment.<br>Regional and local purchases did not solve the problem, as<br>the region is food insecure and local prices higher than those<br>of the int'l market. Local communities contributed to bridge<br>food supply to School canteens beneficiaries (SO4).<br>Delayed and reduced feeding is recorded in the other<br>Strategic objectives. |   |  |  |
| B. Institutional<br>arrangements are<br>adequate?  | 1 1  |                       | Partnerships with the DNC and NGOs active in delivering<br>nutrition and health care services were the basis of the<br>Project field implementation. No links with other<br>institutions in charge of food security, such as the Ministry<br>of agriculture. SO1 and SO2 activities were undertaken<br>under the umbrella of a technically competent agency or<br>institution. Regular coordination were held with UNHCR<br>and IOM, in charge of IDPs, with UNICEF and with the<br>HIV and AIDS network.            |   |  |  |
| C. Funds and food<br>provided are<br>adequate?   | Level of financial<br>execution of the project<br>and of food shipment   |                       | Execution of the 61.8% of allocated budget, of which 86.2%<br>of commodities<br>Delivery of 52% of food tonnage (TM 24,633 vs TM<br>47,256)<br>100% of recipients (706,575 vs 704,250)<br>81% of beneficiaries4 (792,294 vs 981,000)   |   |  |  |
| D. Adequacy of<br>institutional<br>arrangements?   | nstitutional channels of communication between Headquarters, Country office, sub-offices and local institutions on food distribution |                       | CO coordination with Headquarters was mediated by Dakar<br>regional office, providing extra skills to the CO (e.g., M&E<br>advice and training)<br>Coordination with local institutions was strong, according to<br>the CO   |   |  |  |
| E. Adequacy of<br>available human<br>resources?  | Evidence of avai<br>qualified human<br>at the adequate p<br>support capacity<br>activities.  | resources<br>place to | Project staff (2009):<br>52% staff deployed  | 107 people 76% of previous year<br>in sub-offices |  |  |

Table 7. Rates of achievement of the Project

<sup>4</sup> Beneficiaries are the total number of people benefiting from food aid, i.e. this digit includes family members of the participants.

| Issue / Question Indica   |   |   |  |   |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| 2. Has the resettled  | people livelihoo  | od and food sec                                 | urity improve  | ed?   |  |  |
| A. Has the project<br>improved the<br>situation of the<br>IDPs/Refugee<br>returnee<br>beneficiaries<br>resettled?     | IDPs and Refugee returnee<br>beneficiaries resettled (17,000)   |   | 57% of recipients (9,635 vs 17,000), 48,177<br>beneficiaries   |   |  |  |
| B. Have the resettled<br>beneficiaries' food<br>security improved?  | Proportion of beneficiary<br>household expenditures devoted<br>to food (<50% of household<br>revenues)  |   | Resettled people are food insecure for: lean season<br>food shortage – depending on weather - and limited<br>access to land and food production inputs. No data<br>recorded by the Project on Household expenditure  |   |  |  |
| 3. Has vulnerable popu  |   |   |  |   |  |  |
| A. Have the<br>vulnerable women<br>and children<br>accessed to nutrition<br>and health services<br>in targeted areas? | Global (moderate and severe)<br>acute malnutrition prevalence<br>decreased in children under-five<br>and pregnant/lactating women in<br>targeted areas (% according to<br>the area) |   | A. Nutrition<br>No systematic data recorded by the Project on<br>malnutrition prevalence evolution<br>Limited technical skills of implementing partners<br>reduced the adequacy of beneficiaries targeting<br>Defaulter rate of nutrition services beneficiaries ><br>10%<br>Recovery rate of the SFC (69.2%), TFC (61.5)<br>MCH beneficiaries children underweight at birth 8.5%<br><i>B. HIV and AIDS</i><br>Access to health services was increased by food aid.<br>Moderate improvement of the nutritional status of<br>food aid recipients was recorded<br>Several implementing partners lacking adequate<br>technical resources were not able to cope with the<br>complexity of the HIV activities |   |  |  |
| B. Has the school<br>children access to<br>education services<br>improved?  | Net enrolment ra<br>of primary schoo<br>girls enrolled in<br>primary schools,<br>academic years (   | l-age boys and<br>WFP assisted<br>between<br>%) | Enrolments o<br>grew by 19.3   | f boys and girls in WFP assisted schools<br>3% between 2006/2007 and 2007/2008<br>between 2007/2008 and 2008/2009 |  |  |
| C. Has the school<br>girls access to<br>education services<br>improved?   | Ratio of girls and boys enrolled<br>in WFP targeted primary schools<br>(> 0.7)  |   | Girls were a majority of $53.6\%$ and $52.8\%$ in Grades 1<br>and 2 in 2007/2008, but overall the GPI was yes, GPI<br>= 0.796 in 2008/2009   |   |  |  |
| D. Are the School<br>feeding activities<br>linked to<br>development<br>initiatives?                                   | Number of schools jointly<br>targeted for the implementation<br>of essential learning package<br>(200)  |   | 3,013 schools with supplementary activities (de-<br>worming) Improved stoves construction  |   |  |  |

77. SO1 Relief. In response to the reduced availability of resources, some activities were downsized or interrupted. The last IDPs camps in Guiglo closed in June 2008. A small portion of the General food distribution was used to assist IDPs in camps before such date. Moyen Cavally was the area of greater concentration of returnees, the beneficiaries of General distributions.

78. Thus, assistance to IDPs concentrated on the support to returnees at home during the lean season, both in 2007 and 2008. The Lean season food distribution focused on Returnees recently resettled in the West, while in the rest of the country this initiative assisted peasants whose harvest was affected by bad weather and lack of food stocks, with preference for Returnees and poor households. Vulnerability criteria considered (a) the conditions of beneficiaries, i.e. if they were IDPs returned to their villages and (b) the state of harvest, i.e., if it had been affected by the climate. Tracking of returnees was performed in collaboration with the International Organization for Migration (IOM), at the regional (sub-offices) level. Recipients were entitled to family rations distributions. Lean season distribution contained the risk of consumption of seed in 33 villages, where it was associated to FAO seed distribution.

79. The number of recipients was consistent with the target. A total 9,635 recipients (57 percent of the target, set at 17,000) and 48,177 beneficiaries (in the LF this beneficiaries target was not differentiated from the recipients' one), including family members (five people per family), were assisted.

80. The Guiglo (Moyen Cavally) sub-office assisted one third of the assisted number of SO1 beneficiaries (34.9 percent), Man, Korhogo and Odienné sub-offices, all scored under 20.0 percent. Beneficiaries received an average Kg 43, about a half such digit in Man than in the other regions. Late shipment of food (postponed from October to December 2008), affected the effectiveness of this intervention. Beneficiaries and local authorities participation was well tuned. Local commitment to rural infrastructure recovery was a very positive asset in the implementation of this activity. Local contribution included the design and engineering of the works and supply of building materials.

81. SO2 FFW. The initiatives undertaken were properly designed, and involved the mobilization of local professionals – such as the *Departmental directions of infrastructure* engineers –, and entrepreneurial resources – such as local building companies -. Project formulation and supervision was assured by the key funding agency, often ONUCI. Communities participated in the identification of the activities , organising local labour and providing part of the raw materials, such as sand, stones, wood.

82. The Project contributed food rations for casual labour and a part of the work tools and materials. FFW allowed the rehabilitation of infrastructure in West and North-West regions, for instance bridges (8), small bridges (65), wells (30), rural roads (km 17), and latrines (120), employing 2,269 journal workers. Physical results were short from the planned Km 80 of irrigation systems, 400 water wells, 12 bridges, km 150 of rural roads and an undefined number of teachers' housing to be rehabilitated.

83. This activity was low priority and as such was affected with a low volume of food. followed by food pipeline breaks and delays. The coordination of several partners often resulted in substantial delays in the interventions. This was summed to the remoteness of some actions, thus the FFW was implemented in a more limited proportion than planned (31 percent of beneficiaries), with a higher proportion in the Moyen Cavally region (93 percent of the target). Each beneficiary received an average of Kg 10 of food. The food aid distributed under this activity was 6.5 percent of planned tonnage in the Project document. The beneficiaries were well under target (31 percent). This activity benefited 11,345 members of the FFW journal workers' families. Such misbalance resulted a low rehabilitation work and ration/beneficiary rate.

84. SO2 FFT. This intervention was aimed at enhancing the skills of the returnee IDPs in farming and related jobs. Due to lack of resources, this action – considered low priority - was discontinued, as not qualifying as a priority. The Food for training component was mostly implemented outside agriculture, achieving 19 percent of the Project forecast trainees (794 recipients vs. 4,200 planned). Food tonnage distributed in implementing this activity was higher than planned (134 percent), as training periods were longer than planned. Vocational training was equally divided among boys (46 percent) and girls (54 percent). Literacy courses were usually associated to vocational training. The greatest proportion of beneficiaries was assisted by the Guiglo sub-office (52.9 percent), followed by Bouaké (21.4 percent ), Man (14.8 percent) and Korhogo (9.5 percent). The girls accounted for 46 percent of the trainees. Each trainee received an average of over Kg 67 of food. The Project records do not allow to assess if the training of the vulnerable children was successful in fostering their access to the job market.

85. SO3 Nutrition. The Project worked with partners – in particular UNICEF through the Government *National nutrition programme* (PNN) and NGOs – to support supplementary and

therapeutic feeding programmes, in areas with high malnutrition rates, in order to improve the nutritional status of moderately malnourished children under five years of age and pregnant and lactating women. On various occasions, the evaluation team observed laxity in the selection of beneficiaries by Implementing partners, more interested in keeping their numerical targets than to assist the really moderately malnourished children. Targets included moderately malnourished children and pregnant and lactating women at risk of malnutrition, starting from the 6<sup>th</sup> month of pregnancy. Recipients of Supplementary feeding of moderately malnourished children were more than planned (9,434 instead of 6,000), while Caretakers of children in TFC (4,002 vs 4,000) and Mother and child health (9,685 vs 10,000) matched the target. Man, Bouaké and Korhogo sub-offices scored between one third and one fourth of the beneficiaries.

86. Nutrition aid was provided through Supplementary feeding centres (SFCs) and Mother and Child Health (MCH) centres. When cases of moderate acute malnutrition were diagnosed by nutritional/medical implementing partners, the Project provided take-home rations composed of CSB, vegetable oil and sugar in the health / nutrition centres for the malnourished children and their mothers. The Project assisted accompanying mothers of children cared for by Therapeutic feeding centres (TFCs) in hospitals or mobile clinics managed by local and international NGOs, the Ministry of Health, with the increasing educational assistance by the PNN. UNICEF ensured that therapeutic milk and BP-100 were provided to severe malnourished children. Food aid made available under this heading was 69.9 percent of planned tonnage (565 mt vs 808 mt). Both SFC and MCH beneficiaries received a higher average ration (Kg/person 18.7) than the TFC (Kg/person 9.7) ones. In fact, rations were provided to each target were different and adapted, based on caretakers feedback.

87. SO3 HIV and AIDS. The Project HIV and AIDS focal point defined standards for the duration of food assistance for different beneficiary categories, even if it did not establish any written guidelines or standards on the duration of food assistance / phasing out of food aid recipients. The project targeted beneficiaries on the basis of their food insecurity and HIV status. Selection criteria were designed in coordination with key partners, after review of past practices and lessons learned from implementation of PRRO 10372.0. Information about the eligibility criteria was not disseminated in a consistent manner to the communities through the Project key partners. Most implementing agencies were not aware of WFP standards for the duration of time for food assistance. Thus, the phasing out from food assistance was done according to partners' assessment of the general situation of the beneficiaries and not according to a specific standards or specific criteria. This led to some beneficiaries receiving food assistance for long periods of time.

88. Data on the nutritional status of the beneficiaries was not recorded by the Project, whose indicators concerned the rate of adherence / dismissal of the recipients. The planned outputs of HIV operation were not quantified in the original LF. Change in the nutritional status of the beneficiaries was not systematically recorded by the Project. The challenge for food aid in this area is the promotion of the coordination and optimization of the resources of the network of NGOs working with the target communities. These should be able to orientate their actions to impact on people' livelihood and document achievements by collecting beneficiaries' household data through participative tools. Difficulty in data standardisation and sharing between the Project and the partners reduced the effectiveness of the monitoring process.

89. The Project delivered a package of HIV prevention and nutrition rehabilitation assistance to 5,366 HIV affected people (69 percent of planned). The Project assisted vulnerable people living with HIV and AIDS, selected on medical and food insecurity criteria, with a family ration for 9 months, as well as host families of HIV orphans (OVC) for a period of 10 months (OVC were also assisted through vocational training). In order to improve food palatability, oil fortified with vitamins A and D was included in the rations in appropriate quantities, as

PLWH have eating difficulties and need to consume as much energy and nutrients in a limited number of small meals. Although, such measure should have been completed by training implementing partners on guiding recipients about the preparation and consumption of oil reach meals. Take-home family rations were intended to contribute to adequate dietary intake and to serve as an income transfer that allowed household budgets to include non-food expenditures.

Under the PLWH component, the Project assisted 463 pregnant and nursing HIV-positive mothers living in food insecure areas through *Prevention of mother to child transmission* (PMTCT) programmes. Beneficiaries received food aid as take-home family rations for 21 months, from the 7<sup>th</sup> month of pregnancy to 18 months of the life of the infant.

| l'a | able 8. Planned duration of food assistance by beneficiary categor |                      |  |  |  |  |
|-----|--|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
|     | Beneficiary category   | Duration of food aid |  |  |  |  |
|     | PLWH / ART   | 9 months             |  |  |  |  |
|     | Tubercolosis   | 8 months             |  |  |  |  |
|     | PMTCT  | 21 months            |  |  |  |  |
|     | OVC (institution/family)   | 10 months            |  |  |  |  |

Table 8. Planned duration of food assistance by beneficiary category

90. SO3 HIV and AIDS OVC. These children were assisted through (a) food aid assistance to the host families and (b) vocational training, along the same model as the beneficiaries of the SO2 FFT. OVC assisted were 3,601, 20 percent higher than planned. This FFT activity applied to children affected by the conflict (73 percent), children associated to conflict parties (26 percent), and social insecure children in Abidjan (1 percent), whose vulnerability was associated to HIV and AIDS. The largest number of recipients was assisted by Bouaké suboffice (36 percent), followed by Guiglo (20 percent) and Man (17 percent). The girls prevalence rate was 52 percent. This activity positively enhanced the acceptance of the vulnerable children by host families.

91. Recipients were concentrated in the towns, plagued by higher rates of HIV prevalence and where are the sees of the implementing partners. A few beneficiaries from the rural areas were assisted (especially through religious centres located in villages), in some case through the delivery of monthly food rations at their home village. The SO3-HIV and AIDS component delivered 50.6 percent of the planned tonnage.

92. The SO4 Food for education activities were coordinated with the *Direction national des cantines* (DNC). The DNC works through a network of Regional Direction regional de l'éducation et de la nutrition (DRENs) and Departmental offices Inspection des écoles primaries (IEPs), coordinating the public school, with a more effective presence in the South. Beneficiary schools had to establish a School canteen Management committee, Comité de gestion (COGES). In the Government controlled zone, the Project supplied food to the DNC, which then fully managed it throughout the Southern regions, whereas in the Northern regions the Project supplied the food and also assumed distribution and follow up activities through partnership with Caritas and Care (logistics) and the DNC (schools canteens coordination and supervision).

93. *Targeting* played out differently, according to the zone of intervention. In the Northern regions all schools were initially included in the Project. Emphasis was put on the resumption of Schools activities in as many villages as possible. It was only later, when all schools had re-opened and Project resources decreased, that assisted schools had to be selected. In 2008/2009 the proportion of assisted schools in the North varies among DRENs from around 70 percent to more than 90 percent. What is problematic here is that the Project does not control the selection process. This has led to the exclusion of some remote small schools and

to the inclusion of some large urban schools<sup>5</sup>. In the latter case, often children refuse meals for taste preferences.

94. The total number of schools on-going in the different regions was 9,106 in 2005/2006 and 8,660 in 2006/2007. The Project School feeding intervention was coordinated with that funded by the DNC. In 2007/2008 the DNC assisted 2,218 School canteens and 304,782 pupils, while the Project assisted 3,013 schools, of which 1,951 were in the Northern regions, and 594,453 pupils (vs 580,000 planned), of which 324,164 were in the Northern regions. The Project supplied 80-90 .School canteens on-going North of the Green line, and less than 50 percent South of such demarcation. At the end of 2008 the project had a rate of implementation of 79 percent in terms of beneficiaries served: 661,087 (43.9 percent girls) recipient of School meals, compared to 841,000 initially planned. Thus the numeric target of this action was fully achieved, even if this proportion is not likely to be sustainable in the long run.

| Assisted school category | Number of a | ssisted school | ls    | Number of assisted pupils |          |         |
|--------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------|---------------------------|----------|---------|
|                          | Southern    | Northern       | Total | Southern                  | Northern | Total   |
|                          | regions     | regions        |       | regions                   | regions  |         |
| Project (WFP resources)  | 1,062       | 1,951          | 3,013 | 270,289                   | 324,164  | 594,453 |
| Government resources     | 2,218       |                | 2,218 | 304,782                   |          | 304,782 |
| only                     |             |                |       |                           |          |         |
| Total                    | 3,280       | 1,951          | 5,231 | 575,071                   | 324,164  | 899,235 |

Table 9. Number of assisted schools and pupils by zone and provider, 2007-2008

Source: Project administration, M&E electronic file *Cantines et Rationnaires* DNC, *Résultats du traitement des données, année scolaire 2007/2008* 

95. Two constraints had a negatively impact on the performance of this activity. The first problem concerned the number of opening days of the canteens. Normally the canteens should open and serve a meal every school day, i.e. about 110 days per academic year. In 2007/2008, the number of days with a meal served by each sub-office was lower: 82 days in Korhogo, 83 days in Guiglo, 88 days in Odienné, 106 days in Man and Bouaké; and 72 days in the Government zone. Delays in food delivery and some strikes by teachers were the main obstacle to food delivery.

96. Secondly, distinction has to be made between the pupils who actually have a meal and those who are merely *beneficiaries* of a meal. The number of beneficiaries (in French *les rationnaires*), is the theoretical number of pupils who are entitled to a meal, based on the initially planned food availability. The number of beneficiaries was pre-determined, depending on food availability, and negotiated between the Project and the DNC. Once the global amount of rations had been determined, the DNC defined the repartition of daily rations between assisted schools. This amount was necessarily smaller than the number of enrolled pupils per school. As a consequence, an implicit selection was part of this scheme, based on family resources available for paying the School canteen fee (F CFA 25 per meal). Another source of exclusion was the lack of appeal of some food items (such as the corn soybean blend) with some children, due to nutritional habits. This latter problem was linked to a broader targeting issue (see below). In any case the main reason of the lower than planned food distribution, in 2008 only 8,661 mt of food were distributed out of a planned 16,395 mt (53 percent), was mainly due to lack of funding.

97. A total 239 out of a planned total of 3,600 voluntary teachers received take-home rations. The reason is that with the resumption of Government activities in the Northern zone, the teachers who had fled the region were returning en masse and the need for voluntary teachers had rapidly decreased.

<sup>5</sup> Such as one school complex in Bundiali DREN with enrolment of 815 of which 590 meal beneficiaries.
#### 2.B.2 Channels of delivery

98. WFP relies on commercial trucks for primary and secondary transport in Côte d'Ivoire. Cooperating partners provide tertiary transport. Poor reliability among local transporters remains a challenge. Most losses were caused by poor handling and reconditioning processes in cooperating partner warehouses. Corrective measures have included training and additional guidelines for warehouse managers. Losses at WFP warehouses were minimal as a result of improved reconditioning and repackaging conditions, better fumigation systems and efficient stock management.

99. The delivery of the Project activities was integrated with the implementing partners field programmes. Different arrangements have been established, with implementing partners, whose characteristics can be delineated as follows:

- *key cooperating partners* (Care NGO, Caritas NGOs and DNC), in charge of reception, storage and delivery of food aid provided by the Project to *implementing partners* (i.e., the organization assisting the recipients),

- *cooperating partners* (international and local organisations), in charge of reception, storage and distribution of the Project food aid to recipients, assisted through their field programmes,

- *implementing partners*, distributing to recipients the food provided by the Project and channelled through the *key cooperating partners*.

100. *Key cooperating partners* were also in charge of implementing food distributions to recipients assisted through their own field programmes, that is they acted also as *cooperating partners*. In the case of *Key cooperating partners* and *Cooperating partners*, food aid could be associated or not associated to funding of side activities, such as the purchase of Food for Work (FFW) materials and work tools.

Some implementing partners were able to ensure the follow up of the beneficiaries, after the end of food aid assistance, through their involvement level the local society and connection with public social services. The limited amount of the Project funds has eroded the ability of the Project to work through the international *key cooperating partners* (Care en Caritas), suggesting the adoption, in the future, of a more direct approach in delivering food aid to *implementing partners*.

101. The PRRO logistics were effective in supporting local partners' activities, even if delays in food shipments resulted in food aid delivery constraints. In 2008, the ratio ration/beneficiary (proportion of the daily food need provided through Food aid) scored about 1.0 for the SO2-FFT and SO3 activities, 0.8 for the SO1 (lean season) and SO3-TFC activities and about 0.5 for the SO4 (FFE) activities. furthermore, some beneficiaries complained that the CSB was inedible and infested with bugs.

102. The implementation of the SO1 was coordinated with IOM. Lean season distribution was the key activity, a part from some General food distributions in the camps (0.16 percent of food tonnage) at the beginning of the operation. Some targets were assisted through NGOs, supporting resettled IDPs. Most of these activities were channelled through Man and Guiglo sub-offices (Western regions). The food rations were usually delivered during the three months of the lean season (July to September), even if with some delays.

103. Implementing partners of the SO2 activities (FFW and FFT) were communities, assisted by public bodies as ANADER, and NGOs. Breaks in food pipeline resulted in food delivery delays, by several months. Local authorities collaborated with the beneficiaries and other partners (e.g., ONUCI) in identifying, prioritising and designing FFW projects. Such interventions were conceived in the frame of the rehabilitation of infrastructure for food production, such as rural roads. NGOs, even if endowed with training skills, designed FFT activities in an improvised manner, that is without surveying the job market / following up the beneficiaries access, after training.

104. The implementation of SO3 involved NGOs and public bodies, in charge of Nutrition and Health centres. Such centres medical services identify vulnerable people and provide treatment and follow up of the patients. Implementing partners undertook awareness raising action. When the food pipeline was disrupted, the shipment of food to HIV and AIDS and Nutrition activities beneficiaries was prioritised over the other components. In fact the PLWH and OVC received Kg/person 104 and 111 respectively, as an average, decidedly higher than the other beneficiaries.

105. The substantial lack of HIV and AIDS expertise of the Country office, which was further weakened by staff rotation, negatively affected the guidance and assistance provided to the Implementing partners. Social workers from the health centres were used to assess whether the person was eligible for food assistance.

106. The DNC collaborated to the implementation of SO4. Schools requesting DNC support had to rehabilitate the School canteens and to establish their Managing committees (COGES). The DNC representatives at the local level (DRENs and IEPs) coordinated the establishment of the COGES of the School canteens, bodies headed by the village chief and with the participation of elders and the school master. A voluntary school teacher manages each canteen and a cook is in charge of the food preparation.

107. The DRENs and IEPs appraised the eligibility of the schools for food aid. Delivery of food to the schools was managed and supervised by the DNC through its network of DREN in the Southern regions. DNC was in charge of delivery of food to School canteens in the Southern regions, while in the North Care and Caritas NGOs food delivered to both School canteens and implementing partners: in the Northern regions, the Government bodies' rehabilitation was at a less advanced stage. The Evaluation team visited a school<sup>6</sup> that had received no food before the 3<sup>rd</sup> of January 2009. This means that pupils did not receive any meals for the whole first term: As start-of-year conditions affect parents' decision to register pupils, this was a setback for the Project strategy.

#### 2.B.3 Implementation mechanisms

108. The needs assessment and identification of beneficiaries was based on the outcome of previous projects. Implementing partners selected recipients along the PRRO criteria, in their areas of intervention. The Project appraised beneficiaries lists for consistency, when needed recurring to external expertise (e.g., SO3-HIV and AIDS). The Project relied essentially on the implementing partners past positive score. Implementing partners capacities were assessed in 2005-2006. In fact, the conditions of intervention, security, logistic and limited presence of public services in many rural areas, created a strong dependency on the expertise of the partners. Information on target areas was cross-checked with general studies, such as UNICEF MISC 2006, UNHCR fast survey of IDPs, and especially the *Food security evaluation* by WFP / FAO 2006. The procurement and shipment of food was undertaken along WFP standards. Implementing partners' storage facilities were checked, directly or through the Key cooperating partners. Training of Implementing partners included M&E, logistics, gender and protection issues.

109. The management of the operations concentrated on the fine tuning and execution of activities, while overall prioritising, planning and coordination of partners played a secondary role. The number of assisted beneficiaries by activity and the number of rations per

<sup>6</sup> School : Fodio, DREN : Bundiali

beneficiary were finely adjusted step by step. FAO seed distributions were coordinated with the Project in 33 villages benefiting from lean season (SO1) food distribution. No copying strategy was implemented in order to overcome the suspension of the *Take home rations for girls* activity.

110. Project staff. About 50 percent of the Project personnel was deployed in the field. Staff repartition by sub-office was in close relation with the field intensity of activities there, with Bouaké, Man and Guiglo ranking higher. Following the progress of the country recovery and stabilisation, the downsizing of activities brought to the reduction in the number of sub-offices and staff at the end of 2008. Staff from the closed offices was partly redeployed to the remaining ones.

| Office  | Situation                  | Region     | Staff,       | Staff, January |
|---------|----------------------------|------------|--------------|----------------|
|         |                            |            | January 2008 | 2009           |
| Abidjan | Running                    | South      | 63           | 56             |
| San     | Closed (Abidjan in charge) | South      | 7            |                |
| Pedro   |                            |            |              |                |
| Man     | Running                    | West       | 17           | 20             |
| Guiglo  | Running with reduced staff | West       | 17           | 3              |
| Odienne | Running                    | North-west | 7            | 9              |
| Korhogo | Close (Bouaké in charge)   | North      | 11           |                |
| Bouaké  | Running                    | Centre     | 19           | 19             |
| Total   |                            |            | 141          | 107            |

Table 10. WFP sub-offices in Côte d'Ivoire

Source: Project HR unit

111. The new and leaner organisation put in place relies each day more on the collaboration of implementing partners and less on that of key cooperating partners, in order to contain implementation expenses. In such context, little attention was paid to improve the targeting and monitoring of activities in progress, such as in the case of the Nutrition and HIV and AIDS components.

#### **2.B.4** External Institutional arrangements

112. External partnerships were consistent with WFP approach: (a) integration of food aid into national policies and the fight against poverty strategy, and (b) reliance on the proved skills of implementing partners in charge of the follow up of the beneficiaries. In this way, food aid activities were integrated in development strategies of the implementing partners. The Project organised a number of awareness training sessions on food aid management and on protection-related issues, in order to enhance the skills of the implementing partners. Gender perspective was specifically targeted, in relation to women protection during food distributions.

113. SO1 and SO2 activities were coordinated with international and local organisations in charge of the assistance to the IDPs target. No framework agreement was signed with such partners.

The SO3 Nutrition activities relied on the collaboration with UNICEF, in charge of therapeutic feeding, and PNN (WFP-PNN memorandum of understanding), for the elaboration and delivery of nutritional and hygiene training packages. WFP collaborated with such organizations in identifying people needs (SMART survey). Furthermore, a framework agreement on support to TFC was signed with UNICEF.

SO3 HIV and AIDS. The Project supported partner NGOs and health centres, in preventing and mitigating HIV consequences for individuals, families and communities. Organisations already involved in HIV and AIDS prevention and home-based care were preferred as

implementing partners. Collaboration with the Government institutions and humanitarian agencies was good. The Project coordinated with the *UN Team on AIDS*, *UN Theme group on HIV nutrition and nutrition-HIV team Work group* and UNAIDS and with UNAIDS, UNICEF and WHO.

114. SO4. The UN system (under World Bank leadership and with the participation of UNESCO and UNICEF) in cooperation with bilateral partners (France, Japan) and the civil society, contributed in rescuing and validating education activities, since the 2002/2003 academic year. The Project coordination with the DNC was good, the latter having also adopted the same M&E database established by WFP Country office, in order to facilitate data exchange.

115. *Implementation at school level*. The teacher in charge of the School canteen is the fulcrum of this activity. This person has to combine his/her teaching tasks with those related to the management of the school canteen, which include:

- Compile a daily list of pupils who intend to have lunch that day and collect their contribution, with the help of all teachers;

- Photocopy each class list for the records;
- File class lists in a binder by class,
- Establish daily rations of every item according to the number of registered pupils;
- Provide the cook with food items and money for other components;
- At 12 o' clock: roll call every class, supervise hand washing and seating in the canteen;
- Supervise the cook and assistant during the preparation of meals,
- Complete daily stock forms;

-At the end of the month complete the financial statement and handover the accounting documentation to the inspectorate.

116. Sometimes the person in charge advances some money to pay the cook, and reimbursed by COGES at a later stage, i.e. when the number who eat is less than 100 (the cook receives F CFA 5 for every meal served a day; but F CFA 500 is the minimum acceptable for a day of work [equivalent to US\$ 1]; therefore if the number of meals does not reach 100, this supplement pays the defaulting cook's salary). So, this person does substantially more work every day, is more at risk and is not compensated. What is at stake is whether such reliance on personal commitment is sustainable in the long term, even if rewarded at an improved professional level.

#### 2.B.5 Internal institutional arrangements

117. The Project was managed by the WFP units in charge of the planning and execution of the operations. Logistics, communications storage and security skills were available within the Project. Half the Project staff was deployed in the sub-offices, a more than average rate for UN agencies. Personnel qualifications were strong in logistics and general services. WFP regional office (Dakar) supported the Country office, in reshaping the M&E system, training of local staff in charge of the management of the database. Technical competencies were unbalanced regarding sectoral and development issues. The lower than planned Project funding and food shipment resulted in more than required office endowments. Project staff was reduced from 141 to 107 at the end of 2008, including the downsizing of the Programme unit from 12 to 5 people.

118. Most Implementing partners were highly satisfied with the Project achievements. Although, concerned with difficulties in dealing with the Key collaborating partners in managing contracts, reporting of activities and accountancy. Activities were adjusted with little involvement from implementing partners in sharing information or in taking of decisions. Key collaborating partners attended monthly coordination meetings, the other implementing partners every two months. Minutes of the meetings were not shared. Thus, the purposes and way the activities were adjusted was often misunderstood. Beneficiaries representatives coordinated regularly with the Bouaké region only.

119. The management of the PLWH component showed mixed results. The Project management unit performed well, according to the output of the field survey, as 70 percent of the questions received a completely positive replies and 90 percent of the replies were satisfactory (see Figure 1). Despite the elaborate strategy in outsourcing services, the Implementing partners considered that their partnerships with WFP was weak, for lack of grass-roots participation in decision making, ineffectiveness of the communication channels and poor sharing of information. Partners little understood the Project pyramidal structure and M&E procedures. In this regard regular contacts would have helped in clarifying these issues. Any adjustment in dietary rations, phasing out strategy should have been carried out on the basis of clearly defined criteria. Figure 1 illustrates the perception of the PRRO HIV and AIDS partners on the Project managerial skills.



#### Figure 1

Figure 1. HIV and AIDS data management capacities of the Project management unit

#### **2.B.6** Cost and funding of the Operation

120. The Project funding of the operation and shipment of food was lower than planned. Resources mobilization also suffered from a change in perspective of most donors, shifting their interest to development initiatives (cf. the EC *Country strategy paper* and *National indicative programme* and the French cooperation *Food aid guidelines*).

121. The Common funds of the UN system and multilateral trust funds were the main source of resources of the operation (US\$ 12.5 million), with important contributions coming from Japan (US\$ 3 million), the Netherlands, the African development bank and Switzerland (about half million dollars each). As a whole, the analysis of the Project financing reveals a limited interest of the donors more active in the Country, such as the EC, the USA and France.

| Allocations                          | US\$       | %      |
|--------------------------------------|------------|--------|
| Operational requirements             | 49,866,272 | 100.00 |
| Donor                                |            |        |
| - African development bank           | 500,000    | 1.00   |
| - European commission                | 70,351     | 0.14   |
| - Greece                             | 73,747     | 0.15   |
| - Japan                              | 3,000,000  | 6.02   |
| - Korea, Republic of                 | 200,000    | 0.40   |
| - Netherlands                        | 624,000    | 1.25   |
| - Private donors                     | 1,095,000  | 2.20   |
| - Switzerland                        | 458,715    | 0.92   |
| - UN CERF, Common funds and Agencies | 4,817,544  | 9.66   |
| - Multilateral                       | 7,686,074  | 15.41  |
| - Carryover from previous operations | 3,309,060  | 6.64   |
| - Miscellaneous income               | 12,049     | 0.02   |
| Total received                       | 21,846,540 | 43.81  |

Table 11. Project allocations by source, 1.7.2007-31.12.2008

#### 2.B.7 Cost efficiency

122. At the end of 2008, the Country office had received 61.8 percent of the resources planned in the Project document. Commodities and Direct support costs, the largest component of the budget, were also those with the higher execution, with respect to planning. At the same time, the Project underwent a recosting exercise (February 2008) and a Budget revision (November 2008) process, that doubled the forecast budget from US\$ 41.2 million to US\$ 79.7 million.

123. Budget increase was required in order to allocate new resources for the implementation of delayed activities and to compensate the increase in food and transport costs, higher than planned in conflict areas. In order contain expenditures, the Project purchased about half food commodities locally or in the region, such as rice in Burkina Faso. Lengthy clearance procedures resulted in heavy demurrages, delays and subsequent pipeline breaks. In some instances, local purchase was the most cost-effective option.

124. About 50 percent of expenditures regarded delivered commodities; internal and external transport and storage costs accounted for 24 percent. Direct support costs (i.e. material endowments, mostly concentrated in the SO4 area, in terms of supply of School canteens materials and extra-teaching activities, such as de-worming) accounted for 26 percent of the actual expenditures at 31.12.2008.

| Cost category      | Planned budget | Actual<br>expenditure | % of<br>planned | % of<br>expenditure | Revised<br>budget,<br>31.12.2008 |
|--------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|
| Commodities        | 14,753,385     | 12,718,967            | 86.2            | 49.9                | 35,768,977                       |
| External transport | 6,720,625      | 2,224,929             | 33.1            | 8.7                 | 9,892,170                        |
| LTSH               | 6,662,502      | 3,983,900             | 59.8            | 15.6                | 11,697,122                       |
| ODOC               | 2,400,580      | 784,843               | 32.7            | 3.1                 | 3,390,413                        |
| DSC                | 8,004,513      | 5,766,301             | 72.0            | 22.6                | 13,878,904                       |
| ISC                | 2,697,912      |                       |                 |                     | 5,030,920                        |
| Total              | 41,239,517     | 25,478,940            | 61.8            | 100.0               | 79,658,506                       |

Table 12. Project expenditure at 31.12.2008

Source: Project administration

## **2.C Results**

## 2.C.1 Effectiveness

125. The Project general objective was partially achieved. Livelihood and nutritional status of assisted critically food insecure and malnourished people was stabilised.

126. SO1. The General distribution to returnee IDPs, during the lean season facilitated the recovery of the returnees farming activities. This activity concentrated in the Western regions where the larger number of resettling people were. In fact insecurity is high in pocket areas of the North-West. Interviewed beneficiaries were well aware of the importance of this aid. The nutritional status of the beneficiaries Returnee IDPs was maintained, even if the late deliveries reduced effectiveness. As a transient operation it was apparently successful, but limited to a smaller number of beneficiaries than planned.

127. SO2. Both components enhanced the recovery of the material and human resources in the conflict affected areas, even if under-schedule implementation, due to low priority assigned to such activities, decreased the effectiveness of these actions.

128. FFW projects rehabilitated rural infrastructure, therefore improving the rural production capital and providing alternative job sources to marginalised peasants (priority: returnee IDPs). Most interventions included the rehabilitations of farm to market roads and bridges, an action unblocking agricultural potential in isolated areas. The reactivated basic rural infrastructures positively impacting agricultural production and rural household livelihood, according to interviewed beneficiaries. The implementation of these activities was underschedule and confined within the areas of reach of Guiglo, Man and Odienné sub-offices, the most devastated by the conflict.

129. Thus, technical, organisational and development issues not tackled by the FFW projects limited the contribution of such interventions to rural development. As such, this intervention was still tackling the conditions prevailing in the immediate aftermath of the conflict. The beneficiaries' were able to cope with the lean season food shock, even if they lacked the inputs required to ensure food security sustainability. Insecurity on land property is also affecting IDPs access to farming land. A long term approach is necessary, in order to tackle such a complex equation, including the individual, village and market dimensions of farm production.

130. FFT in agriculture. Training of returnee IDPs in agriculture was implemented on a small scale. The improvement of agricultural professional skills would have a better level of food security and given sustainability to the Returnees maintenance of nutritional status. The Project established neither indicators on the beneficiaries' learning level, skills improvement nor recorded the increase of production related to the improvement of technology. No major change in farming technology was recorded during the field survey.

131. SO3 Nutrition. These activities, undertaken in collaboration with UNICEF<sup>7</sup>, were undermined by the difficulties for caretakers to adhere to the necessary full time treatment necessary for their children healing from moderate malnutrition and disruption of the food delivery. Constraints included drug availability and cost. The high rate of abandon was the result of the limited amount of food aid per beneficiary. The recovery rate of the beneficiaries was just over the threshold (Table 13). This component of the Project had to deal with the lack of resources and experience of several nutritional centres, whose services were not assisted by updated nutrition and health professional staff. Their good will did not overcome

<sup>7</sup> WFP distributed food only to caretakers, whereas UNICEF was in charge of health staff training and of providing therapeutic food, essential medicines, and related materials.

such major deficiencies, accrued by the logistics constraints and low priority rate assigned to the shipment of food for these activities.

132. The recovery rates of the SFC and TFC beneficiaries were positive. Such data were collected by the implementing partners, but not systematically in a consistent way, through all the partners. Size or weight scales were often broken or missing. The follow up of a specific indicator was poor. In the case of TFCs, recorded values for assisted children show an impact lower than expected: recovery rate: 61.5 percent vs 75.0 percent, defaulter rate 12.7 vs 10.0). The beneficiaries of Guiglo and Man sub-offices had lower rates of achievement than the other ones (over 5 percent death rate and over 10 percent defaulter rate). The improvement of the nutritional status of children assisted by SFCs recorded a recovery rate of 69.2 percent (vs an objective of 70.0 percent), and defaulter rate 10.4 percent (vs 15.0).

| Activity | <b>Defaulter rate</b> (%) |           | Death r        | Death rate (%) |       | te (%)                  |  |
|----------|---------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|-------|-------------------------|--|
|          | Total                     | Threshold | Total          | Threshold      | Total | Threshold               |  |
| SFC      | 10.4                      | 150       | 0.9            | 3.0            | 69.2  | 70.0                    |  |
| TFC      | 12.7                      | 10.0      | 4.4            | 10.0           | 61.5  | 75.0                    |  |
|          |                           |           |                |                |       |                         |  |
|          | Defaulter rate (%)        |           | Death rate (%) |                | W     | eight < 2.5 Kg at birth |  |
| MCH      | 17.0                      |           | 0.3            |                | 8.5   | 10.0                    |  |

 Table 13. Performance of beneficiaries of the nutrition activities (1.1-31-12-2008)

Source: Project database

133. MCH recipients of food aid were also supported by the delivery of awareness events on reproductive health. The percentage of assisted mothers' children born underweight (Kg < 2.5) was 8.5 percent vs 10 percent, which represents a fair achievement. Although, the high defaulter rate (17.0) poses the problem of the adequacy of the way this activity was implemented.

134. A Focus group discussion was held to collect insight on behavioural change, perception and Project performance. Its results are highlighted here below:

- need for basic training on nutrition,

- food aid was considered both as a means to prevent hunger and a kind of income transfer,

- supplied food characteristics were usually culturally adequate,

- rations were adequate for 4-5 people beneficiaries families, but inadequate for the usually larger extended households,

- food aid reduced family expenditure in food,

- households were confident that access to Income generating activities would have improved their food security.

135. SO3: HIV and AIDS. PLWH and PMTCT. The features of this component are summed as follows:

- The multi-sectoral ramifications of dietary and nutritional responses to HIV presents a complex working field, not properly assessed in the Project design;

- It is not clear if the Project document assumed inclusion of activities beyond food assistance, such as, nutrition assessment, education and counselling;

- Linkage with partners and projects specialising in livelihoods, income generating activities and vocational training has been limited, but crucial to the sustainability of the Project approaches in support of HIV-infected and AIDS-affected beneficiaries;

- there is no plan or guidance for the assessment of beneficiaries readiness to be phased out of food support,

- there was no procedure for the quality assessment and validation of data provided by partners.

136, The Project geographical focus was appropriate: food insecure areas that are also areas with the higher HIV prevalence rates. The implementing partners supported the creation of self-help groups of PLWH, affiliated to local networks, in order to access to ART treatment, nutrition and welfare schemes. The Bouaké sub office assisted 40 percent of the PLWH, and the Korhogo one 29 percent . The greater presence of technical skills in this area of the Northern region possibly explains this geographical preference. A sample monitoring of the change in weight of PLWH under treatment and receiving nutritional aid in Bouaké, highlights a constant weight gain, with a peak after 5-6 months (see Figure 2).



Figure 2. Weight change of PLWH after 6 months of food distribution, Care Bouaké

Source: Evaluation field survey (Annex 19)

137. The self-help groups of pregnant/lactating women identified those needing Ante-natal care and fostered their access to PMTCT clinics. The PMTCT beneficiary mothers were 467. Voluntary counselling and HIV and AIDS testing were positively fostered by food aid. Overall, awareness about HIV and AIDS, and PTMCT increased as voluntary counselling and testing to mothers in child bearing age and pregnant women were being provided. Although, stigmatization effects are perceived in the reluctance of most mothers to collect the food rations personally, in order to avoid identification by their community members.

138. A Focus group discussion was held to collect insight on behavioural change, perception and Project performance. Beneficiaries highlighted the following points:

- assisted PLWH regularly attended ART treatment for longer duration than other PLWH,

- food aid improved household food security and reduced opportunistic infections, by enhancing the immune system expression and allowing PLWH to work (most PLWH were unemployed),

- selection of food aid beneficiaries was uneasily performed by using the Project mixed nutritional and social criteria plus ART treatment condition,

- food aid contributed to reduce social stigma, also if in a small proportion.

139. The provision of food to HIV-affected households enabled them to retain some of the resources that might otherwise have been spent on purchasing food and medicine. Family members could be drawn away from production or income generating activities to care for sick relatives. The beneficiaries target was not optimal, with respect to the WFP HIV and

AIDS strategy. At the time of the PRRO identification, no clear WFP HIV targeting strategy existed. Targeting of beneficiaries in food insecure areas, often rural, was based on the EFSA 2006 survey and on the presence of most HIV facilities, in food insecure areas, concentrated in the centre and North of the country. These activities were prioritised and performed above schedule, even if Implementing partners were not always able to supply full packages of assistance, including prevention, treatment and follow up. The M&E Project was weak, insufficiently involving partners in a two-way check of the activity progress. This situation limited the decision making and adjustment process, as well as the appraisal of the Project impact on the livelihood of beneficiaries. Lack of evidence of the intervention effectiveness reduced the Project strength in negotiating with partners. Thus, opportunities were lost in providing sustainable solutions to the HIV affected people.

140. Implementing partners were highly satisfied in terms of results and sustainability of the activities, although they were concerned by difficulties in contracting, reporting and funding (expenses validation) by the Key collaborating partners. Although, there was no plan for follow-up interviews to assess whether beneficiaries were ready to be phased out and no livelihood activities were planned succeeding the phasing out/graduation from food assistance. Some implementing partners initiated livelihood or income generating activities during the period of provision of food assistance or immediately after, but these were independent approaches, not connected to the Project strategy. In fact, there are cases of households assisted for years, without introduction to any livelihood activities. Due to the low labour capacity of PLWH, beneficiaries require support in developing income generating and livelihood activities.

141. SO3: OVC in families were assisted through (a) food aid to the host families and (b) FFT package, also based on family rations. OVC vocational training was directed to provide children affected by the war, such as demobilised soldier children, with literacy and job skills. A wide range of urban and rural courses were supported: cooking, tailoring, hairdressing, for girls; farming, electricity, mechanics, wood carpentry, and other craftsmanship's, mostly for boys. Such technical skills were completed with to literacy and basic knowledge classes. At the end of the capacity building phase, usually 9-12 months, some training centres provided the trainees with personal work kits.

142. The variety of conditions of the agencies implementing this activity did not allow the standardisation of the training strategy, resulting in the impossibility to prioritise or balance the different curricula, according to local needs for qualified workers. Some schools provided complementary basic training on managerial issues, in order to facilitate the access to the market. The shaping of this initiative was disturbed by the incomplete understanding of job market needs, the limited assessment of professional aptitudes of the trainees and the lack of capital for the newly trained professionals (cf. microfinance).

143. The Project established no indicators for the beneficiaries' learning level and skills improvement, or at least for their access to employment. The evaluation of the effectiveness of this activity was limited to the attendance rate of the beneficiaries. In some cases, trainee girls were not allowed to attend the courses by their families, who were concerned with the fulfilment of the home workload and creation of early marriages conditions. The beneficiaries acquired new professional ability, but had little opportunities to apply the learned skills during the project implementation timeframe. the economic situation offered little opportunity for fast expansion of the job market. The valorisation of such human capital depend on many factors outside the reach of the Project and implementing partners' skills.

144. SO4 The results of SO4 activities is examined separately in the two major geographic zones (North, under the *Forces nouvelles* sway, and South, under Government control), because of the significant differences in the initial conditions of education and also the different impact of the crisis in the two areas. These results must also be based on the two

stated objectives: increasing access to primary education and reducing gender disparity. This analysis is based on the observation of changes through three academic years, namely 2006/2007 before the intervention, 2007/2008 during intervention and 2008/2009 the final stage of intervention. The underlying assumption, particularly in the Northern regions, where many schools had stopped the activity for a number of months, was that provision of a hot meal at school would encourage parents to send more of their school age children to school. If such assumption is true, the total enrolment and Primary 1 registration in the assisted schools should have increased. On the other hand, the assessment of the Project impact requires looking beyond the assisted schools at the overall education system. The assumption is that school feeding in those places that were more vulnerable would bring not only an increased school population but also an increased enrolment rate (percentage of pupils going to school with respect to all those aged between 6-11 years).

145. The under-funding and disruption in the food supply pipeline limited the achievements of this activity. The School canteen fee, for example, was not supporting the enrolment of poor children in the North. *Parent-Teacher Associations* provided a daily contribution equivalent to US\$ 0.04 towards the salaries of canteen workers, the purchase and preparation of vegetables, sauces, firewood and the functioning of local, regional and national levels of the DNC. The food aid was directly delivered to the schools. Although not included in the initial project design, some actions have nevertheless taken place for example to provide teachers' housing, to repair school buildings and to recruit volunteer teachers for assisted schools. Such enlargement of the Project design improved the sustainability of the other activities on the education systems in the affected areas.

146. *Support access to primary education.* Table 14 shows a summary of the changes in enrolments in the sample of surveyed schools. Total enrolment grew by 19.3 percent between the academic years 2006/2007 and 2007/2008 and by 13.6 percent between 2007/2008 and 2008/2009. By way of comparison the total national primary school enrolment grew from 2,111,971 in 2005/2006 to 2,179,801 in 2006/2007, i.e. by 3.2 percent Thus, the Project contributed in significantly by accelerating access to primary education in 2007/2008 and 2008/2009. It was not of course the only factor, the other being the gradual return of peace and stability in the region. In 2008/2009 the rate of growth of primary school enrolments reduced because the peace effect had been exhausted. Furthermore, when the expected outcome materialised, i.e., the enrolment rate grew, the Project didn't increase the number of School feeding recipients accordingly. As a result, the proportion of fed pupils decreased, thus excluding some children.

| Total enrolments |                       |  |  | Growth (%)   |   |
|------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Girls            | Boys                  | Total  | Girls                                      | Boys   | Total   |
| 995              | 1,253                 | 2,248  |  |  |   |
| 1,185            | 1,497                 | 2,682  | 19.1%                                      | 19.5%  | 19.3%   |
| 1,351            | 1,697                 | 3,048  | 14.0%                                      | 13.4%  | 13.6%   |
|                  | Girls<br>995<br>1,185 | Girls         Boys           995         1,253           1,185         1,497 | GirlsBoysTotal9951,2532,2481,1851,4972,682 | Girls         Boys         Total         Girls           995         1,253         2,248         1,185         1,497         2,682         19.1% | Girls         Boys         Total         Girls         Boys           995         1,253         2,248 |

Table 14. Changes in enrolments in the sample of schools

Source: Evaluation field survey

147. *Reduce gender disparity in access to education.* The second major objective of the project in the area of School feeding was to enhance retention and narrow the enrolment gap between boys and girls. In 4 regions, the ratio of girls to boys enrolled in primary schools reaches 1 to 4 and highlights the obstacles confronting girl pupils in completing the primary school cycle. As they grow older, they face a lot of social pressure to drop out school, as their work is required at home and they approach their marriage age. By providing Take home rations for girls in Grades 4, 5 and 6 the Project would have pledged to help maintain or improve the enrolment and retention of female students. A Take-home ration, consisting of Kg 50 of rice every 3 months, was to be provided to girls attending the last 3 years of the primary cycle of schools in 4 Northern regions with clear gender disparity in access to

primary education. A pilot phase with 15,000 girls was to be conducted in the *18 Montagnes* region in the first year of implementation and then to be extended to the remaining three regions (*Bafing, Denguele, Worodogu*) the second academic year (2008/2009) targeting 60,000 girls in total. The Take home rations for girls action was not implemented for lack of resources. Nevertheless the general school feeding operation with wet rations for girls and boys alike had a positive effect on retention of girls. Using the data in our sample of schools Table 15 shows the proportion of girls in enrolment by grade in the three school-years being considered.

| Year         | New    | CP1  | CP2  | CE1  | CE2  | CM1  | CM2  | Total |
|--------------|--------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|
|              | comers |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |
| All sample   |        |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |
| 2008/2009    | 49.9   | 48.6 | 48.7 | 44.1 | 43.9 | 39.2 | 36.9 | 44.3  |
| 2007/2008    | 53.6   | 52.8 | 44.4 | 46.7 | 41.0 | 41.7 | 28.9 | 44.2  |
| 2006/2007    | 45.1   | 45.8 | 45.8 | 48.2 | 47.5 | 38.7 | 36.4 | 44.3  |
| North region |        |      |      |      |      |      |      |       |
| 2008/2009    | 48.6   | 47.6 | 47.9 | 40.8 | 40.4 | 33.9 | 36.5 | 42.4  |
| 2007/2008    | 53.1   | 52.6 | 40.9 | 43.7 | 35.2 | 37.7 | 28.4 | 41.9  |
| 2006/2007    | 45.4   | 45.5 | 42.4 | 41.5 | 47.5 | 36.5 | 37.1 | 42.2  |

Table 15. Girls enrolment (%) by grade and zone

Source: Evaluation field survey

148. Reduction in gender disparity in excess to primary education was puzzling. The M&E reports show a decreasing *Gender parity index* (GPI) between 2007 and 2008, from 0.74 to 0.72 in the North and from 0.95 to 0.77 in the South. In the schools surveyed by the evaluation team, the Gender Parity Index (GPI) went from 0.794 in 2006/2007 to 0.792 in 2007/2008 to 0.796 in 2008/2009. Reduction in the pipelined resources resulted in not implementing the Take home rations for girls, an activity that could have reversed the trend in the Northern regions. More importantly, it is necessary to compare the GPI between grades as it is likely that girls' drop-out rates are higher than those of boys in upper grades.

149. The enrolment growth by gender shows no substantial difference in the effects on girls and boys. However if we look at the increase in registration into Grade 1 (CP1), shown in Annex 20, Table A.2, we see that, even if total registration increased by 22.6 percent in 2007/2008, that for girls leapt by 45.9 percent, compared to 3.6 percent for boys. That was quite significant even though this effect was balanced later in 2008/2009. The proportion of girls is globally smaller than equitable and has not changed in the 3 years (approx 44 percent). Secondly the gender-based bias increases with the higher grades, with typically girls representing less than 40 percent beyond Grade 4 (CE2). Thirdly the gender-based bias was more pronounced in the Northern region zone than in the rest of the country. Fourthly, there was no clear trend between 2006/2007 and 2008/2009.

150. School processes can only partly impact on the school retention rate. Most determinant factors had to do with security, social and cultural factors from the family situations, and can't be solved simply through school feeding. It would then be very presumptuous of anybody to think that with one year of school feeding one could change such a complex situation as girls' retention in school. Still, experience from other countries shows that school feeding must be considered as a factor to contribute to improve the gender parity index at upper school grades.

151. The empirical evidence of the data from the sample allows to identify the effects of school processes, including school feeding on the retention rates for girls, by looking at the changes in the two relevant academic years, assuming the social factors remained constant. By computing the promotion, repetition and drop-out rates by grade and analysing a fictitious cohort of children entering school, it is possible to show that in 2006/2007 the theoretical probability of a girl to reach CM2 (Grade 6) was 43 percent of those entering school and that

this prospect increased to 75.2 percent in 2007/2008. Although, this calculation is biased by returning families bringing pupils who were not counted in the previous school-year, and so is only a tentative speculation.

152. The question whether implementation of the original Take home rations for girls could have improved the retention rates of girls can't be answered, in absence of documentation on the reactivity of the Ivorian communities. It was therefore wise of the PRRO document to have planned a pilot phase of one year just to assess how the intervention worked out. Obviously the condition for success is that the communities be in such need of food that the rations would override the traditional and cultural brakes. Experimentation is still needed.

153. *Voluntary teachers*. Following the total collapse of the Government service in the Northern region, the schools were closed in 2002/2003 and 2003/2004. In 2004/2005 a number of schools resumed, with the collaboration of voluntary teachers who, although not qualified, tried to organise some learning in some schools. In an effort to encourage this beneficial activity for children and alongside the launching of school feeding operations in the North, WFP started a programme of providing those voluntary teachers with dry rations in a typical Food for social work activity.

154. The project document specified that a take-home, family ration will be provided to 3,600 volunteer teachers in the 2007/2008 academic year in the North as an incentive for substitute teachers for the last year. With the resumption of Government activities in the Northern region, the teachers who had fled the region have returned en masse and the need for voluntary teachers has rapidly decreased. Thus for example in Korhogo DREN, voluntary teachers represented 50 percent of all teachers in 2006/2007 but only 27 percent in 2008/2009. In some cases even, voluntary teachers were maintained for political merit where they were not required at all. In response to the reduction of resources, this activity, plagued by several contradictions, was discontinued. Only 239 out of the planned 3,600 voluntary teachers received a take-home ration. Thus, food assistance to volunteer teachers was phased out in the 2008/2009 academic year.

155. Associated Women's Production Groups. One of the most innovative features of the school feeding operation was the work of women's production groups. As part of their programme for rendering school feeding sustainable, the DNC promoted the establishment of women's economic groups around school canteens, in order to support the establishment associations producing food, thus generating income and local food supply for the School canteens. The DNC sensitised the village people, especially women, to the need of supporting the school canteen by forming production associations. Once an association was formed, it received working equipment (boots, watering cans, hoes, wheelbarrows, tools, seeds, fertilizers, etc., and some technical advice. often by the Agence nationale de développement rural, ANADER). Then at harvest time, the association ceded for free a significant portion of its produce to the school canteen. A convention was actually signed by the Associations stating that they would attempt to cover 100 percent of the canteen's requirements after 4 or 5 years operating.

156. The DNC mobilised a number of international donors, including the European Commission, Japan and the World Bank. About 846 women's groups are presently in operation. This represents 15 percent of functioning canteens. Sacks of paddy rice, yam, bananas, onions, etc. piled in the School canteens storage rooms were noted during the field visits. Just as example: in academic year 2006/2007, the association around the Ahoutoué school in Alépé Inspectorate offered 28 baskets of *attiéké* and 26 bowls of *placali*, covering approximately 40 days of school lunch. In 2007/2008, the same association offered a total of 65 bowls of *placali*, 70 baskets of *attiéké* and Kg 199 of rice. Other schools in the Northern regions claim such groups cover up to 20 days of school days it was noted.

157. This development is a consequence of the Project. But the Project has no effective grasp on its evolution and meaning. The commitment of the womens associations already stressed by their regular, home, child care and productive tasks, and unable to improve their technology, increased their burden, in terms of labour time, typically at the expenses of their children. It should also be reckoned that the food the women associations produced was less that the women themselves consumed in the course of the year (e.g., rice production did not exceed mt/Ha 1, cropped by about 10 women, that is the cereal equivalent consumption of 5 adult people, at Kcal/day 2,100 each, i.e. Kg/year 219 per person).

158. Furthermore, the price of produced cereals, was higher than that of the international market. Fresh consumed food will be more practical, as an integration of the basic meal ratio. As the locally produced food is ceded for free to the canteens, the organization of the women associations resulted in a compulsory contribution of the community to the education system. As such, it should be assessed how it impacted the nutritional and health status of the women households. A potential problem may arise from the fact that such food items are used by School canteens as they are received. DNC has recently recruited a nutritionist, in order to assess the best use of such food, as complementary to the pupils' diet.

159. User charge fees. Schools charge pupils' parents with three fees, a practice originated from the initiation of the *National school canteens sustainability programme*: F CFA 500 per month per pupil to pay the salary of voluntary teachers, F CFA 250 per year to use school books and F CFA 25 per pupil per day to have a meal. The School canteen fee is used to cover the salary of the cooks', fuel wood and supplementary sauces, as well as to cover the functioning of the DNC system: 60 percent is retained at the school, 7 percent serves to cover costs at the Inspectorate, 13 percent at the DREN and 20 percent is spent by the central DNC office. The DNC implement the Government policy of not providing the school meals free of charge, in order to raise the parents' and pupils' sense of responsibility.

160. The Project document aligned with this rule. In many visited schools, teachers stated that this obligation was likely to be a problem for some parents. Some parents, especially in the North, may be too poor to afford the sum every day for every child and some others may think of other uses for their money. Some schools feed pupils, even if they have no money for the SF fee, others schools do not allow them to eat, while others admit them to eat, if food is left over at the end of the paying pupils' meal. The DNC is aware of the social exclusion created by such rule, but setting up food bursaries failed, as everybody claimed to be indigent, in order to benefit from the bursary. They then stopped, allowing communities to pay for selected indigent pupils, to be confirmed in coordination with the school masters.

#### 2.C.2 Connectedness

161. The Project was a continuation of previous operations, building on their experience, partnerships and results. The continuation of the PRRO benefits after its completion is forecasted, provided institutional skills keep growing. Appropriation of the Project results by the DNC and Feeding and health centres was effective at the technical level, while WFP food aid and managerial skills are still necessary, in order to provide managerial skills. Beneficiaries livelihoods improved, even if not yet sustainable. The improvement of the security situation in the country is creating the basis for the shift from relief to development actions. This is requested by donors and implementing partners, now oriented to a greater participation of beneficiaries to remove of the causes of malnutrition.

162. The Project impacted positively on local capacity building in a few critical areas, by improving the implementing partners skills in malnutrition identification, food delivery and protection. The DNC was supported by enhancing and digitalising its own M&E system. Strengthening of Monitoring and evaluation capacity was also achieved. Although, little was done in involving local partners in the critical planning phase of the project cycle

management. Communities are contributing to the maintenance of the infrastructure rehabilitated by the Project and to the running of the School feeding programme. Nutrition and health centres and NGOs deeply depend on expatriate guidance, in framing their interventions.

163. Mechanisms of procurement of local food put in place by the Project are deeply dependent on WFP resources and skills. Nutrition and heath centres are not adequately funded to autonomously procure enough food to supply their potential beneficiaries. Local purchases of basic food are not adequate for the increasing needs of the School canteen program, the largest component of the Project. The Women associations established under the *National school canteens sustainability programme* are part of a scheme for raising village contributions to fund local schools. The economic balance of such activities is negative, to date.

## **2.D Cross cutting issues**

## 2.D.1 Gender

164. The contribution of women to the household economy is at the core of the food security traditions in Ivorian agriculture: women are in charge of field farming while men deal with tree plantations. Several PRRO planned activities directly addressed gender sensitive targets (e.g., SO3 and SO4 Girls take home rations). Thus, food aid provided under all activities, especially SO1 and SO2, was filling a gap arising from the women's part of the household economy: supply of basic food. Improvement of job skills was undertaken under the FFT scheme, promoting a balanced participation of girls to vocational training. Some success stories of trainee girls creating joint workshops – especially in tailoring – were recorded. Such initiatives were leveraging on the pride of women accessing to small business and solidarity in undertaking such challenge. This was a positive gender related progress.

165. Gender equity was at the core of the SO3 activities (nutritional support of mothers and children and HIV affected people) approach and positively addressed in the targeting of vocational skills need. Women are disproportionately affected by HIV. Females were 57 percent of the assisted PLWH and 53 percent of the assisted OVC in families. The integrated approach of the MCH centres to nutrition was rewarded by the assiduity of the beneficiary women from remote villages.

166. SO4. A report by the DNC on the School feeding program in the Southern region compared the school performance of boys and girls in the Project assisted and other schools. Whereas the percentage of pupils achieving at least half of the maximum score in 2006/2007 was 72.5 percent of the girls and 69.0 percent of the boys in all schools, these percentages were 74.8 percent and 68.1 percent in the assisted schools. This result shows that girls have a better performance in the Project assisted schools that in other schools. It is not clear why the result was the reverse for boys. This conclusion is nevertheless partial because in the first instance it relates to an academic year before implementation of the project under evaluation (it in fact deals with the earlier project) and secondly we have no similar data relating to the northern area is. From a baseline GPI level of 0.69 in 2005 in schools, the value in 2008 has significantly improved to 0.75, i.e. 0.77 in southern areas and 0.72 in northern areas.

167. The admission and retention rates for girls have to be differentiated, each requiring different approaches. In order to improve the GPI we need both to increase admission of girls and to retain them at school, hence the importance of grade-wise enrolment data (to be collected at the schools to be visited during the field mission). The suspension of the *Girls take home rations* activity reduced the impact of the Project on the GPI index, most sensibly

in the Northern regions, where cultural factors hurdle girls enrolment in schools, especially in the upper grades.

168. The involvement of women groups in the production and supply of food to the School canteens was partly successful. The cost of local production of cereals is not competitive with the external market prices. This resulted in an increased work burden for the women's groups at the expense of their other livelihood activities. The advantage of this was limited to filling the gaps in food delivery, due to late or incomplete (e.g., only CSB and not rice) shipments. Implementing partners awareness raising and training on mainstreaming gender positively impacted on PRRO food delivery activities sensitivity to women conditions.

#### 2.D.2 Partnership

169. Both expatriate and local implementing partners had a consolidate history of collaboration with local communities. The Project logistics provided extra support to partners, when required. On the other hand, the Project achievements should also be assessed against the effectiveness of each partner role in the follow up of beneficiaries' and communities welfare in the long term.

170. Some Partners seem adequate and willing to shift from the implementation of executive tasks, typical of the relief approach, to a more challenging and active role in decision making, typical of development. This will entail the adoption of participatory methodologies in dealing with communities and vulnerable sectors of the population representatives. Donors and Ivorian authorities are coordinating country-level HIV and AIDS monitoring and actions programming, under the leadership of the United nations.

171. The delivery of School feeding commodities was operated directly by the DNC or by Key collaborating partners (Caritas and Care). Nutrition activities dealt in collaboration with UNICEF followed in the second model of partnership. A Memorandum of Understanding was signed between WFP and UNICEF, in order to provide comprehensive assistance to 200 schools with a package comprising non-food items and school kits, improved cooking stoves, latrines, rehabilitation of schools and canteens, de-worming, teacher training, and HIV awareness (see Annex 20, Table A.3 for the regional distribution of the schools). At the beginning, UNICEF was satisfied with the outcomes of the collaboration. But this collaboration was strongly curtailed, due to the reduction of Project resources available . Some of the schools visited by the evaluation team were part of the joint programme and had been rehabilitated with renovated classrooms and all pupils supplied with school bags and supplies. About 100 schools benefited from the Project.

#### 2.D.3 Protection

172. The unbalanced skills of the Implementing partners and security concerns in the field obliged the organisation training on protection. A total 28 partners and Project staff were trained. Another 90 partners were trained on *Do No Harm*. The extent of this initiative was adequate to the security challenge faced by the Project, in providing food aid to vulnerable far fetching people, living in insecure areas. Implementing partner appreciated such training sessions, contributing to the build up of their professional skills. The OVC in family activity specifically dealt with protection of the recipients. The host families answer to the OVC need for a shelter was largely positive.

# **3** Conclusions and recommendations

## **3.A Overall assessment**

173. The Project was the continuation of the regional PRRO 10372.0. Results are positive in terms of beneficiaries assisted, notwithstanding some problems in the implementation mechanism. This intervention played a major role in the transition from Relief to Development in the most war stricken regions of Côte d'Ivoire.

174. <u>Relevance and appropriateness</u>. Institutions, in the regions South of the Green line, are stronger. Therefore, Project activities were restricted to supporting School canteens and PLWH in Abidjan. A broader range of activities was implemented in the North West region, the most devastated by the conflict. In fact, most IDP returnees were concentrated there and a greater need for the rehabilitation of infrastructure and social services, i.e. for the recovery of institutional capacities. In the Northern and Eastern regions support in order to access social services was the central axe of the intervention. The intervention was designed with a wide scope and implemented along the prevailing conditions and needs in the different regions. Such an approach, based on independent components, was consistent with the uncertainty existing at the time of the identification.

175. <u>Efficiency</u>. Requests of support were far above the forecast targets and resources available. As a copying strategy, smaller support was provided per beneficiary and more discretion was used in applying the beneficiaries selection criteria. In fact, the original humanitarian principles of urgency have a lesser applicability in development situations. Implementation suffered from the limited elaboration of the Project design, uncertainty on commodities procurement and transport, and lack of technical skills for tuning the activities and monitoring impact. Such design shortages resulted in a great dependence on the Implementing partners skills, low efficiency of the Project management unit in guiding the field action. Extension of former projects activities, without a priority analysis or strategic appraisals of different interventions resulted in lower than expected efficiency that, later coupled by shortage of resources, was probably most prejudicial to the operation.

176. Effectiveness. Difficult logistics, prevailing in the Centre-North, and shortcoming in the Project funding (61.8 percent of the planned budget was received by 31.12.2008) and food pipelining chain difficulties hampered the Project effectiveness. The key problem affecting the Project M&E system was the incomplete integration of the beneficiaries' perspective in the decision making process and limited feed-back of the M&E process results to stakeholders. Focused activities under SO1 and SO2 facilitated the reintegration of IDPs in their communities and ignition of the local economic recovery. Resettled IDPs resumed the traditional patterns of the plantation and shifting agriculture, also through the Project support (Lean season, FFW, FFT). SO3. Vulnerable people' access to social services was improved, through the NGOs and SFC / TFC, MCH and Health centres assisted by the Project. The achievement of this objective was partly achieved. SO4. School feeding contributed to increasing pupils' access to primary education. At the very moment that the expected outcome materialised, i.e., the enrolment rate grew, the Project didn't increase the number of beneficiaries of School feeding. As a result, the proportion of fed pupils decreased and social exclusion increased again. Retention of girls on the other hand requires more targeted actions and was not achieved, due also to the suspension of the take-home ration for girls activity.

## **3.B Key issues for the future**

177. Malnutrition is endemic in Côte d'Ivoire, and widespread in the North. Targeted interventions have to be aligned to record malnutrition rates, as the Country recovery is differentiating the nutritional needs of different population clusters in different regions. Food security policies and local development are emerging as a long term solution to hunger. Sustainability of food security will depend on the valorisation of local resources and the development of local skills.

178. The food insecurity and malnutrition rates recorded at the start of the Project in the Northern and Western regions have not decreased. At the same time, the emerging of a development context created new challenges. The original orientation of the PRRO 10672.0 is evolving in a more Development oriented approach. In fact, in January 2008 the Country office started a development project.

179. Insecurity and climatic disasters will result in food shortage also in the future. Although, migrations have to be assessed and dealt with along development and not contingent criteria, such as those applied to people displaced by a conflict. Development multi-dimensional and multi-parties dimension requires a more fluid and integrated approach to data collection, elaboration and dissemination. As the institutional crisis fades out, it is paramount to contain food aid unit costs and to increase the local contribution in implementing food aid intervention. A Development perspective will provide guidelines for the use of food aid in order to sustainably have an impact food security.

180. SO1. This activity exit strategy of this activity has to rely on food security improvement in the intervention areas, in the frame of local development.

SO2 FFT. This activity has to be assessed not only in relation to the would-be trainees situations but also to the evolution of the job market.

SO3: The effectiveness of the partnership with the nutrition and health centres depends on the improvement of implementing partners' technical and M&E skills, and fulfilment of the patients complex of needs (care and treatment, mitigation and prevention).

181. SO4. The DNC and DREN are effective in implementing the *National school canteens sustainability programme*. The conditions for the implementation of this strategy are better in the South than in the North. Although, the newly established school authorities in the North are eager to play a leading role, in order not to be excluded from the local development dynamics. In the vocational training area, the NGOs play a critical role. The general School feeding distribution had a different impact on the GPI in different regions. A geographically targeted use of the Home rations for girls is advisable. A different approach to School canteens food production by village women associations should be based on (A) the technical improvement of such exploitations and (B) production of food other than the typical WFP commodities. Such approach will (a) avoid competition on prices with the international market and (b) contain the burden carried by the volunteer women (time, physical work, inputs purchase). The School canteens sustainability has to be designed along very strict sustainable development principle, in order to avoid shifting the burden of school feeding from the pupils families to the women associations.

## **3.C Recommendations**

182. General recommendations

1. Adjust beneficiaries targeting to the new situation and design a development oriented *Country strategy*, coherent with the Government and Donors priorities.

2. Prioritise a smaller range of activities (SO3 and SO4), matching the existing Project human resources skills, improving efficiency there by improving technical competencies required for coordinating with implementing partners.

3. Define separate Indicators of the Project progress for the activity, output and outcome levels of the Project, also including development indicators in development oriented activities. At the same time, strengthen and coordinate Implementing partners M&E skills with the Project M&E system in the frame of a bidirectional collaboration and data sharing.

#### 183. SO1. Lean season general food distribution.

1. Define the intervention priorities on the resettlement of new vulnerable groups (IDPs), on the integrated needs of local development, in order to build the conditions for sustainable food security.

2. Prioritise areas with high malnutrition rates, i.e. the Northern provinces.

#### 184. SO2 FFW

1. Address the infrastructure rehabilitation need in the frame of development plans, in order to build the conditions for sustainable food security.

2. Prioritise interventions within local development plans, therefore promoting a larger mobilisation of local resources (beneficiaries and partners contribution) and impacting on food security in a sustainable way. For example, FFW can be integrated with land rehabilitation and water management initiatives.

#### 185. SO2 FFT in agriculture

1. Link the implementation of this activity to rural development strategies. In the case of training of women farmers, activities alleviating their home burden have to be included in the capacity building package, in order to achieve sustainability.

#### 186. SO3 Nutrition

1. Adjust geographical targeting, concentrating on the higher malnutrition rates areas, based on detailed participatory needs assessment (including detailed malnutrition maps), by collaborating with local Health and nutrition centres. The SMART survey sampling grid is not specific enough. Its results can not be used to target specific villages assisted by the SFC/TFC. Enhancing Health centres staff skills in collecting and analysing nutritional parameters will improve the efficiency of malnutrition targeting.

2. The criteria for the selection / discharge of beneficiaries have to be implemented in a more stringent way. Such approach has to proceed hands in hands with the implementation of the new Project nutrition monitoring system.

#### 187 SO3 HIV and AIDS PLWH

1. Establish WFP Country HIV strategy, in order to reflect the present realities, experience and knowledge base. Include in HIV activities the three components: care and treatment, mitigation and prevention, tuning the individual assistance on careful participatory needs assessments. Food aid has to be delivered through a *distribution with*, instead than of a *distribution through* model, i.e. through a participative approach of recipients in the planning/balancing and streamlining of food aid/health care.

2. Ensure the participation of HIV affected people in the monitoring and exchange of information concerning their assistance

3. Contract a nutritionist with expertise in HIV and AIDS, to supervise the nutrition components of HIV and AIDS programming.

4. Adopt a wider set of indicators relating to WFP HIV activities, including:

- recipient's weight,

- recipient's family and work conditions,

- recipient's understanding of the HIV challenge and needs.

#### 188. SO3 HIV and AIDS OVC

1. Food for training. Define the intervention priorities on the basis of a job market study, in order to increase the opportunities for trainees to find a job.

#### 189. SO4 School feeding

1. Prioritise the Northern regions, those with higher malnutrition prevalence rates, in School canteens assistance. Match School feeding activities in the North, West and East regions, as in the South, by include the development of local planning, management and monitoring skills, according to existing local conditions and opportunities.

2. Strengthen the DNC skills in planning, M&E and logistics coordination.

3. Improve the Schools enrolment monitoring system, by collecting data on enrolment by grade and gender.

4. Encourage School Management committees, in collaboration with village authorities, to support specific cases of children unable to pay the School canteen fee, in order to avoid social exclusion.

5. Implement the Take home rations for girls activity in the Northern regions, for the advanced grades beneficiaries, starting with a pilot initiative.

6. Re-design Women's associations food production. The *Courtyard economy approach* is suggested, as an improvement of the women's associations support to School canteens. This action has to be implemented in collaboration with an organization with agricultural skills. Rural women (endowed with a minimum 1 hectare of land) have to be provided with a comprehensive technology package (training, technical assistance, provision of livestock and materials), in order to start production of meat, milk and eggs. Household food security improvement will be integrated with the supply of extra production to the School canteens. The purchase of such fresh animal food will complementary and not alternative to the typical WFP rations (long storage nutrients) and will create an active balance for the women economy. It will also contain the increase in the women workload. The adoption of a rotational system will multiply the effect of this courtyard economy centred initiative: each beneficiary has to provide other vulnerable women with the first livestock offspring, in exchange for the assistance received; the second beneficiary being endowed to receive the rest of the package from the assisting aid agency.

## Annexes

## 1. TOR

#### 1. Background

#### A Context of the Evaluation8

The political instability started in Cote d'Ivoire in 1999 with a coup and resulted in a split of the country in two parts in September 2002: the Government controlling the South of the country, while the North was in the hands of a coalition of four rebel groups called the "Forces Nouvelles". In between was created a buffer zone, "Zone Of Confidence", manned by UN and French interposition troops.

Early 2007, as a result of the Ouagadougou Agreement, the Zone of Confidence was dismantled and the UN peacekeepers redeployed in observation posts along the "green line", a median point between the northern and southern limits of the Zone of Confidence. Further steps outlined in the agreements include, among other things, the rapid reviving and implementation of the DDR and identification processes, the return of the administration to the North. All these steps were regarded as crucial for the continuation of the peace process and the economic recovery.

As a result of the above situation, a negative GDP growth was recorded, in 2005 and 2006 and remained stagnant in 2007. As a corollary, the humanitarian situation has deteriorated over the years, with serious adversely effects for displaced populations and other vulnerable groups. Likewise the food insecurity level of the country was quite high. The 2006 WFP/FAO joint Emergency Food Security Assessment carried out in ten regions in the country noted that in these regions 9 percent of the population was food insecure and an additional 20 percent were at risk. The nutritional status of vulnerable populations in Côte d'Ivoire, especially children under five, has deteriorated since the onset of the crisis. According to the UNICEF MICS survey, 32.9 percent of children under five suffer from stunting - an increase of 12.1 percentage points in two years- and 13.6 percent of children under five suffer from wasting in the North. With an HIV prevalence rate of 4.7 percent nationwide (6.4 percent in women against 2.9 percent in men) Côte d'Ivoire was the most affected country in Western Africa. The deterioration of social and health conditions due to the persisting crisis was likely to cause a sharp rise in HIV transmission. The education sector was also particularly affected by the country's division, with half of the children out of primary school. In the Government zone, demand for education exceeded the reception capacities of educational structures, while in the non-Government zone, the lack of accredited teachers and poor state of educational infrastructures has weakened the educational system. In order to help the recovery of the WFP developed a PRRO throughout the country. The PRRO 10672.0 "Assistance to populations affected by the Cote d'Ivoire protracted crisis" was approved for a period of 18 months (1 July 2007 - 31 December 2008) with a food tonnage of 47,256 mt corresponding to a total WFP cost of US\$ 41,239,517.

#### Goal and immediate objectives of PRRO 10672.0

The overall goal of PRRO 10672.0 was to contribute to return, stability and household food security through food aid interventions that preserve human and productive assets while

<sup>8</sup> In order to ensure that the context description fully complies with description provided at the design stage of the operation, the text in this section is directly extracted from the Project Document of the operation which has been somewhat summarised.

promoting recovery and self-reliance of vulnerable people affected by the crisis in Côte d'Ivoire.

The Immediate objectives are to:

(i) Provide life-saving assistance through general food distributions (SO1) to targeted beneficiaries who are critically food-insecure

(ii) Protect livelihoods, support rehabilitation of productive assets and enhance resilience to shocks (SO2)

(iii) Support the improved nutrition and health status of children, pregnant and lactating women, People Living with HIV and AIDS (PLWHA) and other vulnerable groups (SO 3)

(iv) Support access to primary education, and reduce gender disparity in access to education (SO4)

#### Activities of the operation:

The PRRO is designed to assist some 1,006,000 beneficiaries through four components, namely: *Relief, Food-for-Recovery, Food for Education and Nutrition and HIV & AIDS Interventions.* 

Relief: (2 percent of total beneficiaries and 5 percent of PRRO resources)

General Food Distributions (GFD) are implemented to respond to the needs of 17,000 Internally Displaced people (IDPs) temporarily displaced or in transit, in particular in the West of the country.

Food For Recovery: (9 percent of total beneficiaries and 22 percent of total resources)

WFP sustains the return of previously displaced populations by promoting self-sufficiency and asset creation through various agriculture-related FFW/FFT activities.

A) FFW – Food-For-Agriculture: This activity sector includes the rehabilitation of secondary rural access roads, small bridges, irrigation systems and wells; and the distribution of a WFP seed protection ration in complement to FAO seeds, tools and fertilizer.

B) FFT – Literacy and Agriculture; In this domain the PRRO deals with specific activities such as functional literacy, food processing, food conservation techniques, marketing cash/food crop; and gender awareness.

C) FFT – Demobilised and war-affected children: WFP supported the actual return to peace and normalcy with take-home rations provided to 7,000 demobilised and war-affected youth living in institutions and host families.

Food-for-Education (FFE) (84 percent of total beneficiaries and 55 percent of PRRO resources)

The two different realities of the country, North and South of the green line (ex-Zone Of Confidence) are reflected in two different WFP FFE approaches. In the southern, Government-controlled zone WFP will continue to support the Direction National des Cantines (DNC) which fully manage the school canteens, whereas in the North and Emergency School Feeding (ESF) programme is still implemented, with the DNC gradually regaining field presence and responsibility for direct implementation along the course of the present operation.

Food assistance is provided to increase enrolment and attendance rates, enhance retention and narrow enrolment gap between boys and girls, In order to achieve these objectives, hot meals were served to 580,000 children during the lunch break on each school day of 2007/2008. Food as a take-home, family ration has also been provided to 3,600 volunteer teachers in the

2007-2008 academic year in the North as an incentive for substitute teachers for the last year. *Nutrition and HIV/AIDS interventions (5 percent of total beneficiaries and 18 percent of total resources)* 

**A)** Nutrition - WFP works with partners – in particular UNICEF, the Plan National Nutrition (PNN) and NGOs – to support supplementary feeding programmes in areas with <u>high</u> <u>malnutrition rates</u> in order to improve the nutritional status of acutely malnourished children under five years of age and pregnant and lactating women.

When cases of <u>moderate acute malnutrition</u> are diagnosed by nutritional/medical implementing partners, WFP provides take-home rations composed of CSB, vegetable oil and sugar in the health / nutrition centres for the malnourished children and their mothers.

B) HIV & AIDS - WFP delivers a package of HIV prevention and nutrition rehabilitation assistance to HIV-and-Tuberculosis (TB) affected beneficiaries.

WFP also assists vulnerable people living with HIV and AIDS, selected on medical and food insecurity criteria, with a family ration for 9 months, as well as host families of HIV orphans for a period of 10 months. Take-home family rations contribute to adequate dietary intake and serve as an income transfer that allows household budgets to include non-food expenditures

WFP also assists pregnant and nursing HIV-positive mothers living in food insecure areas through Prevention of Mother-To-Child (PMTCT) programmes. Beneficiaries receive WFP food as take-home family rations for 21 months, from the 7th month of pregnancy to 18 months of the life of the infant.

#### Delivery channels:

FFE is the largest component of the PRRO. It is implemented by the *Direction Nationale des Cantines* Scolaires (DNC). Parent-Teacher Associations provide a daily contribution equivalent to US\$ five cents towards the salaries of canteen workers, the purchase and preparation of vegetables, sauces, firewood and functioning of local, regional and national levels of the DNC. The WFP food is directly delivered to the schools.

Nutrition rehabilitation programmes provide food through supplementary feeding centres (SFCs) and therapeutic feeding centres (TFCs) in mobile clinics or hospitals managed by local and International NGOs, the Ministry of Health and an increasingly by the PNN. *WFP food is remitted to the these Centres (SFCs and TFCs) and* UNICEF ensures that therapeutic milk and BP-100 are provided and identifies needs for complementary items.

FFW projects are selected by WFP with a needs-based approach. Partners and communities in food insecure areas are encouraged to initiate FFW schemes. NGOs and village councils implement the activities. The WFP food is provided to the selected communities through NGOs which ensure the distributions.

FFT activities, WFP and UNICEF collaborate together with the *Programme National de Démobilisation, Désarmement et Réinsertion* (PNDDR), and local (animation rural de Korhogo (ARK),Bureau International Catholique de l'Enfance (BICE),and international NGOs (Caritas, Care International, Save the Children). The food is provided to implementing partners who ensures food distribution to beneficiaries.

Food for Lives saving is provided to IOM who ensures food distributions to beneficiaries in the camps.

#### **B** Stakeholders

The following stakeholders will be closely involved in the evaluation through interviews and/or briefings conducted by the evaluation team. Most of them will be invited to actively participate in the debriefing session and the review of evaluation outputs (in particular the draft of the full report).

#### (i) External Stakeholders

The PRRO activities aim at assisting the Government at national (Ministry of Health, HIV/AIDs, Ministry of Agriculture, Ministry of Education, Planning and Development, Ministry of Solidarity and war-affected people, Ministry of Social Affairs) and sub-national

levels (Health centres, primary schools, etc...), international and national non-governmental organizations (in particular: Solidarités, Action Contre la Faim (ACF), Danish Red Cross (DRC), Norwegian Red Cross (NRC), Médecins Sans Frontiéres (MSF), Care, Caritas, IRC and ICRC), communities and beneficiaries. At each level, individuals are involved. Their stake in the process is that they are the key implementing agents whose performance will determine whether WFP assistance achieved its objectives. They will be providing feedback to the evaluators on their views, experiences and suggestions for improvements and change.

Other stakeholders external to WFP are partners from within the UN (FAO, UNICEF. WHO, UNHCR, OCHA and IOM) and bilateral agencies or donors, who cooperate with WFP in activities funded in Cote d'Ivoire (USA, EC, Germany and France), as well as bodies focusing on food security, demobilization, agriculture, education and nutrition in the country and the region such as the DNC, the DDR and the CILSS. All these stakeholders will be consulted by the evaluation mission on questions of partnerships, co-ordination and comparative advantage.

#### (ii) Internal Stakeholders

The Country office in Cote d'Ivoire, its related sub-offices as well as the West Africa regional bureau located in Senegal (OMD) play an important role in the conception and implementation of the PRRO.

At headquarters, the main stakeholders are the OMX division (more especially the OMXD and OMXF units) and the OEDP units which have participated in the design of the operation and provide technical support to the Country Office for the implementation of the PRRO. The OMLP unit (Food procurement) can be also regarded as a stakeholder, more specifically regarding the issue of the local food procurement issue.

The stake of WFP colleagues consist in their role of defining and implementing policies and operations and reporting back on achievements. They are key informants to understanding achievements and areas in which improvements are needed and how they can be achieved.

WFP Management and the Executive Board are key stakeholders in the evaluation, as they review the summary evaluation report and decide on policy directions, strategies, and thus indirectly decide on resources for the operation.

2. Reason for the Evaluation

#### A. Rationale

This evaluation is conducted to comply with the stipulations of the WFP's corporate evaluation policy, according to which "any operation longer than 12 months should be evaluated".

The current PRRO implementation phase is expected to come to an end in December 2008. The evaluation will be conducted just before the completion of the current phase so as to obtain recommendations for improvement in the framework of an eventual future extension in time of the operation or a conversion of the operation in other projects.

The rationale for undertaking the evaluation at this time is to inform the discussions of Executive Staff, Executive Board, Regional Bureau and Country Office – who are the main users of the evaluation – on the results of the PRRO.

The evaluation will be managed by the WFP Office of Evaluation (OEDE) at the request of the Regional Bureau and the Country Office. As such, this decision is also in compliance with the current evaluation policy which stipulates that "OEDE will manage evaluations identified and proposed as part of its biennium work-planning exercise; these being undertaken with the agreement of the regional bureau and the country office".

#### **B.** Objectives

The objective of the evaluation of the PRRO 10672.0 is to contribute to accountability and learning in the context of the provision of an independent evaluation service:

(i) Accountability is the obligation to account for (and report on) the level of implementation of the PRRO 10672.0. In this respect, the mission will cover all evaluation criteria, including results (effectiveness and impact) for the four major component of the PRRO, namely: Social assistance, the health sector (nutrition and HIV and AIDS), as well as the agricultural and education sectors. Looking at the performance level of the PRRO, the mission will also report on the level of aid expenditures vis-à-vis the degree of success and/or failure of the PRRO's objectives as well as the achievement level of the outputs.

(ii) Learning: The mission will take advantage of this evaluation to see whether lessons can be drawn in order to be applied at the design phase of an eventual new phase of the PRRO 10672.0 or for the conversion of the PRRO in development project(s). These lessons should help improve future performance of the WFP assistance to Cote d'Ivoire or facilitate the decision-making regarding the continuation or discontinuation of the assistance provided by the PRRO 10672.0.

3. Scope of the Evaluation

#### A. Scope

The evaluation will cover the period under which the PRRO has been implemented (i.e 1 July 2007 till the evaluation field mission that is expected to take place in October 2008). The main period of analysis for the evaluation will be mid-2007 to August 2008, representing the period when the operation was the most resourced.

The evaluation will cover the three major components of the operation, namely: relief and recovery (27 percent of the PRRO resources), Assistance to the education sector (55 percent of the operation resources) and support to health - nutrition/HIV and AIDS (22 percent of the PRRO resources)

In this respect, the evaluation will examine the various activities supported by the PRRO throughout the country (North and South zones) within the above regrouped components of the operation.

All major partners will be interviewed by the evaluation team (Government, UN Agencies, Local donor representatives, NGOs, etc)

#### **B.** Evaluability Assessment

Evaluability is the extent to which an activity or a program can be evaluated in a reliable and credible fashion. It necessitates that an operation provides: (a) a clear description of the situation before or at its start that can be used as reference point to determine or measure change; (b) a clear statement of intended outcomes, i.e. the desired changes that should be observable once implementation is under way or completed; (c) a set of clearly defined and appropriate indicators with which to measure changes; and (d) a defined timeframe by which outcomes should be occurring.

#### (i) Baseline Information Availability

The section on the context stresses that a number of assessments, studies and surveys have been conducted before the implementation of the operation. The PRRO has also been built on the findings of an in-depth emergency food security assessment conducted in September/October 2006. The project document also indicates that baseline information has been collected at the start of the project and recorded by the monitoring system designed for the PRRO by the country office. This information will be made accessible to the evaluation mission.

A few analysis have been conducted recently which are making reference to this baseline information mores especially for the FFE component of the PRRO. This information will be made available to the evaluation mission.

Consequently, it appears that baseline information available from various sources could be used to determine or measure change resulting from the operation implementation.

#### (ii) Clarity of Intended Outcome

The project document provides clear outcomes for the operation. Likewise the logical framework annexed to the document appears to be good and usable in the sense that it specifies expected outputs, outcomes, impact. Clear and measurable outputs, objectives and impact seem to have been set at the inception of the operation. Should there be a need, the logical framework could be revisited by the evaluation team and eventually more specifically defined.

#### *(iii) Appropriate Indicators*

The logical framework presented in the project document contains specific indicators at each level of the result chain. Chosen indicators set in the operation logic model appear to be appropriate and SMART. As regard the indicators on impact, they appear to be relevant to the set statement, however, it would be difficult with these indicators to be ensure that the evolution of their level is only attributable to the WFP assistance. In this respect, it can be noted that the assumptions and risks at the impact level were left blank in the logical framework, but the demobilization of combatants would depend on many other factors than a sole food assistance programme.

#### (iv) Data Availability and Reliability

The Country Office has indicated that the monitoring system of the operation is appropriately implemented. Data are reported to exist for all indicators set in the logical framework. Most of these data are recorded and will be made available to the evaluation team.

Weaknesses have been reported regarding the monitoring system used for the FFE PRRO component. In the north of the country, WFP ensure the monitoring through monitors located in sub-offices. However, the number of monitors is insufficient to ensure an appropriate monitoring coverage. In the South of the country, the DNC (a Governmental agency) ensures the monitoring. However, the DNC lacks capacities and WFP has not been able to strengthen its capacity.

A recent assessment mission has made an analysis of the data relative to the FFE component of the PRRO. This report will be made available for the mission9.

#### (v) Access to Sites and Stakeholders

Although Cote d'Ivoire went for some years through a quite insecure period of civil unrest, nowadays, Cote d'Ivoire is a secured country where no particular problem can be expected regarding circulation in the country and access to sites and stakeholders.

The Field mission is anticipated to take place out of the rainy season (in November 2008) in order to facilitate the sites visits to the evaluation team. The Country Office will ascertain that stakeholders will be present in the country at the time of the field evaluation mission.

In light of the above, the level of evaluability seems adequate. However, an in-depth evaluability assessment will be conducted, on each major component of the PRRO, by the team leader during the preparatory phase of the evaluation and decision made on the

<sup>9</sup> Perenisation des Cantines Scolaires – Alphonsine Bouya (April 2008)

evaluability, evaluation techniques, and limitations to the evaluation resulting from these assessments. More especially, the team leader will assess the extent to which evaluation criteria such as "effectiveness" and "impact" can be assessed. The team leader will be assisted in this task by a data analyst. The evaluability assessment will be completed well before the preparatory mission in order to give the team leader the opportunity to study during the preparatory mission with the Country Office how to increase the data reliability. 4. Key Issues/Key Evaluation Questions

In compliance with the report template developed by OEDE (see annex 1) the evaluation team will assess and analyse the PRRO 10672.0 trough the various evaluation criteria that are usually used for evaluation, namely: relevance and appropriateness, coherence, efficiency, effectiveness, impact and connectedness. It will also look into cross-cutting issues such as gender and partnership. (see generic issues to be assessed in annex 8).

From a quick preliminary desk review, it appears that the mission will <u>more particularly</u> place emphasis on the following <u>key issues:</u>

**Operation Design: Relevance and Appropriateness** 

#### Project Design:

In this respect, the evaluation team will assess the strengths and weaknesses of the project's logical framework and the potential consequences for evaluation.

The mission will also study whether, in the light of previous evaluations made in the country as well as discussions having taken place at the PRC stage, assumptions made in the logical framework were appropriate; If it is deemed that risks were underestimated at the design stage of the operation, the mission will assess the extent to which this impacted on the operation performance.

#### <u>Objectives of the Operation</u> including targeting (relevance/appropriateness)

<u>Relevance</u>: is about assessing the extent to which the objectives of the operation are consistent with beneficiary needs, country needs, organizational priorities, and partners and donors policies.

The evaluation team will assess whether the PRRO objectives are in line with national set priorities (more particularly on health, education and HIV & AIDS) as well as donors decided policies for the country.

It will also study whether these objectives should be in some way adjusted/fine-tuned in order to better match the national priorities which may have evolved with time and with the political evolution in the country.

# <u>Appropriateness</u> is about assessing whether the planned inputs and activities of the operation are in line with local needs and priorities.

The evaluation will examine whether the PRRO activities are in line with national needs and priorities and the extent to which these activities have the institutional/political support of the national authorities.

<u>External coherence</u>: is about assessing whether the project objectives are consistent with Government, partners and other donors policies and interventions (i.e. UNDAF, PRSP, initiatives from other agencies, UNAIDS standards on HIV & AIDS, etc);

The evaluation team will also study the extent to which the PRRO is coherent with the Government's decided strategies and policies (PRSP, the national Education, Nutrition, health, HIV & AIDS and social affairs policies)

Coherence must also be checked regarding the policy set by major donors for these sectors in Côte d'Ivoire (World Bank, US, EC, France and Germany).

#### B. Outputs and Implementation Processes: Elements of Efficiency

#### Implementation Mechanisms:

The evaluation team will also examine the extent to which the planned targeting for each activity was appropriate and if it was effectively and efficiently implemented.

The mission will also assess the extent to which the monitoring system put in place for the PRRO for each activity is appropriate in term of coverage and supply reliable data. As a corollary, the team will assess the extent to which through its monitoring system the operation may rapidly respond to eventual changing needs,

The team will also look into the issue of regional and local food procurement in order to check whether the same or higher level of efficiency could have been achieved at lower costs.

In this respect, the mission will also check whether this mechanism is financially viable and thus has some sustainability, especially in the current context of high food prices and with the Purchase for Progress (P4P) initiative launched by the Programme.

*External Institutional Arrangements:* partnerships, coordination and transfer of competencies (capacity building and participation)

Institutional arrangements with partners will be examined in order to see whether these arrangements were made on time and were effective.

The mission will look into the issue of coordination and see whether the coordination between partners was sufficient and effective (Government, WFP, IPs, Donors, etc...). Noted constraints to this coordination should be highlighted.

#### Cost and Funding of the Operation

The team will assess the extent to which WFP was able to provide the planned level of food resources for PRRO 10672.0. According to the information provided by the Country Office the PRRO received only 31% of the resources planned in the project document. Such reduced funding level might have impacted on the performance level of the operation. Therefore, the resource pipeline of the PRRO as well as the resourcing update of the operation will be studied in order to see the extent to which this impacted on the performance of the PRRO and what has been the strategy followed by the Country Office to adjust to the situation.

#### C. Results

*Effectiveness:* Measured outcomes achieved, unintended effects, how outcomes led (or are likely to lead) to the achievement of the objectives

The mission will evaluate the extent to which the four planned objectives of the PRRO have been achieved, namely:

(i) Support access to primary education and reduce gender disparity in access to education(ii) Save Lives of war-affected populations who are critically food insecure

(iii) Protect livelihoods, support rehabilitation of productive assets and enhance resilience to shocks

(iv) Support the improved nutrition and health status of children, women and PLWHA

Like for any other evaluation exercise, this will be done on the basis of results and performance indicators outlined in the logical framework or the revised and adjusted version of logical framework of PRRO 10672.0.

Taking into consideration that some weaknesses might exist regarding the adequacy of implementing partners capacity, the mission will more particularly assess the extent to which this eventual inadequacy has impacted on the level of performance of the PRRO 10672.0

The evaluation team will review the effectiveness of the operation, including, the food basket composition and if deemed necessary/appropriate will identify areas in which effectiveness can be improved.

In both areas (inadequacy of implementing partners and insufficient institutional support) the mission will make sure that appropriate recommendations are made so as to provide sufficient guidance regarding the design of any future WFP support to the assisted sectors.

#### Connectedness:

The mission will assess the extent to which there is hope to have a continuation of the PRRO benefits after its completion. In this respect, the mission will more especially study whether the assistance in building local capacity has been sufficient to expect local partners to be able to supply an appropriate level of assistance in the various assisted sectors (education, health, HIV & AIDS and social affairs).

It will also assess whether there is sufficient hope to get some sustainability regarding the mechanism of procurement of local food put in place by the PRRO 10672.0

#### D. Cross cutting issues

#### <u>Partnership</u>

In view of the various type of activities implemented by the PRRO, clearly partnership is a key element of the operation. Therefore, the evaluation will have an in-depth look at the partnership dimension and assess its value added.

In this respect it is expected that the mission will study whether for each major component of the PRRO:

(*i*)adequate IPs (in number and quality) are present in the country.

*(ii)* the number and nature of IPs under the PRRO is adequate and appropriate for implementing the PRRO range of activities.

(iii) the capacities and comparative advantages of potential implementing partners (IPs) are assessed by the Country Office prior to entering into partnerships and agreements.

(*iv*) the team will assess to what extent have partnership arrangements successfully or negatively contributed to the implementation of the PRRO. If weaknesses are noted, the mission should indicate what are the noted specific weaknesses and make appropriate recommendations for any eventual future WFP assistance in the country.

(v) what are the mechanisms for coordination with Government, donors, UN agencies, NGOs, etc.? Are these being used to the PRRO's maximum benefit?

(*vi*) are appropriate and suitable mechanisms in place to ensure strategic co-ordination at the national policy level regarding relief and recovery issues?

#### <u>Gender</u>

In this context, the evaluation will study whether the PRRO complies with the WFP gender policy. More specifically, the evaluation team will examine whether, during the PRRO implementation, appropriate opportunities are being sought to improve the status of women and to address their strategic needs.

The mission will see whether adequate efforts have been made to mainstream gender considerations. It will also assess how WFP is trying to create a more gender equitable society.

At the end of the evaluation exercise, the team should be in a position to clearly state whether there are any changes required in a future phase to ensure better compatibility with the Commitments to Women.

#### Protection:

The evaluation mission will check the extent to which the element of protection referred to in paragraph 65 of the project document were paid sufficient attention while implementing the various activities of PRRO 10762.0.

#### 5. Evaluation Design

#### A. Methodology

To address the issue of evaluability, the evaluation will use stakeholder discussions and secondary data, to verify existence and validity of baseline information and collected data. A data analyst will be recruited to assist the evaluation team leader in assessing the evaluability of the PRRO.

The evaluation will draw on traditional evaluation methods based on programme theory and logical framework approaches. At the start of the preparatory evaluation phase, an in-depth review of the logical framework will be conducted in consultation with the Country Office and OMXD (M&E unit at headquarters). As previously indicated, the indicators placed in the logical framework appear to be appropriate and SMART. However, should there be a need, other relevant indicators – quantitative and qualitative, as appropriate – will be defined by the evaluation team during the preparatory phase.

The evaluation will use a range of data collection techniques such as key informant interviews, focus group discussions. The evaluation will also use a mix of quantitative and qualitative information to address the key issues identified in the preparatory phase. Since the Country Office has indicated that data were collected all along the operation implementation, no survey is expected to be conducted. A sampling method based on selection criteria will be used to decide on sites to be visited by the evaluation team.

As indicated previously in the text, at the time of the preparatory mission the team leader will set selection criteria (Number of beneficiaries, number and diversity of implementing partners, diversity in activities, resources level, etc...) allowing deciding on the sampling of sites to be visited for each activity.

The evaluation will also ensure that stakeholders with diverse views will be consulted in order to have findings and recommendations based on a comprehensive understanding of diverse perspectives on issues, performance and outcomes. To the extent possible, all information collected will be triangulated before being presented as a finding. Where triangulation is not possible, the evaluation will be explicit about it and use the information with caution.

In compliance with EQAS standards, the evaluation matrix will be developed by the team leader in line with the reporting requirements in the pre-mission report and Evaluation report, in particular section 5 of the former and section 2 of the latter. The team leader will present structured issues and sub-issues to be raised to reach the evaluation objectives as clarified during the discussions on the logic model used for the operation design in consultation with the country office. It will also include information on indicators to be used to address these issues and on the main sources of information. The evaluation matrix will serve as a guide throughout the evaluation process; it will be updated as appropriate on the basis of stakeholders' comments on the logic model.

In compliance with EQAS standards, in order to assess the performance of the operation the evaluation team will use the filter of internationally agreed evaluation criteria of relevance, coherence (internal and external), efficiency, effectiveness, impact and connectedness. As a complementary exercise, the evaluation will also look into cross-cutting issues of particular interest to WFP, namely: partnership for all assisted activities, gender for the FFE component of the PRRO, and protection.

#### B. Evaluation Quality Assurance System

The evaluation will be exposed to a quality assurance process that will entail internal review by OEDE (for quality check) and the creation of an internal stakeholders group, who will provide an independent assessment of the quality of the evaluation. The quality assurance process will draw on the OEDE quality pro-forma which has been developed on the basis of those adopted by ALNAP and OECD/DAC. Concerning the quality of data and information, under the leadership of the team leader, the evaluation team will ensure systematic check on accuracy, consistency and validity of collected data and information.

#### C. Phases and Deliverables

To obtain the greatest utility value, the evaluation aims at being completed in time for presentation to the Executive Board meeting in May 2009. During the course of the evaluation process, regular feedback and interaction is planned with internal stakeholders from WFP to ensure lessons can be used as they become available. The evaluation will be undertaken in the phases mapped out below. These are the EQAS typical steps for an operation's evaluation process. The detailed scheduled will be developed by the evaluation manager during the preparatory phase in consultation with the evaluation team and the Country Office.

#### *i) Initial Phase*

#### Final Terms of Reference (TORs)

Based on the available basic documentation (See annex 2 – Bibliography) and in consultation with the Country Office, the OEDE evaluation manager will issue a first draft of the evaluation TORs. Based on these TORs, which will provide the profiles of consultants to be recruited, a team leader will be identified and recruited as well as the other evaluation team members.

A brief will be provided by OEDE to the evaluation team on the process to be followed for the evaluation.

The evaluation team will conduct a desk review of all available information and data, and identifies areas to be clarified and addressed during the team leader's preparatory mission. In view of the sensitive situation existing in the country as a result of the political context, and in view of the high diversity of activities implemented by PRRO 10762.0, the team leader will undertake a preparatory field visit in Côte d'Ivoire to obtain any complementary information and have a first contact with the major local stakeholders in both parts of the country (North and South) and with the Country Office. The team leader will take advantage of this preparatory visit is to review all material available, check the quality and validity of the logical framework, assess the validity and accuracy of data gathered by the monitoring system, identify issues to be addressed during the field visits, identify selection criteria to be used for deciding on the sites to be visited by the mission, agree with the Country Office on a plan for the field visits of the mission, and ensure appropriate preparedness of the evaluation team.

At the end of this phase the evaluation manager, in consultation with the team leader and the CO, will adjust the initially drafted TORs and provide a final version of the TORs.

#### ii) Desk research and pre-mission phase

#### Pre-mission Report

Based on the above final TORs, the evaluation team will review the documentation made available and assist the team leader in issuing a pre-mission report, whose purpose is three fold: (i) document the above set choices (ii) Review and clarify the TOR (including an indepth review of the logical framework) and present the methodology to be used to undertake the evaluation; and (iii) Present the preliminary findings of the desk review and identify information gaps to be filled with data collected during the evaluation mission. The premission report will be produced by the evaluation team under the responsibility of the team leader and will be shared with the internal review group for comments. *iii) Field Research Stage* 

Aide-Memoir & Debriefing at CO level

Fieldwork will be undertaken for a selected sample of sites. For the time being it is estimated that the field visit will take some 15 days. This timing will be adjusted after the team leader preparatory mission.

Prior to leaving the country the evaluation mission will issue an aide-memoir (Maximum 10 pages in English) that will be presented through a PowerPoint presentation in English to the Country Office and HQs stakeholders (in attendance by conference call). This internal stakeholder debriefing session will be followed by a debriefing session in French organised by the Country Office for external stakeholders (Government, implementing partners, local donor representatives, etc...).

The aide-memoir will provide the preliminary findings; point out to major issues noted by the evaluation team as well as the preliminary conclusions and eventual broad recommendations which could be made in the final report. The PowerPoint presentation will summarise the information contained in the aide-memoir. A copy of the issued aide-memoir will be shared with all attendees.

*iv) Evaluation Report Writing Full Evaluation Report and EB Summary Report* The findings of the evaluation team will be brought together, by the team leader, in an analytical evaluation report (Full Evaluation Report) that will (a) respond to the objectives set out in this evaluation; and (b) report against evaluation criteria specified in these terms of reference (and those in the evaluation matrix).

The format used for this report will be in compliance with the EQAS template, and will go through a quality check exercise conducted by the WFP's office of evaluation.

The draft report will then be shared with the internal stakeholders group for comments. The draft report will be revisited by the evaluation team in the light of the comments received, in order to issue the final evaluation report.

Another deliverable is the EB Summary Report. This report is to be presented to the Executive Board. It will be drafted by the team leader with the assistance of the evaluation team. This report will also be shared with stakeholders for comments, prior for the team leader to issuing the final version of the Summary Report. v) Presentation to the Executive Board

The EB Summary Report will be presented to the Executive Board by the WFP's Office of Evaluation (OEDE) in June 2009. Taking into consideration that the editorial process for an EB presentation takes usually three months, the final version of the EB Summary Report will thus have to be ready for process at the latest by mid-March 2009.

6. Organisation of the Evaluation

#### A. Expertise of the Evaluation Team

The team leader for the evaluation requires evaluation experience and an extensive knowledge of Rehabilitation and Recovery operations. In view of the PRRO key components, he/she should have a good knowledge of UN humanitarian activities and have some experience with the UN system. Taking into consideration, the peculiar political context currently existing in the country, he/she should have good communication and diplomatic skills and have a good knowledge of the French language. As the main report writer, he/she should also have excellent writing skills in English. Preferably, the team leader should have some knowledge of WFP operations, and not be a French national. With regard to areas to be focused on, the team leader will more particularly focuses its attention to Food Security, FFW and FFT areas. The evaluation team will be completed with a technical expert in school feeding whose work should more particularly focus on the FFE component of the PRRO. The expert should have evaluation experience (Preferably 3 years minimum), good writing skills in English and be able to conduct interviews in French. Even if the quality of local consultant is good in Cote d'Ivoire, it is considered that in order to avoid any conflict of interest no use of local expertise be made. In order to decrease on costs, it would be preferable to have this expertise recruited in any neighbouring country but Burkina, Mali and Ghana.

The evaluation team will be completed with a technical expert in health whose work should more particularly focus on the Nutrition and HIV & AIDS activities of the PRRO. The expert should have evaluation experience, good writing skills in English and be able to conduct interviews in French. In order to decrease on costs, and gain in knowledge on local context, it would be preferable to have this expertise recruited in any neighbouring country but Burkina, Mali and Ghana.

The above team will be assisted by a data analyst, having good experience in data gathering and analysis, with good communication and writing skills in English and with good working knowledge of French language. In order to decrease costs, preserve independence and ease communication with OEDE and team leader, this expertise will be mobilised in Rome.

A preliminary set of tasks is included in Annex 4. More specific qualifications and requirements will be determined in the preparatory phase of the evaluation and specified in the final terms of reference, once the evaluability has been more appropriately assessed. The recruited evaluators will act impartially and independently, and will respect the code of conduct referred to in Annex 1.

#### B. WFP Stakeholders Roles and Responsibilities

The evaluation will be managed by the WFP office of evaluation, which appoints an evaluation manager who will have the responsibility of managing the overall process of the evaluation, including the following tasks:

- Preparation of evaluation Terms of reference
- Selection and recruitment of the consultants composing the evaluation team
- Budget preparation and management
- Evaluation team briefing
- Field mission preparation, in conjunction with the Country Office in Corte d'Ivoire
- First level quality assurance
- Reports dissemination
- Preparation of comments matrices
- Principal interlocutor between evaluation team, represented by the team leader and WFP

As previously indicated in section 1.B, the external stakeholders are : the Government at national and sub-national levels, as well as international and international NGOs. As key implementing agents, both are expected to provide feedback to evaluators on their views, experience and suggestions for improvement and change.

Concerning other external stakeholders such as UN agencies, local donor representatives and national or regional bodies focusing on food security and nutrition, they are expected to assist the evaluators in getting a good grasp on policies, strategies applying to Côte d'Ivoire, as well as the constraints encountered by the country in these areas.

As internal stakeholders, the Country office in Côte d'Ivoire, its related sub-offices as well as the West Africa regional bureau located in Senegal (OMD) will play an important role in facilitating/arranging the access of the evaluation team to information and data, and in ensuring an appropriate logistical support to the mission and introduction to the Government and other local stakeholders. Both the CO and the RB will be part of the internal review group commenting on the evaluation deliverables.

At headquarters, the main stakeholders are the OMX division (OMXD and OMXF units more especially) and the OEDP unit. In a less extent, OMLP is also to be regarded as a stakeholder more particularly with regard to local procurement of food. The three entities will assist the evaluation team in getting a good understanding on how WFP designs PRROs and apply policies, and will be part of the internal review group commenting on the evaluation deliverables.

As key stakeholders, the WFP Management and the Executive Board will review the evaluation summary report and will make appropriate decisions.

#### C. Communication

To ease the direct contact of the evaluation team with beneficiaries, translators in local languages will be made available for the field mission, more especially for direct contacts with beneficiaries in remote areas.

To facilitate the feedback process, the evaluation will set up an internal stakeholder group consisting of a cross-section of WFP stakeholders who will receive deliverables (TORs, Premission report, aide-memoir as well as draft full and summary reports) specified above for comments and verbal feedback at other stages in the process. The group will be composed of staff from a cross-section of functional areas (programme design and support division, operations, etc...) and location (headquarters, regional bureau, country office). A tentative composition of this review group is provided in annex 6. The final composition of this group will be decided during the preparatory phase. In order to preserve the independence and impartiality of the evaluation team, the internal stakeholder group will be confined to an advisory role.

As previously indicated, the final summary report of the evaluation will be presented to the Executive Board, most likely in June 2009 although the detailed schedule will be developed during the preparation phase. After finalization, the full technical and summary reports will be made available online as is the case for all WFP evaluation reports.

In addition, OEDE will determine and maximise opportunities for drawing lessons from the evaluation and disseminating them verbally (PRC sessions) and/or through a website to be developed by OEDE at a later stage.

#### D. Budget

At this stage, it is still not possible yet to provide a definitive and detailed budget for the evaluation. The maximum budget for the evaluation is US\$100,000 entirely funded from the PRRO DSC budget.

At the time of drafting these TORs, it is deemed that if local/regional consultants could be found to cover the health (nutrition and HIV/AIDS) issues as well as the FFE area, the overall cost of the evaluation could probably be decreased substantially. The Country Office is expected to assist in the identification of local consultants.

Another mean to save on costs will be for the evaluation manager not to accompany the evaluation team during its field mission. However, should the health and FFE experts be recruited regionally, the savings resulting from the cancellation of the budget line for international travel anticipated in the evaluation budget for these experts, will be used by the evaluation manager to accompany the team leader during the preparatory visit in Côte d'Ivoire.

A *preliminary* budget has been drawn up and is provided below:

| Honorarium:                          |        |
|--------------------------------------|--------|
| Team Leader                          | 31.000 |
| Health Expert                        | 18.000 |
| FFE Expert                           | 18.000 |
| Data Analyst                         | 2.500  |
| Translator (interview beneficiaries) | 2.000  |

#### **International Travel + DSA:**

| (domestic transportation costs by road - drivers, cars, petrol - to be funded from CO budget) |         |  |  |  |  |
|---|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Team Leader   | 11.000  |  |  |  |  |
| Nutrition Expert (Prep Mission + Mission)   | 7.000   |  |  |  |  |
| FFE Expert  | 7.000   |  |  |  |  |
| Contingencies   | 4.500   |  |  |  |  |
| Total: (estimated)  | 100.000 |  |  |  |  |

The above rough estimated budget will be fine-adjusted and the revised version will be provided in the final Terms of Reference.

#### Annexes

1. Background documentation on evaluation concepts

While conducting the evaluation, the evaluation team will apply the concepts developed in the following documentation. This documentation will be provided to the evaluation team at the very start of the evaluation process.

UNEG - Norms 2005 - Eng. UNEG - Norms 2005 - FR. UNEG - Standards 2005 - Eng. UNEG - Standards 2005 - FR. UNEG - Code of Conduct 2007 draft. TN - evaluation criteria

2. Bibliography

To date the identified bibliography is listed below. It is expected that this listing will be fleshed out with extra information expected from the Country Office. The data analyst will organised this library in order to make it more user-friendly (using hyperlink system) and will complete it with the documents relative to monitoring data.

General Documentation (UN & WFP)

- List of UN Millenium Development Goals

- List of WFP's Strategic objectives

WFP Policy Documents on:
Gender
Capacity Building
Partnership
Transition from Relief to Recovery.
HIV & AIDS
WFP Initiatives
P4P (related to local food procurement)

General Documentation on Country

- Stratégie Nationale de Dévelopement basée sur la réalisation des OMD (Nov. 2007)

- Profil de la pauvreté en Cote d'Ivoire (Institut de la Statistiques - 2002)

- Bulletin Annuel de Suivi des marchés – PAM (2007)

- Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper for Côte d'Ivoire

- Evaluation approfondie de la Sécurité Alimentaire en Côte d'Ivoire – Tome 1 (Sept. 2006)

- Evaluation approfondie de la Sécurité Alimentaire en Côte d'Ivoire – Tome 2 (Sept. 2006)

- Contingency Plan for Cote d'Ivoire (Mai 2008)

- Implementing Partners for PRRO 10672.0 activities

- Rapport de Suivi du PRRO 10672.0 - PAM (2007)

- Rapport de Suivi du PRRO 10672.0 - PAM (Jan-Mar 2008)

General Documentation on Operation

- Project Document of the PRRO 10672.0

- Note for the Record of PRC session relative to design of PRRO 10672.0

- 2007 SPR report

- 2008 SPR report

- Monitoring data + Monthly reports (to be gathered and presented in a user-friendly library by the recruited data analyst)

- List of activities with indication on location and related implementing partners FFE

- Enquête standardisée sur l'alimentation scolaire – A. Koisha (August 2006)

- Préparation à la pérennisation des cantines scolaires – A. Bouya (April 2008)

- Rapport de Suivi 2006-2007 (DNC)

- Note for the Record of the recent PRC session

- New proposed Project document for assistance to school feeding in the South

Health and HIV & AIDs

- WFP Full evaluation report on HIV & AIDS

- Aide-Memoir (relative to Cote d'Ivoire) of the WFP Evaluation on HIV and AIDS activities

- Mapping of HIV Prevalence rates in Cote d'Ivoire

- Réponse du PAM à l'épidémie de VIH en Cote d'Ivoire

Other Activities

(Documentation to be obtained from Country Office)

Resourcing/Logistics/Local Purchases

- TORs for Pipeline Committees

- Resourcing Update for PRRO 10672.0 and Related Budget Revisions

- Local Food Purchases over 2007-2008 period for PRRO 10672.0

3. Report Templates

While drafting the various evaluation deliverables, the evaluation team will use and comply with the following templates which have been developed by the WFP Office of Evaluation (OEDE).

T - OpEv - Pre-mission report

T - OpEv - Evaluation report

T - OpEv - Summary Report

4. Summary of Tasks of the Evaluation Team Members

See table attached

5. Group of Internal Reviewers

Mr. Felix Bamezon

Mr. Wagdi Othman

Mr. Marc Regnault de la Mothe

Mr. David Bulman

Ms. Britta Schumacher

Ms. Margot Van der Velden

Ms. Tina van den Briel

(OMXD)

Country Director, CIV Deputy Country Director, CIV Programme Officer, CIV Head Programming, RB Dakar Evaluation Focal Point, RB Dakar Technical Unit Support, RB Dakar Chief Nutrition, MCH & HIV/AIDS,
Mr. Martin Bloem Director, OEDP (Nutrition & HIV/AIDS) Ms. Ute Meier OMXD (Head, School Feeding) OMXD (School Feeding) Ms. Alphonsine Bouya Chief, Women, Children, Gender Policy Ms. Isatou Jallow (OEDP) Ms. Joyce Luma Director, OMXF (Food Security) Mr. Paul Turnbull OMXD (Operations Design) Ms. Hildegard Tuttinghoff OMXD (M & E) Ms. Nicole Menage Chief, OMLP (Procurement) Quality check: Caroline Heider Director, OEDE Senior evaluation manager, OEDE Alain Cordeil (See Attachment) 6. Stakeholder Analysis 7. Job Descriptions (See Attachment) (i) Team Leader (ii) Health Expert (iii) FFE Expert (iv) Information & Data Analyst 8. Evaluation Timeline (broken down by team members) 9. Estimated Budget (See Attachment)

## 2. Bibliography

A. General Documentation (UN & WFP) - List of UN Millenium Development Goals - List of WFP's Strategic objectives P4P (related to local food procurement) Capacity Building Policy documents from Programme Guidance Manual Building countries and regional capacities - Oct. 7th, 2004 From capacity-building to capacity development in WFP Update on capacity building - May 16th 2007 How to build capacity for effective partnerships? From Relief to Recovery Policy documents from Programme Guidance Manual Relief and recovery objectives and activities Transition from relief to development - 13 May 2004 From crisis to recovery - 1 August 2000 Gender & Enhanced Commitments to Women – guidance pages Enhanced Commitment VI: Ensure that gender is mainstreamed in programming activities Partnership Policy documents from Programme Guidance Manual Working with Partners (Word doc - from How to build capacity for effective partnerships? HIV and AIDS Policy documents from Programme Guidance Manual Food Security, Food Aid and HIV/AIDS- WFP Guidance Note - 7 June 2001 Time to deliver - an Update on WFP's response to HIV and AIDS - 21 May 2007 Food Assistance Programming in the context of HIV - September 2007 WFP, Food security and HIV/AIDS - 17 October 2001 Programming in the era of AIDS: WFP's response to HIV/AIDS - 7 January 2003 Getting Started: HIV/AIDS Education in School Feeding Programmes Purchase for Progress - P4P Policy documents from Programme Guidance Manual An Overview of "Purchase for Progress - Connecting Low-Income Farmers to Markets in **Developing Countries** Terms of Reference- P4P Programme Design and Planning- Analysis to Develop CO Proposals For Purchase for Progress Purchase for Progress (P4P) Opportunities Purchase for progress (P4P)- M&E guidance note List of Millenium Development Goals WFP Strategic Objectives Documents on Monitoring and Evaluation in the Cote d'Ivoire WFP Country Office B. Ivory Coast background documents

Evaluation de la cisie de 2002 en Côte d'Ivoire : Expériences et leçons apprises (Word doc) -Avril 2006 Consolidated Appeal Process - Cote d'Ivoire 2005 + Addendum + 2005 consolidated appeal process (CAP) projects Food Security and Markets Agricultural Production Mission Evaluation mi parcours saison agricole 2008 - Aout 2008 Prices of Agricultural Commodities Note conjointe sur l'évolution des prix de marché - Juillet 2008 Note conjointe sur l'évolution des prix de marché - Fevrier 2008 Recent food price developments in most vulnerable countries, (1 August 2008) Côte d'Ivoire: Profile des marchés pour les évaluations d'urgence de la sécurité alimentaire -2006 Food Security and Vulnerability Profiles - August 2002

Situation Analysis: VAM – Participatory Rapid Risk Assessment - March 2003

Evaluation de la sécurité alimentaire en milieu rural dans la zone nord de la Côte d'Ivoire

Analyse de la situation d'insécurité alimentaire des ménages et de malnutrition infantile en milieu rural en Côte d'Ivoire (Situation au 30 mars 2006) -

Evaluation approfondie de la sécurité alimentaire Septembre/Octobre 2006, Tome 1 et Tome 2 + Annexes

Côte d'Ivoire – Système de suivi de la sécurité alimentaire Note de synthèse – Round de Mai/Juin 2008

Dossier Systeme suivi securite alimentaire

Document de Strategie de Reduction de la Pauvrete 2009-2013 (versione provisoire) - Sept. 2008, Ministere d'Etat et Ministere du Plan et du Developpement

Stratégie nationale de développement basée sur la realization des OMD Version 4 - Novembre 2007

Profil de pauvrete en Cote d'Ivoire, INS 2002

WFP/UNICEF. Enquete SMART, Resultats preliminaires - Juillet 2008

Termes de Références du Groupe sectoriel Sécurité alimentaire et Nutrition

HIV and AIDS

Profile des ménages affectés par le VIH bénéficiaires de l'appui alimentaire du PAM - Avril 2008

Thematic Evaluation of WFP's HIV and AIDS Interventions in Sub-Saharan Africa (Word doc) - June 2008

Enquête sur les indicateurs du SIDA en Côte d'Ivoire 2005 - Résultats principaux

Internally Displaced People (IDPs) and Refugees

Rapport d'Evaluation Rapide des besoins humanitaires et des problèmes de protection dans des localités affectées par le déplacement. Les régions du Moyen Cavally et des 18 Montagnes : Départements de Duékoué, Bangolo et Man. Période d'enquête : janvier-juin 2008

Information on past activities, training and workshops of WFP CIV Country Office Description Activities Nutrition - December 2006

Managing For Results - Refresher Workshop - 2 November, 2007

Table contingency planning

Resume du Plan de Contingence Inter-Agence pour la Cote d'Ivoire (Mai 2008)

Plan de Contingence PAM Septembre 2006

Pipeline Committee

ToRs for the CIV pipeline committee (Effective MAY 2007)

Other WFP projects in Cote d'Ivoire

Development Project 10759.0 - Support to Sustainable School Feeding (2009-2013)

Projet de développement pour la Côte d'Ivoire No. 10759.0: Appui à la Pérennisation des Cantines Scolaires en Côte d'Ivoire

Préparation du projet d'appui à la péressnisation des cantines scolaires, Côte-d'Ivoire 25 mars – 9 avril 2008

Special operation SO 10720.0 - Rehabilitation of rural roads and bridges in Côte d'Ivoire in support of PRRO 10672.0 - 6 months (1 February 2008 – 31 July 2008)

Rapport Annuel 2006- 2007 PRRO 10372.0 - October 2007

Standardised School Feeding Survey Country Status Report Côte d'Ivoire August 2006 Projects in Cote d'Ivoire by other agencies, NGOs

IFAD Details on "Rural Development Project in the Zanzan Region"

IFAD Details on "Small Horticultural Producer Support Project"

List of projects in Cote d'Ivoire by the World Bank

IDA Program document for an economic governance and recovery grant in the amount of SDR[194] Million (US\$[308] Million equivalent) to the R. of CIV March 14,2008

Emergency project on a proposed grant in the amount of SDR 12.3 Million (US\$20 Million equivalent ) to the R. of CIV for an emergency multi-sector HIV/AIDS project. May 29,2008

Emergency project paper for a proposed grant in the amount of SDR 57.10 Million (US\$94 Million equivalent) to the R. of CIV for an emergency urban infrastructure project May 29,2008

Emergency project paper for an IDA grant in the amount of SDR 8.0 Million (US\$13.0 Million equivalent) to the R. of CIV for a governance and institutional development grant May 30,2008

Emergency project paper on a proposed pre-arrears clearance grant in the amount of SDR 79.2 Million (USS 120 Million equivalent) to the R. of CIV for a post-conflict assistance project. July 2,2007

ADB Cote d'Ivoire: US\$31 Million Grant for Post-crisis Multi-sector Institutional Support (05-DEC-07)

ADB R. of CIV post-crisis multi-sector institutional support appraisal report (Sept. 2007) MSF Information on MSF in Ivory Coast, 2007

C. The Project PRRO 10672.0

TORs for PRRO 10672.0 (English and French)

Approved Project Document + Annexes and Note for records

Note for Record PRC 24 01 2008

Standard Project Report 2007

Note for the Record of PRC session relative to design of PRRO 10672.0

2007 SPR report

2008 SPR report

Monitoring data + Monthly reports (to be gathered and presented in a user-friendly library by the recruited data analyst)

List of activities with indication on location and related implementing partners

Rapport de Mission – Côte d'Ivoire, Du 08 au 18 Janvier 2008 (Parmi les objectifs de la mission: Appuyer le CO à élaborer les rapports standardisés des projets 10372.0 et 10672.0 de 2007)

Project Document as Submitted for Approval (Regional PRRO 10551.0) + Annexes SAMIR-BTOR- November 2006

D. PRRO 10672.0 implementation

Enquête nutritionnelle de référence + annexes, PAM IPSR 10672.0 (Word doc) - October 2007

Améliorer la santé et le statut nutritionnel des groupes vulnérables, des populations affectées par le VIH et réhabiliter les capacités de production - Groupe sectoriel nutrition et sécurité alimentaire - Abidjan, 08/02/2008 ppt

Improved nutrition and health status of people affected by HIV/AIDS and support rehabilitation of productive assets - ODD/Y regional HIV/AIDS Focal Point Meeting, Cairo 05/04/2007

MAP - Localisation des Cantines scolaires assistées par le PAM - Partners

List of WFP Partners for PRRO 10672.0 for 2008

Rapport Suivi et Evaluation PRRO 2006 and 2007

Rapport suive et evaluation de Janvier à Mars 2008 PRRO 10672.0, April 2008

PMP PRRO 10672 local purchases 2007 2008

PRRO 10672.0 database

E. Health and HIV & AIDS

- WFP Full evaluation report on HIV & AIDS

- Aide-Memoir (relative to Cote d'Ivoire) of the WFP Evaluation on HIV and AIDS activities

- Mapping of HIV Prevalence rates in Cote d'Ivoire

- Réponse du PAM à l'épidémie de VIH en Cote d'Ivoire

## F. FFE

- Enquête standardisée sur l'alimentation scolaire A. Koisha (August 2006)
- Préparation à la pérennisation des cantines scolaires A. Bouya (April 2008)
- Rapport de Suivi 2006-2007 (DNC)
- Note for the Record of the <u>recent</u> PRC session
- New proposed Project document for assistance to school feeding in the South

G. Resourcing/Logistics/Local Purchases

- TORs for Pipeline Committees
- Resourcing Update for PRRO 10672.0 and Related Budget Revisions
- Local Food Purchases over 2007-2008 period for PRRO 10672.0

#### 3. Methodology / Evaluation

This evaluation comprises a summary dimension, determining the quality, merit, worth or shortcoming of the Project, and a formative one, considering that many of the Project activities are ongoing. The evaluation outputs will therefore also contribute to improving the Project implementation. During the pre-mission the defective Project Logical framework had been reorganised on the basis of the information included in the Project document.

This logic model was discussed at the debriefing with the Country office staff and amended accordingly. The Evaluation matrix presents structured issues and sub-issues to be raised to reach the evaluation objectives. It also includes information regarding on indicators to be used to address these issues and on the main sources of information. The Evaluation matrix will serve as a guide throughout the evaluation process and will be updated as appropriate on the basis of stakeholders' comments on the Logic model.

The evaluation compares the Project with existing good practice standards, in order to determine whether it is in line with the latest thinking. The Evaluation matrix checklist, cross checking the Logical framework indicators with the classical evaluation criteria, enables the joint assessment of Project progress towards its objectives and the relevance of the Project itself, with respect towards stakeholders' situation and expectations. The Evaluation matrix includes a mix of quantitative and qualitative indicators, thus synthesizing tabulated data and first hands observations.

The evaluation methodology ensures that stakeholders with diverse views are consulted, in order to have findings and recommendations based on a comprehensive understanding of diverse perspectives on issues, performance and outcomes. To the extent possible, all information collected is triangulated before being presented as a finding. Where triangulation is not possible, the evaluation is explicit about it and uses the information with caution.

<u>Recorded data quality</u>. The Country office collects systematically information on beneficiaries and activities of the Project, through the Implementing partners' network, and stores such data in an Excel database. Such data does not match the indicators defined in the Logical framework because:

a) Government bodies are slowly gaining access to insecure areas,

b) field access is subject to man made threats and climate constraints,

c) each project partner has a different methodology and timing for data collection.

Therefore, the evaluation team requested repeatedly from the Country office to supply basic data for reconstructing the Project implementation, achievements and replies regarding the Evaluation matrix questions. Incomplete data files were provided (for example, data for 2007 are cumulative for both PRRO 10372.0 and PRRO 10672.0;; data on school feeding beneficiaries in the 2007/2008 and 2008/2009 years are available for 3 sub-offices only; no data on the financial execution of the Project has provided to date). Cross checking of information between the Project data base and the Project quarterly reports shows inconsistencies in data and classification. Specific mistakes in the recorded data have been detected. Checking field visit results together with the correspondent tabulated data from the Project to date. Such situation could reduce the exactness and utility of the findings on which the Project assessment will be based.

WFP, UNICEF and other organisations undertook field studies in order to analyse the topics related with the project beneficiaries' livelihood, regional - or country-wide. The analytical appraisal of the Project outcome includes such information, useful for cross-checking the

information collected during the Project implementation. Although some activities impact will be measurable only in the medium and long term, this should be cumulate with those of previous projects or wait for a final assessment. In fact the evaluation is obliged to infer some indicators from the extrapolation of a mix of indirect records and direct field observations.

The evaluation is structured in (a) a pre-mission, comprehensive of a desk phase, and in (b) a full scale evaluation field visit ending with the elaboration and submission of the Evaluation report.

a) The <u>Pre-mission</u>. The objective of this phase is to collect information, clarify the TOR and develop an appropriate evaluation design taking into consideration the scope of the evaluation and the evaluability assessment made. All these issues are dealt with in the present report. The pre-mission is structured as follows:

- the recollection and classification of Project documents by the data analyst, based at WFP Headquarters, in liaise with the Country office and field evaluation team,

- the clarification of the TORs, during the preliminary meetings held by the team leader at WFP Headquarters in Rome,

- the pre-mission field visit at Abidjan and Bouaké (Côte d'Ivoire) by the team leader, in order to collect recorded data and interviews a sample of key partners in country,

- the analysis of the available data, in order to establish the Project evaluability and identify inconsistencies and loop-holes in the available data, with further requests for information to the Country office,

- the clarification of the Logical framework, by reorganising the indicators, at two levels: Outcome and Output indicators; and adding the Activities and resources frame For each indicator there is a target level or benchmark, serving as a reference point against which performance can be assessed. Such process is completed by the elaboration of the Evaluation matrix and of the evaluation design,

- the elaboration of the pre-mission report.

b) The <u>Evaluation mission</u> includes the check and completion of the data collected during the pre-mission, by using participatory tools and the project sites (villages), sampled on the basis of a multiple set of criteria, considering both the maximising of field visits and security in the field.

The objective of the field visits is to discuss with a cross-section of internal and external stakeholders their views on the Project performance in providing food aid assistance in order to complement, verify preliminary findings based on the desk analysis. This will be done along the lines of the Evaluation matrix.

The information collected from the field visits will feed the overall evaluation process. The evaluation team will prepare, beforehand, an internal note based on the main issues emerging from the pre-mission report, as well as a list of issues to be further analysed. Data collection includes direct observation of the intervention sites, interviews of beneficiaries' focus groups, discussion with local authorities, implementing partners, institutions and donors, as well as recorded data provided by the Country office and sub-offices.

Prior to leaving the country the evaluation mission will issue an aide-memoir that will be presented through a PowerPoint presentation in English to the Country Office and HQs stakeholders (in attendance by conference call). This internal stakeholder debriefing session will be followed by a debriefing session in French organised by the Country Office for external stakeholders (Government, implementing partners, local donor representatives, etc...).

<u>Data analysis and reporting</u>. The data analysis is undertaken using the revised logical framework and the most appropriate indicators are applied for each activity, Output and Outcome. The data analysis aims to define the level of:

- the execution of Activities, including the reason for adaptations and deviations from the planning,

- the achievement of Outputs and Outcomes, including links / comparison,

- the financial execution of the Project outcomes / outputs.

The level of achievement of the Outcomes (immediate objectives corresponding to WFP SO1, SO2, SO3, SO4) and impact is analyzed according to the Evaluation matrix, by structuring issues on the basis of general and analytical questions. The performance of the operation, i.e. the complex of the previous parameters, is filtered in a structured analysis, undertaken along the criteria of relevance, coherence (internal and external), efficiency, effectiveness, impact and connectedness. Such exercise is undertaken by discussing and integrating the qualitative contribution of the Country and Headquarters office managers and professionals.

The findings of the desk and field phases will be summarised in a succinct evaluation report that will (a) respond to the objectives set out in this evaluation; and (b) report against evaluation criteria specified in the Evaluation matrix. The draft evaluation report will be shared with stakeholders for comments and EQAS assessment. The evaluation will document comments received and how they were responded to in the evaluation report to ensure transparency.

Once the evaluation report is finalised, a summary report to be presented to the EB will be prepared, including a matrix of recommendations. These will be shared for comments. A Management response will be included in annex of the summary report.

The ToR are comprehensive, including many details on topics to be checked and analyzed. The evaluation desk analysis is based on the following recorded documentation:

- Previous evaluations undertaken by WFP and other agencies, that is general baseline data,

- Project database, with data on beneficiaries, partners and activities undertaken in the field,

- Project monitoring reports.

The Project monitoring in the field is undertaken as a routine through the implementing partners and the WFP field monitoring assistants every month. The CO releases quarterly reports, according to the new format established at the beginning of 2008, after the reorganisation of the Project M&E system

Numerous data collection sources are used during the course of the evaluation and are summarised below:

- Primary data collection. During the desk phase a significant part of the work will focus on the collection and analysis of documents. These included policies, programming, project documents, the standard project reports and other documentation that the HQs and Country Office were requested to provide. Country and donors policies, and other relevant documents identified in the evaluation matrix are also reviewed. Additional documents received during field visits will also be considered. Information will also be extracted from existing databases as appropriate.

- Primary data collection includes phone and face-to-face interviews and through field visits to selected villages. During the field visits, the evaluation will meet with CO and sub-office staff, implementing partners, such as Government officials, partners in NGOs and other international and bilateral organisations. The interviews (and focus group discussions, where applicable) will be based on the questions and issues identified during the desk review phase.

At WFP Headquarters, interviews will take place with key stakeholders in the policy and workshops/discussion groups will be organised as required (e.g. to discuss the Inception Report and on other topics).

The Evaluation data collection strategy aims at addressing the evaluation questions identified in 3.C and at remove the information gaps not resolved during the pre-mission. Data collected during the pre-mission are of not always relevant, in view of the elaboration of the Project indicators. The Country office, upon request, has not provided complete sets of data for all the activities in progress. Some data provided are clearly biased, as if not checked after data entry. Specifically:

a) data on the FFW activities are very fragmentary and of dubious consistency,

b) data on the OVC training does not allow to track the trainees after completion of the courses,

c) data on the nutrition status of assisted women are missing,

d) School feeding data, the largest component of the Project includes:

- data mainly related to the 2005-06 and 2006-07 academic years, i.e. before the beginning of the present Project; for 2007-2008 detailed data exist only data for Bouaké, Korhogo and Odienné sub-offices,

- do not show the distribution of pupils by grade, something necessary in order to assess the school retention, rate, especially for girls;

- they allow neither comparison between assisted and other schools, except for the previous project (PRRO 10372.0) in the Southern regions, nor between different periods in assisted schools,

- the CO assured that it should be able to obtain some extra data on the School canteen component from the DNC,

f) the data on the number of rations received by each beneficiary are missing,

g) the data on financial execution (i.e., expenditure incurred for each activity, are missing.

During the pre-mission, criteria for identification of a section of intervention sites have been established, in collaboration with the Country office and a calendar of field visits agreed. The visited sites selection criteria are:

- geographical and logistic, i.e. sampling of target villages in the areas served by each suboffice, along a continuous track from Abidjan, to Man and Guiglo, to Odienne, Korhogo, to Bouaké, to Abidjan. The respective departments are covered by filed visits to villages at maximum three hours distance from the Dept. capital city.

- thematic, i.e. sampling all the SO present in each area served by each sub-office,

- quantitative, i.e covering a greater number of villages in the Regions with greater project activities,

- Implementing partners, by choosing beneficiaries from at least eight different regions (North and South).

Field visits are selected, according to logistic and prevailing security issues at the time of the evaluation. At Bouaké, the evaluation team will split, in order to cover the Eastern region of Zanzan. If this region is unreachable, a larger selection of Bouaké villages / sites will be visited.

During the field visit focus groups of beneficiaries, stakeholders such as local authorities and institutions, implementing partners (humanitarian agencies), donors are interviewed, thus cross checking the beneficiaries perspective and project achievements on a timely basis, and integrating missing information on specific issues (cf. the change in household livelihood).

| Region        | Capital city | Implementing |               |     | Villages ( | to be visited |    |  |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-----|------------|---------------|----|--|
|               |              | partners     | Per objective |     |            |               |    |  |
|               |              |              | SO1           | SO2 | SO3        | SO4           |    |  |
| Lagunas       | Abidjan      | 2            |               |     |            | 2             | 2  |  |
| Montaignes    | Man          | 2            | 2             | 2   | 2          | 3             | 9  |  |
| Moyen Cavally | Guiglo       | (1)          | 1             |     | 1          |               | 2  |  |
| Denguélé      | Odienne      | (1)          |               |     | 2          | 3             | 5  |  |
| Savanes       | Korhogo      | 1            |               | 2   | 2          | 3             | 7  |  |
| Vallée du     | Bouaké       | 3            | 2             | 2   | 3          | 4             | 11 |  |
| Bandama       |              |              |               |     |            |               |    |  |
| Bondoukou     | Bondoukou    | 1            | 1             |     |            |               | 1  |  |
| Bouna         | Bouna        | 1            |               |     | 1          | 1             | 2  |  |
| Total         |              | 10 (12)      | 6             | 6   | 11         | 16            | 39 |  |

() indicates that the implementing partner is the same as in another Region.

Possible obstacles to the evaluation, such as (a) logistic, time and budget limitations and (a) lack of systematic data matching the indicators have been considered. In fact the CO and suboffices are adequately equipped and connected in the field, therefore allowing safe access to sites and flexible re-scheduling of field visits, upon changes in security there. In the Evaluation matrix indicators on qualitative aspects of the Project have been integrated with numeric indicators regarding activity progress, in order to balance the existing data with the field output. As most indicators of the Evaluation matrix are of a qualitative nature, a substantial part of the evaluation will not be biased by the shortages of recorded data. The limitation in systematic data available could impact on the Project assessment. Extrapolation of findings of the field visit could not be clearly related to the recorded data on the overall progress of the Project. Although, the performance of the Project and its objective will be clearly assessed through the check-crossing of several sources of information.

The data analysis is undertaken according to the revised Logical framework, using the indicators best fitting for each Activity, Output and Outcome. The data analysis is purposed to define:

- the level of execution of Activities, including the reason for adaptations and deviations from the planning,

- the level of achievement of Outputs and Outcomes, including correlation / comparison between them,

- the analysis of the level implementation of Outcomes / Outputs in relation to its their execution.

Such parameters are used to assess the progress toward the General objective of the project (Impact) at the Department/Region and national level, in order to allow reliable confrontations.

The level of achievement of the Outcomes (SO1, SO2, SO3, SO4) and impact is analysed along the Evaluation matrix, structuring issues on the basis of general questions (impact) and analytical questions (outcomes).

The performance of the operation, i.e. the complex of the previous parameters, is filtered in a structured analysis, undertaken along the criteria of relevance, coherence (internal and external), efficiency, effectiveness, impact and connectedness. Such exercise is undertaken by discussing and integrating the qualitative contribution of the Country and Headquarters office managers and Officers.

## **Evaluation matrix**

| Issue / Question  | Indicators   | Main sources of<br>information                      |
|---|--|---|
| 1. Which is the Project efficiency and  | implementation capacity?   |   |
| A. The delivery tools of food aid as identified in the Project are efficiently used?            | Qualitative and when possible quantitative evidence of efficient utilisation   | Project document /<br>Implementation<br>reports     |
| B. Institutional arrangements are adequate?   | Evidence of partnerships   | Implementation reports                              |
| C. Funds and food provided are adequate?  | Level of financial execution of the project<br>and of food shipment  | Implementation<br>reports<br>COMPAS database        |
| D. Adequacy of institutional arrangements?  | Evidence of effective channels of<br>communication between Headquarters,<br>Country office, sub-offices and local<br>institutions on food distribution                           | Project document /<br>Implementation<br>reports     |
| E. Adequacy of available human resources?   | Evidence of availability of qualified human<br>resources at the adequate place to support<br>capacity building activities.   | Project document /<br>Implementation<br>reports     |
|   | ihood and food security improved?  |   |
| A. Has the project improved the situation of the IDPs/Refugee returnee beneficiaries resettled? | IDPs and Refugee returnee beneficiaries resettled (17,000)   | Project database / CP<br>activity reports           |
| B. Have the resettled beneficiaries' food security improved?                                    | Proportion of beneficiary household<br>expenditures devoted to food (<50% of<br>household revenues)  | Project database / CP<br>activity reports           |
| 3. Have vulnerable population access  | to social services?  |   |
| A. Have the vulnerable women and<br>children accessed to health services<br>in targeted areas?  | Global (moderate and severe) acute<br>malnutrition prevalence decreased in<br>children under-five and pregnant/lactating<br>women in targeted areas (% according to the<br>area) | UNICEF MISCs<br>survey                              |
| B. Have the school children access to education services improved?                              | Net enrolment rate: percentage of primary<br>school-age boys and girls enrolled in WFP<br>assisted primary schools (%)   | Field survey<br>(qualitative) / DNC<br>database     |
| C. Have the school girls access to education services improved?                                 | Ratio of girls and boys enrolled in WFP targeted primary schools (> 0.7)   | Project database / CP<br>activity report            |
| D. Are the School feeding activities linked to development initiatives?                         | Number of schools jointly targeted for the<br>implementation of essential learning package<br>(200)  | Project database and<br>reports<br>Thematic studies |

| Sub-<br>office | programme | logistics | admin/finance | drivers | HR | Security | ІСТ | Total |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|---------|----|----------|-----|-------|
| January 20     |           |           |               |         |    |          |     |       |
| Abidjan        | 16        | 15        | 12            | 9       | 3  | 1        | 7   | 63    |
| Guiglo         | 6         | 4         | 1             | 5       | 0  | 0        | 1   | 17    |
| Korhogo        | 3         | 3         | 0             | 4       | 0  | 0        | 1   | 11    |
| Man            | 5         | 4         | 1             | 5       | 0  | 0        | 2   | 17    |
| Odienne        | 2         | 3         | 0             | 2       | 0  | 0        | 0   | 7     |
| San Pedro      | 0         | 4         | 0             | 2       | 0  | 0        | 1   | 7     |
| Bouaké         | 6         | 6         | 1             | 5       | 0  | 0        | 1   | 19    |
| Total          | 38        | 39        | 15            | 32      | 3  | 1        | 13  | 141   |
| Janaury 20     | 09        |           |               |         |    |          |     |       |
| Abidjan        | 11        | 18        | 10            | 6       | 3  | 1        | 7   | 56    |
| Guiglo         | 0         | 2         | 0             | 1       | 0  | 0        | 0   | 3     |
| Korhogo        |           |           |               |         |    |          |     |       |
| Man            | 7         | 6         | 1             | 4       | 0  | 0        | 2   | 20    |
| Odienne        | 3         | 3         | 0             | 3       | 0  | 0        | 0   | 9     |
| San Pedro      |           |           |               |         |    |          |     |       |
| Bouaké         | 7         | 5         | 1             | 5       | 0  | 0        | 1   | 19    |
| Total          | 28        | 34        | 12            | 19      | 3  | 1        | 10  | 107   |

# 4. Country office staff consistency and repartition

Source: Project HR unit

# 5. Rations composition (2007 – 2008)

| Code | Type                                    | Kcal | WBG | MML | Rice  | CEREAL<br>S | Pulses | Vegoil | CSB | Salt | Sugar |     |
|------|---|------|-----|-----|-------|-------------|--------|--------|-----|------|-------|-----|
| А    | IDPs                                    | 2100 |     | 420 |       |             | 50     | 30     | 50  | 5    |       | SO1 |
| В    | Refugees in Camps                       | 2100 |     | 420 |       |             | 50     | 30     | 50  | 5    |       | SO1 |
| С    | Reduced ration for<br>refugees in camps | 1835 |     | 400 |       |             | 30     | 25     | 30  | 5    |       | SO1 |
| D    | Returnees (returned refugees)           | 2100 |     | 420 |       |             | 50     | 30     | 30  | 5    |       | SO1 |
| Е    | Resettled IDPs                          | 2100 |     | 420 |       |             | 50     | 30     | 30  | 5    |       | SO1 |
| А    | General emergency distribution          | 2100 |     | 420 |       |             | 50     | 30     | 50  | 5    |       | SO1 |
| А    | lean season distribution                | 1835 |     | 400 |       |             | 30     | 25     | 30  | 5    |       | SO1 |
| В    | Caretakers of children in TFC (new)     | 2100 |     | 420 |       |             | 50     | 30     | 50  | 5    |       | SO3 |
| С    | Supplementary feeding                   | 1250 |     |     |       |             |        | 25     | 250 |      | 20    | SO3 |
| А    | Take home ration after TFC              | 2100 |     | 210 | 210   |             | 50     | 30     | 50  | 5    | 30    | SO3 |
| D    | Mother and child health (MCH)           | 1250 |     |     |       |             |        | 25     | 250 |      | 20    | SO3 |
| Е    | Emergency school<br>feeding             | 729  |     | 75  | 75    |             | 30     | 10     |     | 5    |       | SO4 |
| F    | Food for work                           | 1929 |     | 400 |       |             | 80     | 25     |     |      |       | SO2 |
| G    | Food for social workers                 | 1929 |     | 400 |       |             | 80     | 25     |     |      |       | SO4 |
| Н    | Seed Protection Ration                  | 1929 |     | 400 |       |             | 80     | 25     |     |      |       | SO2 |
| Ι    | Food for training                       | 1929 |     | 400 |       |             | 80     | 25     |     |      |       | SO2 |
| В    | Patients in social institutions         | 2100 |     | 210 | 210   |             | 50     | 30     | 50  | 5    |       | SO3 |
| А    | Caretakers of children in TFC           | 2100 |     | 210 | 210   |             | 50     | 30     | 50  | 5    | 20    | SO3 |
| А    | OVC in social institutions              | 2100 |     | 210 | 210   |             | 50     | 30     | 100 | 10   | 3     | SO3 |
| J    | PLWH in families                        | 1325 |     | 150 |       |             | 50     | 20     | 50  | 5    |       | SO3 |
| К    | OVC in families                         | 1325 |     | 150 |       |             | 50     | 20     | 100 | 10   | 3     | SO3 |
| L    | Take Home Ration for<br>Girls           | 396  |     |     | 111.1 |             |        |        |     |      |       | SO4 |

| activity                      | Abidjan | Guiglo | Man    | Odienné | Korhogo | Bouaké  | Total   |
|-------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| General Emergency             |         |        |        |         |         |         |         |
| Distribution                  | 229     | 16,820 | 9,446  | 8,400   | 9,400   | 3,882   | 48,177  |
| Food for work                 | 0       | 10,555 | 290    | 500     | 0       | 0       | 11,345  |
| Seed Protection Ration        | 0       | 0      | 0      | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| Food for training             | 60      | 2,200  | 708    | 0       | 300     | 714     | 3,982   |
| Supplementary feeding         | 0       | 1,032  | 3,099  | 567     | 2,553   | 2,183   | 9,434   |
| Caretakers of children in TFC | 0       | 474    | 2,848  | 78      | 602     | 0       | 4,002   |
| Mother and child health       |         |        |        |         |         |         |         |
| (MCH)                         | 0       | 612    | 179    | 788     | 4,819   | 3,287   | 9,685   |
| PLWH in families              | 2,185   | 2,945  | 4,500  | 1,050   | 3,650   | 12,500  | 26,830  |
| OVC in families               | 0       | 2,220  | 1,500  | 1,000   | 4,300   | 5,980   | 15,000  |
| Emergency school feeding      | 282,977 | 45,611 | 58,333 | 58,333  | 73,500  | 142,333 | 661,087 |
| Food for social workers       | 0       | 1,195  | 0      | 0       | 0       | 0       | 1,195   |
| Take Home Ration for Girls    | 0       | 0      | 0      | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| Total                         | 285,451 | 83,664 | 80,903 | 70,716  | 99,124  | 170,879 | 790,737 |

# 6. Beneficiaries by sub-office (mt), Jan to Dec 2008

Source: Project database

## 7. Food distribution Jan to Dec 2007 (PRRO 20276.0 and PRRO 20672.0)

| Activity                             | Number of I | Beneficiaries |     | Quantity o | f Food in mt |     |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|-----|------------|--------------|-----|
|                                      | Planned     | Assisted      | %   | Planned    | Distributed  | %   |
| IDPs in Camps                        | 6790        | 6790          | 100 | 649.85     | 617.64       | 95  |
| General Emergency Distribution       | 18495       | 20220         | 109 | 1699.85    | 1336.63      | 79  |
| Lean Season Distribution             | 58168       | 58170         | 100 | 1943.23    | 1855.48      | 95  |
| Refugees in Camps                    | 168         | 132           | 79  | 16.78      | 12.78        | 76  |
| Reduced ration for refugees in camps | 1960        | 1960          | 100 | 172.87     | 172.06       | 100 |
| Populations in transit               | 3370        | 2846          | 84  | 1.94       | 1.2          | 62  |
| Resettled IDPs                       | 8308        | 8308          | 100 | 199.32     | 194.9        | 98  |
| Food for work                        | 43255       | 39485         | 91  | 1960.06    | 1690.39      | 86  |
| Seed Protection Ration               | 61100       | 61100         | 100 | 1887.16    | 1887.18      | 100 |
| Food for training                    | 41470       | 38230         | 92  | 4108.75    | 3323.71      | 81  |
| Patients in social institutions      | 23419       | 22375         | 96  | 385.46     | 336.94       | 87  |
| Supplementary feeding                | 8064        | 9180          | 114 | 242.75     | 182.15       | 75  |
| Take home ration after TFC           | 10052       | 6665          | 66  | 220.09     | 125.3        | 57  |
| Caretakers of children in TFC        | 5528        | 4314          | 78  | 81.59      | 58.79        | 72  |
| Therapeutic feeding                  | 1380        | 1660          | 120 | 9.32       | 6.69         | 72  |
| Mother and child health (MCH)        | 3613        | 6644          | 184 | 187.54     | 147.14       | 78  |
| PLWH in families                     | 28920       | 25700         | 89  | 4040.13    | 3038.52      | 75  |
| OVC in social institutions           | 5271        | 4565          | 87  | 432.31     | 384.92       | 89  |
| OVC in families                      | 21660       | 21440         | 99  | 2839.54    | 2569.9       | 91  |
| Emergency school feeding             | 614701      | 676229        | 110 | 19112.13   | 12047.19     | 63  |
| Food for social workers              | 25635       | 21580         | 84  | 2448.63    | 1285.86      | 53  |
| Total                                | 991327      | 1037593       | 105 | 42639.3    | 31275.37     | 73  |

| Activity                        | mt        |
|---------------------------------|-----------|
| IDPs in camps                   | 39.733    |
| General emergency distribution  | 3034.846  |
| Lean season distribution        | 119.134   |
| Resettled IDPs                  | 62.402    |
| Food for work                   | 514.648   |
| Seed protection ration          | 0.135     |
| Food for training               | 1733.407  |
| Patients in social institutions | 1.272     |
| Supplementary feeding           | 254.155   |
| Caretakers of children in TFC   | 62.416    |
| Therapeutic feeding             | 0.3       |
| Mother and child health         | 246.834   |
| PLWH in families                | 3874.793  |
| OVC in families                 | 2383.017  |
| OVC in social institutions      | 0.513     |
| Emergency school feeding        | 12049.366 |
| Food for social workers         | 252.114   |
| Take home ration after TFC      | 3.9       |
| Grand total                     | 24632.985 |

## 8. Food distribution Jul to Dec 2008 (PRRO 20672.0)

Source: Project logistics unit

# 9. Beneficiaries and food distribution, Jan to Dec 2008

| Activity                          | Numbe   | er of Beneficiari | es   | Quantity  | of Food in mt |    |
|-----------------------------------|---------|-------------------|------|-----------|---------------|----|
|                                   | Planned | Assisted          | %    | Planned   | Distributed   | %  |
| General Emergency<br>Distribution | 39,330  | 48,177            | 122% | 2,776.56  | 2,070.69      | 75 |
| Food for work                     | 15,750  | 11,345            | 72%  | 1,113.76  | 121.07        | 11 |
| Seed Protection Ration            | 26,300  | 0                 | -    | 797.80    | 0.00          |    |
| Food for training                 | 5,744   | 3,982             | 69%  | 523.82    | 268.24        | 51 |
| Supplementary feeding             | 9,953   | 9,434             | 95%  | 261.37    | 176.23        | 67 |
| Caretakers of children in TFC     | 2,405   | 4,002             | 166% | 49.53     | 38.81         | 78 |
| Mother and child health (MCH)     | 11,690  | 9,685             | 83%  | 210.44    | 181.30        | 86 |
| PLWH in families                  | 28,945  | 26,830            | 93%  | 3,274.29  | 2,801.93      | 86 |
| OVC in families                   | 15,000  | 15,000            | 100% | 1,738.57  | 1,665.27      | 96 |
| Emergency school feeding          | 589,121 | 661,087           | 112% | 16,394.63 | 8,660.64      | 53 |
| Food for social workers           | 18,000  | 1,195             | 7%   | 696.20    | 9.70          | 1  |
| Take Home Ration for Girls        | 75,000  | 0                 | -    | 1,500.00  | 0.00          |    |
| Total                             | 837,238 | 790,737           | 94%  | 29,336.96 | 15,993.87     | 55 |

|                   | on og suo s | × .      | ,,, <b>c</b> |          |          |         |           |
|-------------------|-------------|----------|--------------|----------|----------|---------|-----------|
| Activity          | Abidjan     | Guiglo   | Man          | Odienné  | Korhogo  | Bouaké  | Total     |
| General Emergency |             |          |              |          |          |         |           |
| Distribution      | 7.81        | 822.87   | 222.69       | 390.46   | 445.25   | 181.6   | 2,070.69  |
| Food for work     | 0.00        | 87.60    | 7.36         | 26.11    | 0.00     | 0.0     | 121.07    |
| Seed Protection   |             |          |              |          |          |         |           |
| Ration            | 0.00        | 0.00     | 0.00         | 0.00     | 0.00     | 0.0     | 0.00      |
| Food for training | 3.68        | 141.87   | 39.59        | 0.00     | 25.61    | 57.5    | 268.24    |
| Supplementary     |             |          |              |          |          |         |           |
| feeding           | 0.00        | 8.46     | 41.85        | 8.31     | 61.74    | 55.9    | 176.23    |
| Caretakers of     |             |          |              |          |          |         |           |
| children in TFC   | 0.00        | 3.12     | 18.06        | 1.44     | 16.18    | 0.0     | 38.81     |
| Mother and child  |             |          |              |          |          |         |           |
| health (MCH)      | 0.00        | 7.30     | 2.62         | 7.55     | 107.96   | 55.9    | 181.30    |
| PLWH in families  | 129.08      | 324.17   | 459.26       | 119.06   | 417.42   | 1,352.9 | 2,801.93  |
| OVC in families   | 0.08        | 247.03   | 158.58       | 116.34   | 501.07   | 642.2   | 1,665.27  |
| Emergency school  |             |          |              |          |          |         |           |
| feeding           | 4,047.60    | 617.76   | 735.11       | 673.51   | 875.84   | 1,710.8 | 8,660.64  |
| Food for social   |             |          |              |          |          |         |           |
| workers           | 0.00        | 9.70     | 0.00         | 0.00     | 0.00     | 0.0     | 9.70      |
| Take Home Ration  |             |          |              |          |          |         |           |
| for Girls         | 0.00        | 0.00     | 0.00         | 0.00     | 0.00     | 0.0     | 0.00      |
| Total             | 4,188.24    | 2,269.88 | 1,685.12     | 1,342.78 | 2,451.06 | 4,056.8 | 15,993.87 |

10. Food distribution by sub-office (mt), Jan to Dec 2008

|                                |         | , i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i |        |         | · · · - |        |        |
|--------------------------------|---------|---|--------|---------|---------|--------|--------|
| Activity                       | Abidjan | Guiglo                                  | Man    | Odienné | Korhogo | Bouaké | Total  |
| General Emergency Distribution | 34.10   | 48.92                                   | 23.57  | 46.48   | 47.37   | 46.78  | 42.98  |
| Food for work                  |         | 8.30                                    | 25.37  | 52.22   |         |        | 10.67  |
| Seed Protection Ration         |         |   |        |         |         |        |        |
| Food for training              | 61.25   | 64.49                                   | 55.92  |         | 85.35   | 80.53  | 67.36  |
| Supplementary feeding          |         | 8.20                                    | 13.51  | 14.65   | 24.18   | 25.59  | 18.68  |
| Caretakers of children in TFC  |         | 6.59                                    | 6.34   | 18.42   | 26.88   |        | 9.70   |
| Mother and child health (MCH)  |         | 11.93                                   | 14.64  | 9.58    | 22.40   | 17.00  | 18.72  |
| PLWH in families               | 59.08   | 110.07                                  | 102.06 | 113.39  | 114.36  | 108.24 | 104.43 |
| OVC in families                |         | 111.27                                  | 105.72 | 116.34  | 116.53  | 107.39 | 111.02 |
| Emergency school feeding       | 14.30   | 13.54                                   | 12.60  | 11.55   | 11.92   | 12.02  | 13.10  |
| Food for social workers        |         | 8.11                                    |        |         |         |        | 8.11   |
| Take Home Ration for Girls     |         |   |        |         |         |        |        |
| Total                          | 14.67   | 27.13                                   | 20.83  | 18.99   | 24.73   | 23.74  | 20.23  |

11. Food distribution individual amount by sub-office (Kg/person), Jan to Dec 2008

| Sub-office | Clusters                           | Μ    | F    | Total |
|------------|------------------------------------|------|------|-------|
| Guiglio    | Participants orphan for HIV        | 373  | 337  | 710   |
|            | OVC family members                 | 869  | 973  | 1842  |
|            | Beneficiaries                      | 1242 | 1310 | 2552  |
|            | Ratio beneficiaries / participants | 3.3  | 3.9  | 3.6   |
| Odienne    | Participants orphan for HIV        | 50   | 61   | 111   |
|            | OVC family members                 | 81   | 59   | 140   |
|            | Beneficiaries                      | 131  | 120  | 251   |
|            | Ratio beneficiaries / participants | 2.6  | 2.0  | 2.3   |
| Bouaké     | Participants orphan for HIV        | 588  | 708  | 1296  |
|            | OVC family members                 | 2367 | 2398 | 4765  |
|            | Beneficiaries                      | 2955 | 3106 | 6061  |
|            | Ratio beneficiaries / participants | 5.0  | 4.4  | 4.7   |
| Kohogo     | Participants orphan for HIV        | 415  | 440  | 855   |
|            | OVC family members                 | 1520 | 1900 | 3420  |
|            | Beneficiaries                      | 1935 | 2340 | 4275  |
|            | Ratio beneficiaries / participants | 4.7  | 5.3  | 5.0   |
| Man        | Participants orphan for HIV        | 290  | 339  | 629   |
|            | OVC family members                 | 442  | 630  | 1072  |
|            | Beneficiaries                      | 732  | 969  | 1701  |
|            | Ratio beneficiaries / participants | 2.5  | 2.9  | 2.7   |
| Total      | Participants orphan for HIV        | 1716 | 1885 | 3601  |
|            | OVC family members                 | 5279 | 5960 | 11239 |
|            | Beneficiaries                      | 6995 | 7845 | 14840 |
|            | Ratio beneficiaries / participants | 4.1  | 4.2  | 4.1   |

# 12. Vocational training OVC beneficiaries

| Category           | < 5 y | ears  | Total < | > 5 | years | Total > | Total    |
|--------------------|-------|-------|---------|-----|-------|---------|----------|
|                    | Μ     | F     | 5 years | Μ   | F     | 5 years | children |
| Total beginning of | 697   | 807   | 1,504   | 33  | 40    | 73      | 1,577    |
| year               |       |       |         |     |       |         |          |
| Admissions         | 1,935 | 1,942 | 3,877   | 135 | 169   | 304     | 4,181    |
| Total Admissions   | 1,935 | 1,942 | 3,877   | 135 | 169   | 304     | 4,181    |
| Healed             | 1,357 | 1,345 | 2,702   | 102 | 92    | 194     | 2,896    |
| Died               | 17    | 16    | 33      | 1   | 2     | 3       | 36       |
| Abandons           | 231   | 193   | 424     | 8   | 1     | 9       | 433      |
| Transferred        | 19    | 28    | 47      | 3   | 2     | 5       | 52       |
| Dismissed          | 326   | 411   | 737     | 12  | 23    | 35      | 772      |
| Total exit         | 1,950 | 1,993 | 3,943   | 126 | 120   | 246     | 4,189    |
| Total end of the   | 682   | 756   | 1,438   | 42  | 89    | 131     | 1,569    |
| year               |       |       |         |     |       |         |          |
| Total assisted     | 2632  | 2749  | 5,381   | 168 | 209   | 377     | 5,758    |

## 13 Beneficiaries of the Supplementary feeding activity, Jan to Dec 2008

Source: Project database

## 14. Beneficiaries of the Therapeutic feeding activity, Jan - Dec 2008

|                     | <5 yrs |      |       |    | 5-18 y | rs    |   | >18 y | rs    | Total |
|---------------------|--------|------|-------|----|--------|-------|---|-------|-------|-------|
| Category            | Μ      | F    | Total | Μ  | F      | Total | Μ | F     | Total |       |
| Total start of year | 136    | 92   | 228   | 4  | 15     | 19    | 0 | 0     | 0     | 247   |
| Admission           | 1533   | 1506 | 3039  | 85 | 54     | 139   | 0 | 3     | 3     | 3181  |
| Total admissions    | 1533   | 1506 | 3039  | 85 | 54     | 139   | 0 | 3     | 3     | 3181  |
| Healed              | 962    | 1231 | 1882  | 38 | 33     | 71    | 0 | 2     | 2     | 1965  |
| Died                | 67     | 67   | 134   | 5  | 0      | 5     | 0 | 0     | 1     | 535   |
| Abandons            | 191    | 199  | 390   | 6  | 9      | 15    | 0 | 0     | 0     | 405   |
| Transfers           | 252    | 235  | 487   | 14 | 17     | 31    | 0 | 0     | 0     | 518   |
| Total exit          | 1472   | 1421 | 2893  | 63 | 59     | 122   | 0 | 2     | 2     | 3017  |
| Total end of year   | 197    | 177  | 374   | 26 | 10     | 36    | 0 | 1     | 1     | 411   |
| Total beneficiaries | 1669   | 1598 | 5731  | 89 | 69     | 158   | 0 | 3     | 3     | 3428  |

Source: Project database

## 15. Beneficiaries of the MCH activity, Jan to Dec 2008

| Category                | Pregnan  | t women  | Total<br>pregnant<br>women | Pregnant<br>women | Total<br>MCH |
|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|
|                         | < 18 ans | > 18 ans |                            |                   |              |
| Total beginning of year | 127      | 1002     | 1,129                      | 1108              | 2,237        |
| Admissions              | 338      | 1,159    | 1,497                      | 1,738             | 3,235        |
| Total Admissions        | 338      | 1,159    | 1,497                      | 1,738             | 3,235        |
| Healed                  | 0        | 0        | 0                          | 0                 | 0            |
| Died                    | 0        | 3        | 3                          | 8                 | 11           |
| Abandons                | 94       | 192      | 286                        | 264               | 550          |
| Transferred             | 6        | 49       | 55                         | 52                | 107          |
| Dismissed               | 227      | 1,115    | 1,342                      | 1,346             | 2,688        |
| Total exit              | 327      | 1,359    | 1,686                      | 1,670             | 3,356        |
| Total end of the year   | 138      | 802      | 940                        | 1,176             | 2,116        |
| Total assisted          | 465      | 2161     | 2,626                      | 2,846             | 4,190        |

Source: Project database

# 16. Weight at birth of children Jan to Dec 2008

|                 | -        |
|-----------------|----------|
| Total born      | 952      |
| < 2,5 Kg        | 81       |
| ≥ 2,5 Kg        | 871      |
| Source: Project | databasa |

| A go and say                                     | <     | < 5 year | s     | 5     | - 18 yea | ars    | >     | > 18 yea | rs    |        | Total  |        |     | ART   |       |
|--|-------|----------|-------|-------|----------|--------|-------|----------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-----|-------|-------|
| Age and sex                                      | М     | F        | Total | М     | F        | Total  | М     | F        | Total | М      | F      | Total  | М   | F     | Total |
| Year start                                       | 9     | 16       | 25    | 39    | 59       | 98     | 1,267 | 2,980    | 4,247 | 1,315  | 3,055  | 4,370  | 655 | 1,714 | 2,369 |
| New<br>Admissions                                | 3     | 2        | 5     | 15    | 32       | 47     | 290   | 940      | 1,230 | 308    | 974    | 1,282  | 291 | 713   | 1,004 |
| Readmissions                                     | 0     | 0        | 0     | 0     | 0        | 0      | 7     | 27       | 34    | 7      | 27     | 34     | 7   | 27    | 34    |
| Total<br>Admissions                              | 3     | 2        | 5     | 15    | 32       | 47     | 297   | 967      | 1,264 | 315    | 1,001  | 1,316  | 298 | 740   | 1,038 |
| Discharged                                       | 3     | 5        | 8     | 22    | 31       | 53     | 245   | 645      | 890   | 270    | 681    | 951    | 97  | 225   | 322   |
| Died   | 0     | 0        | 0     | 2     | 4        | 6      | 26    | 41       | 67    | 28     | 45     | 73     | 19  | 19    | 38    |
| Abandons   | 0     | 0        | 0     | 2     | 1        | 3      | 24    | 32       | 56    | 26     | 33     | 59     | 25  | 29    | 54    |
| Displacements                                    | 0     | 0        | 0     | 0     | 0        | 0      | 29    | 43       | 72    | 29     | 43     | 72     | 20  | 20    | 40    |
| Exits  | 3     | 5        | 8     | 26    | 36       | 62     | 324   | 761      | 1,085 | 353    | 802    | 1,155  | 161 | 293   | 454   |
| Total<br>beneficiaries<br>End of period          | 9     | 13       | 22    | 28    | 55       | 83     | 1,240 | 3,186    | 4,426 | 1,277  | 3,254  | 4,531  | 792 | 2,161 | 2,953 |
| Total PLWH<br>assisted                           | 12    | 18       | 30    | 54    | 91       | 145    | 1,564 | 3,947    | 5,511 | 1,630  | 4,056  | 5,686  | 953 | 2,454 | 3,407 |
| Total direct<br>and indirect<br>PLWH<br>assisted | 2,230 | 2,149    | 4,379 | 9,817 | 7,957    | 17,774 | 2,840 | 3,437    | 6,277 | 14,887 | 13,543 | 28,430 |     |       |       |

## 17. PLWH beneficiaries Jan to Dec 2008

|                    |                        | Boual             | se        |                 | Guigl             | 0         |                        | Odien             | ine       |                        | Korhog        | go        |                        | Man               |           | Co             | te d'Ivo      | ire       |
|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------|-----------|
|                    |                        | Women             |           | V               | Women             |           |                        | Women             | l         |                        | Women         |           |                        | Women             | L         |                | Women         |           |
| Age and sex        | $\leq 18$<br>year<br>s | > 18<br>year<br>s | Tot<br>al | $\leq 18$ years | > 18<br>year<br>s | Tot<br>al | $\leq 18$<br>year<br>s | > 18<br>year<br>s | Tota<br>1 | $\leq 18$<br>year<br>s | > 18<br>years | Tota<br>1 | $\leq 18$<br>year<br>s | > 18<br>year<br>s | Tot<br>al | $\leq$ 18 year | > 18<br>years | Tot<br>al |
| Start              | 3                      | 97                | 100       | 0               | 167               | 167       | 0                      | 0                 | 0         | 2                      | 38            | 40        | 0                      | 132               | 132       | 5              | 434           | 439       |
| New<br>admission   | 0                      | 0                 | 0         | 2               | 26                | 28        | 0                      | 0                 | 0         | 0                      | 0             | 0         | 0                      | 0                 | 0         | 2              | 26            | 28        |
| Total<br>Admission | 3                      | 97                | 100       | 2               | 193               | 195       | 0                      | 0                 | 0         | 2                      | 38            | 40        | 0                      | 132               | 132       | 7              | 460           | 467       |
| Dismissed          | 0                      | 0                 | 0         | 0               | 0                 | 0         | 0                      | 0                 | 0         | 0                      | 0             | 0         | 0                      | 0                 | 0         | 0              | 0             | 0         |
| Died               | 0                      | 0                 | 0         | 0               | 3                 | 3         | 0                      | 0                 | 0         | 0                      | 0             | 0         | 0                      | 0                 | 0         | 0              | 3             | 3         |
| Abandons           | 0                      | 0                 | 0         | 0               | 0                 | 0         | 0                      | 0                 | 0         | 0                      | 0             | 0         | 0                      | 0                 | 0         | 0              | 0             | 0         |
| Displacements      | 0                      | 0                 | 0         | 0               | 1                 | 1         | 0                      | 0                 | 0         | 0                      | 0             | 0         | 0                      | 0                 | 0         | 0              | 1             | 1         |
| Exit               | 0                      | 0                 | 0         | 0               | 4                 | 4         | 0                      | 0                 | 0         | 0                      | 0             | 0         | 0                      | 0                 | 0         | 0              | 4             | 4         |
| Total/End<br>month | 3                      | 97                | 100       | 2               | 18                | 191       | 0                      | 0                 | 0         | 2                      | 38            | 40        | 0                      | 132               | 132       | 7              | 285           | 463       |

# **18. MTCTP beneficiaries, Jan to Dec 2008**

|                    | Care Boua     | NC            |
|--------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Case               | Weigh         | nt (Kg)       |
|                    | M+1           | M+6           |
| 1                  | 49            | 52.00         |
| 2                  | 35            | 50            |
| 3                  | 42            | 48            |
| 4                  | 46            | 46            |
| 5                  | 38            | 38            |
| 6                  | 48            | 49            |
| 7                  | 52            | 55            |
| 8                  | 57            | 61            |
| 9                  | 59            | 63            |
| 10                 | 70            | 75            |
| 11                 | 48            | 56            |
| 12                 | 45            | 49            |
| 13                 | 58            | 59            |
| 14                 | 51            | 63            |
| 15                 | 47            | 49            |
| 16                 | 58            | 67            |
| 17                 | 47            | 50            |
| 18                 | 58            | 58            |
| 19                 | 72            | 72            |
| 20                 | 54            | 61            |
| 21                 | 67            | 62            |
| 22                 | 71            | 71            |
| 23                 | 73            | 74            |
| 24                 | 71            | 72            |
| 25                 | 38            | 40            |
| 26                 | 56            | 60            |
| 27                 | 49            | 50            |
| 28                 | 54            | 55.5          |
| 29                 | 41            | 48.75         |
| 30                 | 31            | 34            |
| Mean               | 50.92         | 56.07         |
| Standard deviation | 52.83<br>9.22 | 56.27<br>8.68 |
| Correlation        |               | 367           |
|                    | 0.9           |               |

19. Weight evolution of PLWH after 6 months of food distribution. Care Bouaké

## 20. Pupils of the 14 surveyed schools

|                |             | CP1 |    | CP2 |    | CE1 |    | CE2 |    | CM1 |    | CM2 |    |
|----------------|-------------|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|
| School         | IEP         | F   | G  | F   | G  | F   | G  | F   | G  | F   | G  | F   | G  |
| Ahoutoué 1     | Alepe       | 25  | 25 | 24  | 17 | 13  | 22 | 23  | 21 | 13  | 14 | 10  | 14 |
| Zélé 1         | Man I       | 32  | 28 | 24  | 21 | 20  | 25 | 16  | 34 | 17  | 31 | 16  | 21 |
| Zélé 2         | Man I       | 28  | 27 | 27  | 33 | 22  | 24 | 15  | 18 | 11  | 21 | 11  | 19 |
| Blolé 1 x      | Man I       | 34  | 46 | 30  | 31 | 20  | 20 | 16  | 20 | 11  | 14 | 8   | 24 |
| Mona           | Guiglo      | 35  | 26 | 23  | 26 | 30  | 25 | 16  | 14 | 16  | 23 | 19  | 32 |
| Blao-Goya 2    | Guiglo      | 28  | 31 | 27  | 31 | 24  | 25 | 12  | 18 | 15  | 21 | 3   | 15 |
| Larabia        | Bonoua      | 24  | 27 | 31  | 30 | 21  | 17 | 26  | 24 | 28  | 22 | 27  | 37 |
| Fodio          | Boundiali   | 29  | 12 | 23  | 17 | 9   | 9  | 7   | 5  | 4   | 4  | 5   | 8  |
| Karakoro       | Korhogo III | 29  | 40 | 34  | 56 | 33  | 29 | 14  | 15 | 17  | 23 | 28  | 38 |
| Boniéré 1      | Dabakala    | 19  | 21 | 33  | 19 | 12  | 19 | 4   | 22 | 3   | 25 | 11  | 20 |
| Boniéré 2      | Dabakala    | 21  | 23 | 26  | 28 | 6   | 18 | 20  | 21 | 11  | 20 | 14  | 22 |
| Kroukro-Mafele | Odienné I   | 13  | 29 | 0   | 0  | 1   | 33 | 0   | 0  | 0   | 13 | 0   | 0  |
| Linguesso      | odieené II  | 0   | 0  | 13  | 23 | 0   | 0  | 20  | 30 | 0   | 0  | 11  | 29 |
| Toulekaha      | Korhogo III |     |    |     |    | 4   | 7  |     |    | 6   | 5  |     |    |
|                |             |     |    |     |    |     |    |     |    |     |    |     |    |

Table A.1.1: Enrolment by grade in 2008/09

Table A.1.2: Enrolment by grade in 2007/08

|                |                | CP1 |    | CP2 |    | CE1 |    | CE2 |    | CM1 |    | CM2 |    |
|----------------|----------------|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|
| School         | IEP            | F   | G  | F   | G  | F   | G  | F   | G  | F   | G  | F   | G  |
| Ahoutoué 1     | Alepe          | 21  | 17 | 20  | 26 | 23  | 16 | 17  | 17 | 10  | 13 | 10  | 25 |
| Zélé 1         | Man I          | 30  | 25 | 29  | 40 | 25  | 20 | 19  | 23 | 9   | 26 | 9   | 28 |
| Zélé 2         | Man I          | 27  | 30 | 16  | 28 | 19  | 17 | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0  |
| Blolé 1 x      | Man I          | 30  | 22 | 20  | 25 | 10  | 30 | 10  | 25 | 10  | 20 | 11  | 15 |
| Mona           | Guiglo         | 31  | 29 | 26  | 22 | 20  | 22 | 21  | 22 | 19  | 21 | 8   | 24 |
| Blao-Goya 2    | Guiglo         | 39  | 32 | 19  | 16 | 20  | 15 | 15  | 23 | 16  | 18 | 5   | 15 |
| Larabia        | Bonoua         | 27  | 26 | 28  | 23 | 14  | 16 | 29  | 28 | 23  | 21 | 17  | 31 |
| Fodio          | Boundiali      | 40  | 20 | 8   | 13 | 9   | 6  | 4   | 3  | 4   | 8  | 4   | 8  |
| Karakoro       | Korhogo<br>III | 30  | 39 | 35  | 36 | 12  | 15 | 16  | 21 | 21  | 25 | 13  | 29 |
| Boniéré 1      | Dabakala       | 30  | 19 | 18  | 17 | 9   | 16 | 0   | 0  | 12  | 14 | 6   | 20 |
| Boniéré 2      | Dabakala       | 25  | 27 | 13  | 21 | 19  | 24 | 14  | 19 | 15  | 15 | 7   | 20 |
| Kroukro-Mafele | Odienné<br>I   | 0   | 0  | 6   | 35 | 0   | 0  | 0   | 24 | 0   | 0  | 0   | 6  |
| Linguesso      | odieené<br>II  | 13  | 21 | 0   | 0  | 21  | 32 | 0   | 0  | 15  | 34 | 0   | 0  |
| Toulekaha      | Korhogo<br>III |     |    | 8   | 6  |     |    | 6   | 12 |     |    |     |    |

|                |                | CP1 |    | CP2 |    | CE1 |    | CE2 |    | CM1 |    | CM2 |    |
|----------------|----------------|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|
| School         | IEP            | F   | G  | F   | G  | F   | G  | F   | G  | F   | G  | F   | G  |
| Ahoutoué 1     | Alepe          | 18  | 18 | 13  | 26 | 30  | 10 | 10  | 16 | 10  | 14 | 13  | 17 |
| Zélé 1         | Man I          | 28  | 22 | 25  | 20 | 17  | 34 | 26  | 21 | 11  | 24 | 18  | 20 |
| Zélé 2         | Man I          | 15  | 17 | 14  | 14 | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0  |
| Blolé 1 x      | Man I          | 15  | 40 | 20  | 30 | 20  | 25 | 15  | 20 | 10  | 25 | 15  | 35 |
| Mona           | Guiglo         | 35  | 31 | 28  | 22 | 23  | 22 | 17  | 19 | 16  | 24 | 7   | 21 |
| Blao-Goya 2    | Guiglo         | 22  | 40 | 26  | 19 | 19  | 16 | 12  | 15 | 10  | 11 | 8   | 12 |
| Larabia        | Bonoua         | 26  | 28 | 21  | 20 | 25  | 27 | 25  | 21 | 16  | 23 | 15  | 28 |
| Fodio          | Boundiali      | 15  | 20 | 1   | 17 | 8   | 9  | 6   | 8  | 2   | 8  | 5   | 10 |
| Karakoro       | Korhogo<br>III | 37  | 35 | 12  | 17 | 15  | 19 | 15  | 19 | 12  | 28 | 18  | 23 |
| Boniéré 1      | Dabakala       | 16  | 21 | 14  | 17 |     |    |     |    |     |    |     |    |
| Boniéré 2      | Dabakala       | 23  | 26 | 15  | 22 | 19  | 24 | 14  | 16 | 30  | 28 | 7   | 19 |
| Kroukro-Mafele | Odienné I      |     |    |     |    |     |    |     |    |     |    |     |    |
| Linguesso      | odieené II     |     |    |     |    |     |    |     |    |     |    |     |    |
| Toulekaha      | Korhogo<br>III | 8   | 7  |     |    | 9   | 13 |     |    |     |    |     |    |

Table A.1.3: Enrolment by grade in 2006/07

Table A.1.4: Repeaters by grade in 2008/09

|                |                | CP1 |    | CP2 |   | CE1 |    | CE2 |    | CM1 |    | CM2 |    |
|----------------|----------------|-----|----|-----|---|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|
| School         | IEP            | F   | G  | F   | G | F   | G  | F   | G  | F   | G  | F   | G  |
| Ahoutoué 1     | Alepe          | 6   | 3  | 8   | 6 | 4   | 3  | 2   | 5  | 2   | 3  | 2   | 6  |
| Zélé 1         | Man I          | 3   | 4  | 1   | 2 | 8   | 9  | 8   | 8  | 8   | 10 | 7   | 9  |
| Zélé 2         | Man I          | 2   | 6  | 3   | 2 | 3   | 2  | 1   | 3  | 4   | 7  | 5   | 6  |
| Blolé 1 x      | Man I          | 1   |    | 1   | 1 | 1   | 1  | 1   | 1  | 1   | 2  | 2   | 1  |
| Mona           | Guiglo         | 4   | 6  | 4   | 2 | 9   | 5  | 4   | 2  | 4   | 8  | 0   | 9  |
| Blao-Goya 2    | Guiglo         | 3   | 5  | 2   | 4 | 0   | 0  | 0   | 3  | 0   | 0  | 0   | 8  |
| Larabia        | Bonoua         | 1   | 7  | 4   | 9 | 1   | 3  | 7   | 10 | 8   | 3  | 11  | 17 |
| Fodio          | Boundiali      | 10  | 4  | 2   | 3 | 2   | 2  | 0   | 1  | 0   | 2  | 2   | 2  |
| Karakoro       | Korhogo<br>III | 0   | 0  | 4   | 5 | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0  | 2   | 1  | 5   | 15 |
| Boniéré 1      | Dabakala       | 0   | 0  | 4   | 2 | 0   | 2  | 0   | 0  | 2   | 5  | 0   | 3  |
| Boniéré 2      | Dabakala       | 0   | 0  | 7   | 4 | 0   | 0  | 5   | 6  | 0   | 0  | 1   | 2  |
| Kroukro-Mafele | Odienné I      | 0   | 12 |     |   | 0   | 11 |     |    | 0   | 0  |     |    |
| Linguesso      | odieené II     | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0 | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0  |
| Toulekaha      | Korhogo<br>III |     |    |     |   |     |    |     |    |     |    |     |    |

|                    |                | CP1 |   | CP2 |    | CE1 |   | CE2 |    | CM1 |   | CM2 |    |
|--------------------|----------------|-----|---|-----|----|-----|---|-----|----|-----|---|-----|----|
| School             | IEP            | F   | G | F   | G  | F   | G | F   | G  | F   | G | F   | G  |
| Ahoutoué 1         | Alepe          | 5   | 4 | 6   | 13 | 5   | 2 | 3   | 8  | 5   | 3 | 3   | 13 |
| Zélé 1             | Man I          | 1   | 5 | 1   | 2  | 4   | 1 | 7   | 3  | 6   | 4 | 5   | 6  |
| Zélé 2             | Man I          | 2   | 3 | 9   | 4  | 2   | 2 | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0 | 0   | 0  |
| Blolé 1 x          | Man I          | 1   | 1 | 1   | 2  | 1   | 2 | 1   | 2  | 2   | 2 | 2   | 2  |
| Mona               | Guiglo         | 3   | 8 | 3   | 5  | 3   | 3 | 1   | 2  | 3   | 4 | 1   | 4  |
| Blao-Goya<br>2     | Guiglo         | 8   | 8 | 3   | 4  | 1   | 3 | 3   | 5  | 0   | 1 | 3   | 9  |
| Larabia            | Bonoua         | 7   | 5 | 7   | 5  | 1   | 2 | 8   | 10 | 9   | 5 | 14  | 19 |
| Fodio              | Boundiali      | 3   | 5 | 1   | 2  | 3   | 1 | 0   | 0  | 0   | 1 | 2   | 2  |
| Karakoro           | Korhogo<br>III | 0   | 0 | 5   | 5  | 0   | 0 | 0   | 0  | 3   | 3 | 11  | 13 |
| Boniéré 1          | Dabakala       | 9   | 6 | 6   | 3  | 0   | 0 | 0   | 0  | 4   | 2 | 5   | 14 |
| Boniéré 2          | Dabakala       | 5   | 3 | 3   | 4  | 7   | 7 | 5   | 2  | 1   | 3 | 3   | 5  |
| Kroukro-<br>Mafele | Odienné<br>I   | 0   | 0 | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0 | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0 | 0   | 0  |
| Linguesso          | odieené<br>II  | 0   | 0 | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0 | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0 | 0   | 0  |
| Toulekaha          | Korhogo<br>III |     |   |     |    |     |   |     |    |     |   |     |    |

Table A.1.5: Repeaters by grade in 2007/08

#### Table A.1.6: Repeaters by grade in 2006/07

|                    | Itepeaters by  | CP1 |    | CP2 |   | CE1 |   | CE2 |   | CM1 |   | CM2 |   |
|--------------------|----------------|-----|----|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|
| School             | IEP            | F   | G  | F   | G | F   | G | F   | G | F   | G | F   | G |
| Ahoutoué 1         | Alepe          | 7   | 3  | 4   | 7 | 6   | 3 | 3   | 5 | 1   | 2 | 4   | 2 |
| Zélé 1             | Man I          | 3   | 2  | 2   | 6 | 4   | 2 | 3   | 5 | 2   | 7 | 5   | 8 |
| Zélé 2             | Man I          | 2   | 4  | 2   | 3 | 0   | 0 | 0   | 0 | 0   | 0 | 0   | 0 |
| Blolé 1 x          | Man I          |     |    |     |   |     |   |     |   |     |   |     |   |
| Mona               | Guiglo         | 8   | 8  | 3   | 4 | 4   | 3 | 1   | 1 | 1   | 4 | 1   | 6 |
| Blao-Goya<br>2     | Guiglo         | 8   | 10 | 6   | 9 | 3   | 4 | 2   | 3 | 0   | 2 | 3   | 5 |
| Larabia            | Bonoua         | 7   | 7  | 7   | 7 | 2   | 4 | 6   | 5 | 6   | 4 | 7   | 7 |
| Fodio              | Boundiali      | 3   | 5  | 2   | 4 | 1   | 0 | 0   | 1 | 1   | 0 | 2   | 3 |
| Karakoro           | Korhogo<br>III | 7   | 8  | 2   | 3 | 3   | 4 | 0   | 2 | 3   | 7 | 4   | 4 |
| Boniéré 1          | Dabakala       | 3   | 5  | 4   | 2 |     |   |     |   |     |   |     |   |
| Boniéré 2          | Dabakala       | 5   | 3  | 3   | 4 | 7   | 7 | 5   | 2 | 6   | 6 | 3   | 5 |
| Kroukro-<br>Mafele | Odienné I      |     |    |     |   |     |   |     |   |     |   |     |   |
| Linguesso          | odieené II     |     |    |     |   |     |   |     |   |     |   |     |   |
| Toulekaha          | Korhogo<br>III |     |    |     |   |     |   |     |   |     |   |     |   |

|         | Numbers Growth rates |      |       |       |       |       |
|---------|----------------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Year    | Girls                | Boys | Total | Girls | Boys  | Total |
| 2006-07 | 205                  | 250  | 455   |       |       |       |
| 2007-08 | 299                  | 259  | 558   | 45.9% | 3.6%  | 22.6% |
| 2008-09 | 287                  | 288  | 575   | -4.0% | 11.2% | 3.0%  |

Table A.2: Changes in registration into CP1 by gender in a sample of schools

Table A.3: WFP-UNICEF partnership Distribution of 200 schools for comprehensive support

| Korhogo      | 24  |
|--------------|-----|
| Bouna        | 14  |
| Man          | 36  |
| Odienné      | 18  |
| San Pedro    | 18  |
| Guiglo       | 17  |
| Daloa        | 7   |
| Yamoussoukro | 17  |
| Total        | 197 |
|              |     |

Source: Evaluation field survey

| <b>21.</b> T | Fraining | events | provided | by the | Project | to the | partners |
|--------------|----------|--------|----------|--------|---------|--------|----------|
|--------------|----------|--------|----------|--------|---------|--------|----------|

| Training course  | Date       | Participants | Participants<br>organizations |  |
|------------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------------|--|
| M&E              | 11/2008    | 24           | PNN                           |  |
| WFP gender       | 26-        | 23           | Partenaires / PAM             |  |
| commitment       | 28/02/2008 |              |                               |  |
| EFSA             | 03-        | 38           | PAM                           |  |
|                  | 08/09/2007 |              |                               |  |
| Do no harm       | 8-9/2007   | 112          | Participants                  |  |
| Protection       | 10/2007    | 78           | Partenaires / PAM             |  |
| Logistics        | 15-        | 35           | PAM / DNC/Caritas/Care        |  |
|                  | 18.10.2008 | 55           | int.                          |  |
| Administration & | 7-12.2007  | 19           | РАМ                           |  |
| Finance          | /-12.2007  | 19           | ΓΑΝΙ                          |  |
| Total            | 7 events   | 275          |                               |  |

# 22. Cooperating partners

| Cooperating partner  | Activity  | Location           |
|--|---|--------------------|
| Animation Rurale de Korhogo (ARK)                                  | Food for training                                   | Bouaké             |
| Association pour le Bien-être communautaire(ABICOM)                | PLWH in families                                    | Abidjan            |
| Bureau international catholique de l'enfance (BICE)                | Food for training                                   | Abidjan            |
| CARE international   | Caretakers in TFC                                   | Man                |
|  | Food for training                                   | Bouaké             |
|  | Géneral emergency distribution                      | Bouaké, Man        |
|  | Mother and Child Health                             | Bouaké, Man        |
|  | OVC in families                                     | Bouaké, Man        |
|  | PLWH in families                                    | Bouaké, Man        |
|  | Supplementary feeding                               | Bouaké, Man        |
| CARITAS  | Caretakers in TFC                                   | Guiglo             |
|  | Emergency school feeding                            | Guiglo             |
|  | Food for training                                   | Guiglo             |
|  | Food for work                                       | Guiglo             |
|  | Géneral emergency distribution                      | Guiglo             |
|  | Mother child health                                 | Guiglo             |
|  | OVC in families                                     | Guiglo             |
|  | PLWH in families                                    | Guiglo             |
|  | Supplementary Feeding                               | Guiglo             |
| CARITAS /Bureau Diocesain pour la Promotion Humaine                | Caretakers in TFC                                   | Korhogo            |
| (BDPH)   | Food for training<br>Géneral emergency distribution | Korhogo<br>Korhogo |
|  | Mother child health                                 | Korhogo            |
|  | OVC in families                                     | Korhogo            |
|  | PLWH in families                                    | Korhogo            |
|  | Supplementary feeding                               | Korhogo            |
| CARITAS BAPH Odienné   | Emergency school feeding                            | Odienné            |
| CARITAS BAPH Odienné   | Food for work                                       | Odienné            |
| CARITAS BAPH Odienné   | Supplementary feeding                               | Odienné            |
| CARITAS/ Departement des Actions de Promotion humaine              | Emergency school feeding                            | Man                |
| (DAPH)   | General emergency distribution,                     | Man                |
|  | Food for training                                   | Man                |
| Centre anti-ulcere de Buruli (CAUB)                                | Géneral emergency distribution                      | Abidjan            |
| Centre de Santé Pietro Bonilli                                     | OVC in families                                     | Odienné            |
|  | PLWH in families                                    | Odienné            |
| Centre de traitement ambulatoire (CTA)                             | General emergency distribution,                     | Bouaké             |
|  | OVC in families                                     | Bouaké             |
|  | PLWH in families                                    | Bouaké             |
| Direction Départementale de l'Education Nationale (DREN) de        | Emergency school feeding                            | Bouaké             |
| Bouna<br>Direction Nationale de l'Education Nationale (DREN)Bouaké | Emergency school feeding                            | Bouaké             |
| Direction Nationale de l'Education Nationale (DREN)Bouake          | Emergency school reeding                            | Боцаке             |
| Direction nationale des Cantines (DNC)                             | Emergency school feeding                            | Abidjan            |
| Direction Régionale de l'Education Nationale (DREN)<br>Korhogo     | Emergency school feeding                            | Korhogo            |
| Enfance meurtrie sans frontières (EMSF)                            | Géneral emergency distribution                      | Abidjan            |
| Jesuit Refugee Service (JRS)                                       | OVC in families                                     | Bouaké             |
|  | PLWH in families                                    | Bouaké             |
| International Migrations Organisation (IOM)                        | Géneral emergency distribution                      | Guiglo             |
| UNICEF   | Supplementary feeding /MCH                          | Bouaké             |

# 23. Questionnaire

| Enquête sur les organisations intermédiaires sur le projet PRRO 10672.0 du PAM en Côte d'Ivoire                    |
|--|
| No. Questionnaire  |
| Date de remplissage:   |
| Nom (facultatif) :   |
| Fonction (facultatif) :  |
| Thème A : Administration   |
| A1 : Nom de l'organisation   |
| A2 : Date de signature du dernier contrat (incluant projet 10.372)   |
| A3 : Période couverte par le contrat<br>A3.1 date début  |
| A3.2 date fin prévue   |
| A3.3 date fin réel   |
| A4 : Type d'organisation contractée (ONG internationale, locale, centre de santé, organismes confessionnelles etc) |
| A5 : Existence d'un bureau de représentation à Abidjan (Oui/Non)   |
| A6 : Quels sont vos domaines de compétences de votre organisation :  |
| A6.1 : Compétence principale   |
| A6.2 : Compétence secondaire   |
| A6.3 : Autre compétence  |
| A6.4 : Avez-vous une compétence reconnue sur la nutrition (Oui/Non)  |
| A6.4 : Avez-vous une compétence reconnue sur la prévention SIDA(Oui/Non)   |
| A7 : Nombre de personnes employées dans le contrat :   |
| A5 : Zone géographique couverte par le projet  |
| Thème B : Formation au système Suivi & Evaluation (S&E)  |
| B1 : Votre organisation a-t-elle participé à la formation sur S&E ? (Oui/Non)                                      |
| B2 : Combien de personnes de votre organisation ont participé à cette formation ?                                  |

B3 : Quelle est votre appréciation sur la pertinence des formations obtenues ?Pas d intérêtTrès intéressant12345678910

B4 : Quel type de formation qui n'a pas été organisé et que vous auriez aimé avoir ?

B4.1 : 1<sup>er</sup> choix\_\_\_\_\_\_ B4.2 : 2<sup>ème</sup> choix

B5 : Avez-vous eu un suivi de formation sur le lieu de réalisation des activités ?

B5.1 : sur la collecte des informations (Oui/Non)\_\_\_\_\_

B5.2 : sur le remplissage des rapports (Oui/Non)\_\_\_\_\_ Thème C : Utilisation du système Suivi & Evaluation (S&E)

C1 : Quelle est votre appréciation sur la nécessité d'avoir un système S&E ? Pas utile Très nécessaire Si réponse électronique 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

C2 : Selon vous, quelle est la pertinence des indicateurs de suivi de vos activités ? Pas adapté Très représentatif Si réponse électronique 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

C3 : Existe-t-il un circuit de communication bien identifié pour faire remonter les informations au niveau du terrain vers les sous bureaux de coordination du PAM ? (Oui/Non) \_\_\_\_\_

C4 : Y a-t-il un point de contact prévu pour la résolution des problèmes au niveau des sous bureaux ? (Oui/Non)\_\_\_\_\_

C5 : Si OUI : nom de l'interlocuteur au PAM (facultatif)\_\_\_\_\_

C6 : Existe-t-il un circuit de communication bien identifié pour faire parvenir des rétro informations des bureaux de coordination du PAM à Abidjan vers le terrain? (Oui/Non)\_\_\_\_\_

C7 : Est-ce que des réunions spécifiques ont été tenues pour commenter les analyses issues du système de M&E ? (Oui/Non)\_\_\_\_\_

Si Oui :

C7.1 : Nombre total sur le premier semestre 2008\_\_\_\_\_

C7.2 : Nombre total sur le deuxième semestre 2008\_\_\_\_\_

C7.3: Nombre total sur le dernier mois écoulé\_\_\_\_\_

Thème D : Relation contractuelle

D1 : Selon votre opinion, quel est le niveau de pertinence des clauses contenues dans le contrat signé par votre organisation relatives aux services à fournir ?

Pas adaptéTrès pertinentSi réponse électronique12345678910

#### Commentaires:

D2 : Quel est votre niveau de satisfaction par rapport aux clauses contractuelles régissant les documents à fournir à l'organisation contractante (PAM ou organisation de coordination)? Pas adapté Très satisfait Si réponse électronique

 $1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5 \ 6 \ 7 \ 8 \ 9 \ 10$ 

#### Commentaires:

D3 : Quel est votre niveau de satisfaction par rapport aux procédures financières pour obtenir le paiement des prestations de services (PAM ou organisation de coordination)?

Si réponse électronique

Pas adaptéTrès satisfait12345678910

Commentaires:

Thème E : Atteinte des résultats

E1 : Avez-vous une claire appréciation des critères de réussite tels que appliqués aux activités réalisés ? (Oui/Non) \_\_\_\_\_

E2 : Comment savez-vous que votre organisation est en situation de réussite ou qu'elle atteint les résultats attendus ?

#### Commentaires:

E3 : Quel est votre niveau de satisfaction au regard des résultats obtenus à ce jour ? Pas satisfait Très satisfait Si réponse électronique

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

E4 : Classez à la fois par ordre d'importance et de priorité les trois (3) principaux obstacles ou contraintes (ou risques perçus), limitant la pleine réalisation des résultats ?

- 1 : Rupture dans la chaîne d'approvisionnement
- 2 : Non adéquation en quantité des rations alimentaires
- 3 : Manque de moyens logistiques sur le terrain
- 4 : Insécurité dans les zones d'intervention
- 5 : Logique d'intervention du PAM pas en phase avec les directives nationales
- 6 : Non adéquation des aliments en terme de diversité alimentaire
- 7 : Manque de personnel qualifié
- 8 : Manque de coordination avec les sous bureaux
- 9 : Manque d'efficacité du système de monitoring interne

E4 suite : et attribuer douze (12) points à votre convenance aux 3 premiers obstacles.

Exemple de remplissage pour la réponse à la question E4 : Deuxième obstacle : 4 (pour l'insécurité) Poids : 4

| Principale obstacle :  |                     | Poids :             | _          |
|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------|
| Deuxième obstacle :    |                     | Poids :             | _          |
| Troisième obstacle :   |                     | Poids :             | _          |
|                        |                     |                     | TOTAL = 12 |
| Commentaires selon les | s spécificités rela | atives aux activité | s à mener: |

Thème F : Future collaboration

F1 : Souhaiteriez-vous poursuivre votre collaboration avec le PAM ? (Oui/Non)

F2 : Quelles seraient vos attentes ou conditions pour un tel partenariat ?

#### Commentaires:

F3 : Suite à votre collaboration avec le PAM et toutes les activités réalisées jusqu'à ce jour, comment appréciez-vous la pérennité de vos activités en termes techniques ou de savoir faire acquis (hors contraintes financières) ?

Pas satisfaitTrès satisfaitSi réponse électronique12345678910

F4 : Quelles seraient vos besoins pour poursuivre vos activités sans le PAM ?

Commentaires:

| Monday 24   | Tuesday 25  | Wednesday 26   | Thursday 27   | Friday 28 | Saturday<br>29   | Sunday 30      |
|---|---|--|---|-----------|--|----------------|
| Review this<br>Agenda for<br>current visit<br>(Wagdi, Alti)   | Government<br>(various<br>activities)<br>DNC 9H<br>Mme Loan                                 | Meetings with<br>donors (Major<br>donors involved in<br>a way or another<br>in the PRRO)<br>Japon 9H UE<br>10H30 (tbc) | and/or<br>missing<br>information/d<br>ata 9H30                  |           | End of<br>field visits,<br>return to<br>Abidjan<br>(by car)<br>7H30 -<br>13H | Travel to Rome |
| Security briefing<br>Alain Pietrantoni<br>10H<br>In-depth Review<br>of TORs (section<br>by section) (Alti,<br>Clémence, Soro)<br>11H30 -13H15 | Meetings with<br>UN agencies<br>(OCHA,<br>FAO, OIM)<br>UNICEF<br>10H30<br>Nicolas<br>Joanic | Drafting /Thinking<br>Day<br>Drafting /Thinking<br>Day   | fled visit by<br>car (Bouaké<br>Sub office)<br>11H15            |           |  |                |
|   | Meetings with<br>UN agencies<br>(OCHA,<br>FAO, OIM)<br>OCHA<br>14H30<br>Vedaste             | Data Checking<br>(sylla) 15H   | Meeting with<br>Bouaké sub<br>office<br>programme<br>staffs 15H |           | Last Wrap<br>up with<br>CO 15H30   |                |
|   | meetings with<br>UN agencies<br>(OCHA,<br>FAO, OIM)<br>FAO 16H00<br>Patrick<br>Berner       | Discussions with<br>CO on monitoring<br>system (Sylla)<br>16H30  |   |           |  |                |
| First draft - Plan<br>of visit for future<br>evaluation<br>mission (Alti,<br>Clémence, Soro)  | Government<br>(various<br>activities)<br>PNN 17H30  | Discussions with<br>other evaluation<br>members on<br>remitted and/or<br>missing<br>information/data                   |   |           | Departure<br>to Rome<br>20H  |                |

# 24. Pre mission plan of visits (November 2008)

| Monday 5  | Tuesday 6   | Wednesday 7  | Thursday 8  | Friday 9   | Saturday 10   | Sunday 11  |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| trip from<br>hometown<br>to Abidjan   | am security<br>briefing,<br>joint field<br>visit to 1<br>village in<br>Lagunas<br>dept. (SO<br>4), pm joint<br>field visit to<br>1 village in<br>Laguna<br>dept.  | am team<br>coordination<br>briefing, pm<br>briefing at CO<br>and meeting<br>with<br>Government<br>(DNC) and<br>UN agencies<br>(UNICEF,<br>OCHA)  | am meeting<br>with FAO,<br>12 00 flight<br>to Man (18<br>Montagnes<br>dept.),<br>briefing with<br>sub office<br>staff                                 | am joint visit<br>of 1 village<br>in 18<br>Montangnes<br>dept, pm<br>separate<br>visits to 4<br>villages in<br>Montaignes<br>dept (SO 1,<br>2, 3, 4)   | separate<br>visits to 4<br>villages in<br>18<br>Montagnes<br>dept. and 2<br>in Moyen<br>Cavally<br>dept. (SO 1,<br>2, 3, 4) | land trip<br>to<br>Odienne<br>(Denguélé<br>dept.)  |
| Monday 12   | Tuesday 13  | Wednesday 14   | Thursday 15   | Friday 16  | Saturday 17   | Sunday 18  |
| Team 1. am<br>joint visit of<br>1 village in<br>Denguélé<br>dept., pm<br>separate<br>field visits<br>of 4 villages<br>in Denguélé<br>dept (SO<br>3,4); Team<br>2. Bouake<br>Meeting<br>with Sub<br>office<br>Visit of<br>Supplement<br>ary feeding<br>centres<br>Preparation<br>of focus<br>group | Team 1. am<br>land trip to<br>Korhogo,<br>pm joint<br>field visit to<br>1 village in<br>Savanes<br>dept. (SO 3,<br>4). Team 2.<br>Bouake<br>Meeting<br>with Sub<br>office<br>Visit of<br>Therapeutic<br>feeding<br>centres<br>Focus<br>group :<br>OVC | Team 1.<br>separate field<br>visits to 6<br>villages in<br>Savanes dept.<br>(SO 2, 3).<br>Team 2.<br>Bouake<br>Meeting with<br>Sub office<br>Visit of<br>Supplementary<br>feeding centres<br>Focus group :<br>VIH PTMC | am trip to<br>Bouaké<br>(Vallée du<br>Bandama<br>dept ) pm<br>joint visit to<br>1 village<br>Boniéré (SO<br>3, 4) in<br>Vallée du<br>Bandama<br>dept. | am trip to<br>Abidjan. 14<br>00 pm<br>Interactive<br>workshop<br>with WFP<br>staff<br>(English<br>PowerPoint<br>presentation)<br>. 15<br>Interactive<br>workshop<br>with<br>stakeholders<br>(French<br>PowerPoint<br>presentation) | Data<br>analysis<br>and Report<br>elaboration   | Data<br>analysis<br>and<br>Report<br>elaboratio<br>n.<br>Evening<br>trip to<br>home<br>country |
| Monday 19   | Tuesday 20  | Wednesday 21   | Thursday 22   | Friday 23  | Saturday 24   | Sunday 25  |
| Flight to<br>hometown   |   |  |   |  |   |  |

# 25. Evaluation plan of Field visit (January 2009)

# 26. Chronogramme

| Activité                           | Description   | Days     | Place                                     |
|------------------------------------|---|----------|---|
| Travel                             |   | 23.11.08 | Home country<br>– Abidjan                 |
| Briefing                           | Briefing at WFP Country office – Security briefing at WFP   | 24       | Abidjan                                   |
| Stakeholder<br>s meeting           | DNC, Unicef, OCHA, FAO  | 25       | Abidjan                                   |
| Stakeholder<br>s meeting           | Japan cooperation   | 26       | Abidjan                                   |
| Field visit                        | Trip to Bouaké, briefing at WFP sub-office  | 27       | Bouaké                                    |
| Field visit                        | Centre solidarité action sociale (CSAS) / Réseau Molikat<br>Renaissance Santé Bouaké<br>Stakeholders meeting at WFP sub-office  | 28       | Bouaké                                    |
| Debriefing –<br>Travel             | Trip to Abidjan<br>Debriefing at WFP Country office   | 29.11.08 | Bouaké –<br>Abidjan<br>Abidjan –<br>Paris |
| Travel                             |   | 30.11.08 | Paris – Home<br>country                   |
| Travel                             |   | 5.1.09   | Home country<br>– Abidjan                 |
| Briefing<br>Field visits           | Security brieding at ONUCI<br>DNC<br>Pm field visits at Larabia EPP school (Bonoua) and Ahoutoué<br>1 school (Alepe)  | 6.1.09   | Abidjan                                   |
| Briefing<br>Stakehoders<br>meeting | Briefing at WFP Country office<br>French co-operation, FAO, OCHA<br>DNC<br>Care int'l   | 7.1.09   | Abidjan                                   |
| Stakeholder<br>s meeting           | UNICEF<br>Trip to Man   | 8.1.09   | Abidjan – Man                             |
| travel<br>Field visits             | Briefing at WFP Man sub-office<br>Care Int'l<br>Caritas<br>Blolé EPP school, Zélé 1 abd Zélé 2 school<br>Logualé village Foyer Mère Thérèse de Valerga training<br>centre<br>Yapleu EPP school: FFW rehabilitation  | 9.1.09   | Man                                       |
| Field visits                       | Dan Ane CNT<br>Blao Goya, 2 EPP school<br>Guiglo Centre AWECO de formation<br>Mouna EPP school<br>Niouldé: FFW bridges rehabilitation<br>Beoué village of returnees<br>Point village FFW bridges rehabilitation<br>Guiglo PMI<br>CAP An Amur CNT<br>CIP Cames<br>Team coordination meeting<br><i>Team 1</i> trip to Odienné | 10.1.09  | Guiglo                                    |
|                                    | <i>Team 1</i> trip to Odienné<br>Zone commander meeting   |          |   |
| Field visits                       | Briefing at WFP Odienné sub-office<br>Caritas<br>Meeting with School meeting monitor  | 11.1.09  | Odienné                                   |

| Activité     | Description   | Days    | Place        |
|--------------|---|---------|--------------|
|              | Supplementary nutritional centre public                               |         |              |
|              | Centre de santé Pietro Bonelli  |         |              |
|              | Centre protection maternité enfance (PME) MHC                         |         |              |
|              | Agence nationale d'appui au dévellopement rural of the MoA            |         |              |
|              | Centre hospitalier régional d'Odienne, Service de pédiatrie<br>Unicef |         |              |
|              | Krou Kro Mafele EPP school  |         |              |
|              | Linguesso EPP school<br>Action contre la faim                         |         |              |
|              | <i>Team 2</i> trip to Bouaké  |         |              |
|              | Briefing at WFP Bouaké sub-office                                     |         |              |
|              | RSB Bouaké  |         |              |
|              | Care Int'l  |         |              |
|              | Unicef  |         |              |
|              | Team 1 Ocha   |         |              |
|              | Prefect of Korhogo  |         |              |
|              | Trip to Bundiali  |         |              |
|              | DREN - Secretary general of the Regional education office             |         |              |
|              | Moussa Sangaré EPP school   |         |              |
|              | Fodjo EPP school  |         |              |
| Field visits | CRI therapeutic nutrition centre                                      | 13.1.09 | Korhogo      |
|              | Trip to Korhogo   |         | U            |
|              | Team 2 Caritas  |         |              |
|              | DRS Bouaké<br>Bouaké éveil  |         |              |
|              | PMI Bouaké  |         |              |
|              | Chu Bouaké SCE Pédiatrie  |         |              |
|              | Health alliance   |         |              |
|              | Team 1 Ocha   |         |              |
|              | Anader  |         |              |
|              | Caritas   |         |              |
|              | St Camille de Lellis, Centre d'accueil des maladies mentaux           |         |              |
|              | Centre social de Korhogo  |         |              |
|              | Karakoro EPP school   |         |              |
| Field visits | Toulekaha EPP school  | 14.1.09 | Korhogo      |
|              | DREN – Meeting with the Secretary General of the Regional             |         |              |
|              | education office  |         |              |
|              | Team 2 Reseau Molicat   |         |              |
|              | Notre enfance   |         |              |
|              | Centre Akwaba   |         |              |
|              | CNT Bouaké  |         |              |
|              | Trip to Bonieredougou   |         |              |
|              | Mission catholique de Bonieré   |         |              |
| Field visits | Bonieredougou 1 and Boniereredougou 2 EPP schools                     | 15.1.09 | Bouaké       |
|              | Trip to Bouaké  |         |              |
|              | WFP Bouaké sub-office briefing  |         |              |
|              | Team coordination meeting   |         |              |
| <b></b>      | Trip to Abidjan   |         |              |
| Travel       | WFP Country office workshop in connection with Dakar sub-             | 16.1.09 | Bouaké –     |
| Workehops    | regional office and Rome HQs  |         | Abidjan      |
| Dahalef      | Stakeholders workshop   | 17 1 00 | AL:J:        |
| Debriefing   | Team debriefing at WFP Country office                                 | 17.1.09 | Abidjan      |
| Desk work /  | Desk work   | 18.1.09 | Abidjan –    |
| travel       |   |         | Paris Llama  |
| Travel       |   | 19.1.09 | Paris – Home |
|              |   |         | country      |

## 27. List of contacts

| Surname          | Name         | Organization / Task            | Phone                    | Email                         |
|------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Abdou            | Rezkuami     | Social worker, Abidjan         | 0553 176 267             |                               |
| Abdoulaye        | Bamba        | DREN Bouaké                    |                          | bambadeso@yahoo.fr            |
| Aboun            | Huguette     | Care Man, administrator        | 07 683 715, 02 508 674   | huguette@careci.org           |
| Acka-            | Cinthia      | Unicef, Education Programme    | 21 21 82 28, 05 01 18 32 | cdouabele@unicef.org          |
| Douabele         |              | Officer / Girl's Education     |                          | -                             |
| Adama            | Traoré       | Care Bouaké                    |                          | Tradama46@yahoo.fr            |
| Ahona            | Gomi         | WFP Bouaké                     |                          | gomiahona@wfp.org             |
| Ambrosini        | Deborah      | WFP Office of Evaluation,      | +39 06 6513 2993         | Deborah.Ambrosini@wfp.org     |
| 7 milliorosim    | Debolun      | OEDE administrative assistant  | 159 00 0515 2995         | Debolani indicisini e wip.org |
| Babaedjou        | Aminatou     | WFP Abidjan                    | 07 394 456               |                               |
| Bamezon          | Felix        | WFP Abidjan, CO director       | +225 20 302800           | Felix.Bamezon@wfp.org         |
| Beekha           | Joyce        | Unicef Abidjan, 18, rue P&M    | 2121 1850 d 2121 1817    | jpbheeka@unicef.org           |
| DECKIIA          | Patricia     | Curie, zone 4C, 04 PB 443      | m 05 680 466             | Jponeeka@unice1.org           |
|                  |              | Abidjan 04, Chief education    |                          |                               |
| Bema             | Alti         | WFP Abidjan, Chargée de        | +225 20 302 800 x 2420,  | Alti.bema@wfp.org             |
|                  |              | programme (SF)                 | m 07 694 457             |                               |
| Berner           | Patrick      | FAO Abidjan, coordinateur      | 20 302 819, m 05 969     | Patrick.berner@fao.org        |
|                  |              | des operation d'urgence et de  | 524                      | e                             |
|                  |              | réhabilitation                 |                          |                               |
| Bloem            | Martin       | WFP Rome, OEDP nutrition       |                          | Martin.Bloem@wfp.org          |
|                  |              | & HIV/AIDS director            |                          |                               |
| Bouya            | Alhonsine    | WFP Rome, OMXD school          |                          | Alphonsine.Bouya@wfp.org      |
| Douju            | 1 millionome | feeding                        |                          | i inplicito include un prolig |
| Brandolini       | Giorgio      | 30, via Mazzini, I-24 128      | +39 035 219142, m 340    | Giorgio.brandolini@poste.it,  |
| Dianaohim        | Glorgio      | Bergamo, Italy                 | 051 3825                 | gv.brandolini@gmail.com       |
| Bulman           | David        | WFP Dakar, head                | 001 0020                 | David.Bulman@wfp.org          |
| Dunnan           | Duvid        | programming                    |                          | David.Dumane wip.org          |
| Care Bouaké      | Alexandr     | WFP Bouaké                     |                          | kkdrix2@yahoo.fr              |
| CICR             | Alexandi     | Délégation régional, Abidjan   | 22 400 070               | Abidjan.abi@icrc.org          |
| Cordeil          | Alain        | WFP, OEDE Senior               | +39 06 6513 2262, 349    | alain.cordeil@WFP.org,        |
| Cordell          | Alain        | evaluation officer             |                          |                               |
|                  |              | evaluation officer             | 412 3535                 | www.WFP.org/operations/eva    |
| D'A1 '1          |              |                                |                          | luation                       |
| D'Almeida        | Ayivi        | WFP Abidjan, Head of           |                          | Ayivi.dalmeida@wfp.org        |
| D I'             | Ŧ            | logistics                      | 05.000.000               |                               |
| Dadjo            | Lucrece      | WFP Bouaké                     | 05 860 202               | Lucrece.dadjo@wfp.org         |
| de Lesquen       | Jacques      | Ambassade de France, service   | 225 2030 0221, secr.     | Jacques.de-                   |
|                  |              | de cooperation et d'action     | 2030 0220                | lesquen@diplomatie.gouv.fr    |
| <b>D</b> 1 0' 1' | 5.11         | culturelle                     | 20.04.45122445           |                               |
| Del Giudice      | Daniela      | WFP Rome, Data analyst         | +39 06 65132447          | daniela.delgiudice@wfp.org,   |
|                  |              |                                |                          | danieladelgiudice@gmail.com   |
| 5.11             |              |                                | 04 4510 0054             | ,                             |
| Delbaere         | Jan          | WFP Rome, Food security        | 06 6513 3256             | Jan.delbaere@wfp.org          |
|                  |              | analysis service, deputy chief |                          |                               |
| Diaby            | Moustafa     | DNC                            | 05 940 781               |                               |
| Dilolo           | Victorine    | Unicef Bouaké                  | 06 251 523, 31 645 052   | vdilolo@unicef.org            |
|                  | Seone        |                                | 00 201 020,01 0 10 002   | , anoto e antechorg           |
| Djabi            | Moustafa     | DNC, Abidjan, programme        |                          |                               |
| Dossou           | Sylvie       | Unicef Abidjan, Deputy         | 2121 1810, 2121 1819     | sydossou@unicef.org           |
|                  |              | representative                 |                          |                               |
| Eby              | Pascal       | Onussaa, Conseiller en S&E     | 2031 2130, 4731 9320     | ebye@unaids.org               |
| Ehounoud         |              |                                |                          |                               |
| Efoe A.          | Pierrre      | Caritas DAPH Man, sécretaire   | Abidjan 3378 0774, m 02  | efoep@yahoo.fr,               |
|                  |              | general, coordinatoeur DAPH    | 034 200, 07 460 490      | cariidapman@aviso.ci          |
|                  | 1            |                                | Man 3379 2235, m 05      |                               |
|                  |              |                                | 940 590                  |                               |
| Girod            | Raphael      | Pod Tvrzi 2 – 36009 Karlovy    | +420 606 885 188         | raphael.girod@gmail.com       |
|                  |              | Vary, Czech Republic           | 0303 505 9495            |                               |
|                  |              |                                | CIv 48614368             |                               |
| Gnegbre          | Gisele       | CNT Bouaké, nurse              | 05 914 813, 33 702 838   |                               |
| Gómez            | Filomena     | Congregazione delle figlie     | 09 258 562               |                               |
|                  | 1            | della croce, Mission           |                          |                               |
|                  |              | catholique de Bonieré,         |                          |                               |
|                  | 1            | Bonieredougou                  |                          |                               |
| Gounel           | Christian    | Ambassade de France,           | 2030 0220                | Christian.gounel@diplomatie   |
|                  | 1            | conseiller de cooperation      |                          | gouv.fr                       |
|                  |              | adjoint                        |                          | -                             |
| Hirvonen         | Maarit       | Unicef Abidjan,                | 2121 1850, m 04 808 944  | mhirvonen@unicefr.org         |
|                  |              | Representative                 |                          |                               |

| Surname                 | Name               | Organization / Task                                  | Phone  | Email                                     |
|-------------------------|--------------------|--|--|---|
| Issouf                  | Ouattara Toh       | Mouna EPP, Guiglo, Director                          | 06 239 761   |   |
|                         | _                  | of the school  |  |   |
| Jallow                  | Isatou             | WFP Rome, women, children,                           |  | Isatou.Jallow@wfp.org                     |
| Jibidar                 | Claude             | gender policy chief<br>WFP Abidjan                   |  | Claude.Jibidar@wfp.org                    |
| Joannic                 | Nicolas            | Unicef Abidjan                                       | +225 4820 4919                                       | njoannic@unicef.org                       |
| Kabalisa                | Innocent           | WFP Abidjan, Finance and                             | +223 +820 +919                                       | Innocent.kabalisa@wfp.org                 |
|                         | milocent           | administration officer                               |  | innocent.kabansa@wip.org                  |
| Kabugi                  | Emery              | Unv SF   |  |   |
| Kalima                  | Védaste            | Ocha, Programme coordinator                          | 06 323 984   | vedaste@un.org                            |
| Kauma                   | Vedaste            | Ocha Abidjan, programme                              | 22 405 175, 06 323 984                               | vedaste@un.org                            |
|                         |                    | coordinator  |  |   |
| Keita                   | Moussa             | RSB Bouaké   | 05 333 910   |   |
| Konan Yao               | Gustave            | DREN, Cresac   |  | Ky.gustave@yahoo.fr                       |
| Kone Bi                 | Marius Cesar       | SSAR Bouaké  |  | konesi99@yahoo.fr                         |
| Kouame                  | Appaou             | CNT Dan Ane  | 47 325 428   |   |
| Kouame                  | Able Louis         | RSB Bouaké   | 05 345 584   |   |
| Koudio<br>Guaibert      | Fokouo             | DCS Abidjan, nutritionist                            | 08 351 997   | Fokouo_g@yahoo.fr                         |
| Koue Bi                 | Marius Cesar       | RSB Bouaké   | 07 499 630   |   |
| Kramer                  | Ellen              | WFP Abidjan  | 2030 2800 x 2400, m 05                               | Ellen.kramer@wfp.org                      |
| Kramer                  | Liten              | wir Abiujali   | 003 352, VSAT 1361                                   | Ellell.krailler@wip.org                   |
|                         |                    |  | 2470   |   |
| Lebri                   | Evelyne            | WFP Abidjan, HR assistant                            |  | Evelyne.lebri@wfp.org                     |
| Liade                   | Jean Bosco         | WFP Man programme                                    |  | Jean-Bosco.Liade@wfp.org                  |
| Loan Lago               | Odette             | Direction nationale des                              | 07 908 947   | odettelago@yahoo.fr                       |
| Saleba                  |                    | cantines scholaires, director                        |  |   |
| Losséni                 | Caulibaly          | Care Bouaké  |  | lorrei@careci.org                         |
| Luma                    | Joyce              | OMXF food security director                          |  | Joyce.Luma@wfp.org                        |
| M'Bahia Yao             | Crystel            | PNN, Chief de service                                | 2021 8483, 07 986 529                                | yraham@yahoo.com                          |
| Makan                   | Coulibaly          | Unicef Abidjan, HIV/AIDS                             | 2121 1833, 2121 1850, m                              |   |
|                         |                    | specialist   | 05 961 091, 05 571 890                               |   |
| Mambouna                | Jean Pierre        | WFP Abidjan, Chef du sub-<br>office PAM Bouaké       | 05 409 531   |   |
| Mathurin                | Konakon            | Mouna EPP, Guiglo, manager                           | 05 571 302   |   |
|                         | Konan              | of the canteen                                       |  |   |
| Mbassi                  | Jean               | Health alliance Bouaké,<br>national director         | 31 631 236   | tokombere2@yahoo.com                      |
| Mouso                   | Cyril              | Caritas Bouaké, responsible de<br>centre             | 31 642 723   |   |
| Moyabi                  | Sylla              | WFP Abidjan, CO consultant                           | +225 07 904 632                                      | moyabi.sylla@wfp.org,<br>smoyabi@yahoo.fr |
| N'goran                 | Patricia           | M. de Santé, Programme                               |  | sinoyaor@yanoo.n                          |
| i gorun                 | 1 uniona           | national de nutrition                                |  |   |
| N'Guessan               | Kouassi            | CNT Bouaké   | 08 017 702, 45 974 525                               |   |
| Nguesabe                | Naloumal           | Centre Axwabe nurse                                  | 05 221 781   |   |
| Nguessan                | Kouassi            | CNT An Amur supervisor                               | 08 017 702   |   |
| Nishiuchi               | Kazuhiko           | Ambassade du Japon,                                  | 20 212 863   | Kazuhiko.nishiuchi@amb-                   |
|                         |                    | Abidjan, conseiller                                  |  | japon.ci                                  |
| Njoroge                 | Mary               | WFP, HIV/AIDS service                                | 06 6613 2024   | Mary.njoroge@wfp.org                      |
|                         |                    | programme adviser                                    |  |   |
| Oleh                    | Kam                | Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire,<br>sociologue rural          | +225 07 88 34 88, 22 527<br>913, 07 883 488, +39 019 | olehfr@yahoo.fr                           |
| Othman                  | Wagdi              | WFP Abidjan  | 56 576<br>+225 20 302 800, 2110,                     | Wagdi.Othman@wfp.org                      |
| Quattors                | Sources            | WED Mon  | 05 003 220   | Sounougen Onettere Geef                   |
| Ouattara<br>Pietrantoni | Sounougou<br>Alain | WFP Man<br>WFP Abidjan, security officer             | 20 30 2111 05 696 150                                | Sounougou.Ouattara@wfp.or                 |
| Rachel                  | Pierre             | WFP Abidjan, Security officer<br>WFP Abidjan, Charge | 20 30 2111, 05 686 159<br>2030 2800, 05 444 377      |   |
| Racinei                 | 1 10110            | programme / PI                                       | 2000 2000, 00 444 577                                |   |
| Regnault de             | Marc               | WFP Rome, Policy, Planning                           | +39 06 65 131 x 2406                                 | Marc.RegnaultdelaMothe@w                  |
| la Mothe                |                    | and Strategy Division, Policy                        | M 39 333 5435 390                                    | p.org                                     |
|                         |                    | Officer, School Feeding                              |  |   |
| Rwehera                 | Mathias            | 43, rue des Lévriers, 95360                          | +33 134 283 402, m 686                               | mathias.rwehera@gmail.com                 |
|                         |                    | Montmagny, France                                    | 046 843  | mathias.rwehera@laposte.net               |
| Salimata                | Koné               | CRI Korhogo  | 225 08 431 061                                       | Salicoul225@yahoo.fr                      |
| Sammata                 | 1                  |  | 3685 1276, 3685 0477                                 |   |
|                         | Norbert            |  |  |   |
|                         | Norbert            | Care Man, Chargé<br>Distribution et appui            | 02 508 650, 07 497 231                               | saouren@yahoo.fr,<br>saouren@careci.org   |
| Saoure                  | Norbert<br>Britta  |  | 02 508 650, 07 497 231<br>+221 77 849 65 00          |   |
| Surname           | Name               | Organization / Task   | Phone  | Email  |
|-------------------|--------------------|---|--|--|
| Seone             | Philippe           | WFP Bouake  | 07 588 437   | Philippe.Seone@wfp.org                         |
| Séragahin         | Prao Yao           | MLAN president  |  | contact@mlan.fr,                               |
|                   |                    |   |  | www.mlan.fr                                    |
| Sidibe            | Brahima            | Bouaké éveil, directeur<br>exécutif   | 05 880 844, 31 640 390   |  |
| Sidonis           | Sadia              | WFP Bouaké  |  | Ornella.sadia@wfp.org                          |
| Sononsa           | Cisse              | Care Bouaké   | 08 153 137, 33 791 910   | cisononsa@careci.org                           |
| Soro              | Koundieletia       | WFP Abidjan, Protection, agronome   | +225 20 302 800 x 2410   | Koundieletia.soro@wfp.org                      |
| Stadler           | Dora               | FAO medical officer   | 06 570 53632, f 06 570<br>53152  | Dora.stadler@fao.org                           |
| Tahé              | Pierre             | WFP Bouaké  |  | Pierre.tahe@wfp.org                            |
| Tanhan            | Denis<br>Guinssi   | Boite postale 226, Guiglo –<br>IEP Guiglo, Conseiller chargé<br>des cantines scolaires M 07<br>302 809                | 07 302 809   |  |
| Toure             | Moustapha          | WFP UAM, National VAM<br>Officer  | 05 899 540<br>2030 2800 x 2412<br>FoodSat 1361 2412                      | Moustapha.toure@wfp.org                        |
| Turnbull          | Paul               | WFP Rome, OMXD<br>operations design   |  | Paul.Turnbull@wfp.org                          |
| Tüttinghoff       | Hildegard          | WFP Rome, OMXD<br>Operations dep't programme<br>adviser M&E   | 06 6613 2483   | Hildegard.tuttinghoff@wfp.or<br>g              |
| Valentine         | soeur              | Association St Camille, St<br>Camille de Lellis, Centre<br>d'accueil des maladies<br>mentaux, Bp 927, Korhogo,<br>CIv | 3686 0119, M 05 608<br>713   | fdlckgo@aviso.ci                               |
| Van den<br>Briel  | Tina               | WFP Rome chief nutrition<br>MCH & HIV/AIDS  |  | Tina.VanDenBriel@wfp.org                       |
| Van der<br>Velden | Margot             | WFP Dakar, technical unit support   |  |  |
| Vitiano           | Clémence           | WFP Abidjan, Spa, pipeline  |  | Clemence.Vitiano@wfp.org                       |
| Wagdi             | Othman             | WFP Abidjan, deputy CO<br>director  |  |  |
| Walsh             | Jennifer           | Care int'l, Abidjan   | 08 667 574, 01 800 771   |  |
| Wapo              | Jannine            | CIP Cames coordinator   | 05 188 635, 33 705 020   |  |
| Yacoubá           | Yeo Isidore        | Caritas BDPH Korhogo,<br>coordinator  | 36 861 021   | caritaskorhogo@aviso.ci<br>yysidere@yahoo.fr   |
| Yanga             | Thomas             | WFP Abidjan   |  | Thomas.Yanga@wfp.org                           |
| Yao               | Mama               | Care Man, chargé de PLWH<br>and OVC   | 06 797 978, 47 411 214   | docteurmama@gmail.com,<br>docmaam@yahoo.fr     |
| Yeo               | Yacouba<br>Isidore | Caritas BDPH, BP 144,<br>Korhogo, CIv, économiste,<br>coordinateur / gestionnaire de<br>projets<br>WFP Bouaké         | 3685 9603, h 3686 3970,<br>f +225 3686 1021, m 01<br>135 566, 08 379 493 | caritaskorhogo@aviso.ci,<br>yyisidore@yahoo.fr |
| Zongo             | Suzanne            | wrr Bouake  |  | zongale@yahoo.fr                               |

#### 28. Côte d'Ivoire map



## **29. Original Logical framework**

#### LOGICAL FRAMEWORK Matrix – PRRO 10672.0 – Côte d'Ivoire

| <b>Results-Hierarchy</b>                                  | Performance Indicators   | Means of Verification          | Assumption and Risks                    |
|---|--|--------------------------------|---|
| IMPACT  |  |                                |   |
| Stability and household food security are                 | Number of former combatants demobilised  | PNDDR reports                  |   |
| supported through food aid interventions that             | • Number of IDPs and/or refugees repatriated or  | UNHCR and partners reports     |   |
| preserve human and productive assets and that             | resettled  | UNICEF MICS report             |   |
| encourage recovery prospects of vulnerable                | Human development index  | UNDP HDI report                |   |
| people who have been suffering as a result of the crisis. |  |                                |   |
| OUTCOMES  |  |                                |   |
|   | as one oritically food income  |                                |   |
| SO 1: Save lives of war-affected populations wi           |  |                                |   |
| The Nutritional status among IDPs and                     | Prevelence of acute malnutrition among beneficiary   | Nutritional screening in case  |   |
| returnee refugee beneficiaries is maintained              | children under five years of age*  | of escalation of crisis        |   |
| Improved access to food for resettled IDPs                | <ul> <li>Proportion of beneficiary household expenditures<br/>devoted to food (&lt;50%)</li> </ul> | Dost distribution monitoring   |   |
| and returnee refugees                                     | Number of food aid related thefts and threats  | Post distribution monitoring   |   |
|   | associated with food distribution and collection   |                                |   |
| SO 2. Protost livelihooda support rehabilitatio           |  |                                |   |
|   | n of productive assets and enhance resilience to shocks  |                                | Progress is made in the peace           |
| Increased ability of targeted Ivorian                     | Percentage of properly maintained roads, bridges and   | Cooperating partners (CP)      | process, elections are held and results |
| households vulnerable to shocks to maintain or            | water wells rehabilitated (>90%)   | end of project reports         | accepted by all political parties.      |
| increase their productive and physical assets.            | Percentage of properly maintained roads, bridges and water wells rehabilitated (>90%)              | • Post distribution monitoring |   |
|   | Proportion of beneficiary household expenditures   |                                |   |
|   | devoted food (<50%)  |                                |   |
|   | • Average travel time from beneficiary community to the nearest market**                           | • FAO end of project report.   |   |
| Increased ability of targeted Ivorian                     | Percentage increase in food crops production   | WFP/partner end of project     |   |
| households vulnerable to shocks to acquire and            |  | reports                        |   |
| apply learned skills including households                 | Percentage of trained beneficiaries applying food  |                                |   |
| affected by HIV/AIDS.                                     | transformation and/or conservation skills. (>90%)  |                                |   |
|   |  |                                |   |
| SO 3: Support the improved nutrition and heal             | th status of children, women, PLWHA and other vulne  | erable people                  |   |

| Results-Hierarchy  | Performance Indicators   | Means of Verification   | Assumption and Risks |
|--|--|---|----------------------|
| Reduced level of malnutrition among<br>targeted children under-five and<br>pregnant/lactating women in targeted areas in   | <ul> <li>Prevalence of moderate and severe acute malnutrition among targeted children under-five using weight/height by sex.*</li> <li>Prevalence of malnutrition among targeted lactating women using body mass index.*</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Nutrition baseline and follow-<br/>up survey</li> </ul>  |                      |
|  | <ul> <li>Prevalence of Low birth weight of children born to women having received WFP food assistance (10%)</li> <li>Recovery rate among targeted malnourished children (&gt;70%)</li> </ul>   | • CP monthly/quarterly<br>performance report                      |                      |
| Improved nutrition & health status of<br>beneficiaries under ART/TB treatment and of<br>beneficiaries in PMTCT programs in | <ul> <li>% of weight gain among patients of TB and ART programmes after 9 months.</li> <li>Treatment adherence rate by specific treatment and care programs (95%)</li> <li>Low-birth weight among targeted women in PMTCT and/or prevalence of moderated and severed acute malnutrition among targeted children in PMTCT programmes</li> </ul> | CP activity report<br>Nutrition baseline and follow-<br>up survey |                      |
| SO 4: Support access to primary education and  | l reduce gender disparity in access to education   |   |                      |

| Results-Hierarchy  | Performance Indicators   | Means of Verification  | Assumption and Risks |  |  |
|--|--|--|----------------------|--|--|
| Increased enrolment and attendance rate of<br>boys and girls in WFP assisted primary schools   | Net enrolment rate: percentage of primary school-<br>age boys and girls enrolled in WFP assisted primary   | (Net Enrolment rate only available after population                                  |                      |  |  |
| including orphans and vulnerable children.   | <ul> <li>schools</li> <li>Absolute enrolment: number of boys and girls enrolled in WFP assisted primary schools</li> <li>Attendance rate of boys and girls in WFP assisted primary schools (&gt;95%)</li> <li>School enrolment rate for orphans and vulnerable children from households receiving WFP food assistance</li> </ul> | CP Monthly/quarterly<br>distribution and narrative<br>reports<br>CP activity reports |                      |  |  |
| Reduced gender disparity between boys<br>and girls enrolled in WFP assisted primary<br>schools in targeted regions (18 montagne,<br>Denguele, Bafing, Worudougou)  | • Ratio of girls and boys enrolled in WFP targeted primary schools (>0,7)  | CP and Government annual reports   |                      |  |  |
| Increased coordination among WFP,<br>UNICEF and other partners in support of the<br>education system under the leadership of the<br>national Government<br>Improved access to non-formal education<br>and skills training of demobilised and HIV<br>orphans and vulnerable children. | • Number of schools jointly targeted for the implementation of essential learning package (school feeding, de-worming, improved energy stove, HIV/AIDS awareness, etc.) (200)  | • WFP and UNICEF activity reports  |                      |  |  |
|  | <ul> <li>Percentage of demobilised children and HIV orphans</li> <li>&amp; vulnerable children having successfully completed</li> <li>food supported skills and literacy training</li> </ul>   | • CP activity reports  |                      |  |  |
| OUTPUTS  |  |  |                      |  |  |
| SO 1, SO 2, SO 3 and SO 4  |  |  |                      |  |  |

| Results-Hierarchy                                  | Performance Indicators                                   | Means of Verification          | Assumption and Risks                        |
|--|--|--------------------------------|---|
| Food assistance is timely provided in              | Actual number of beneficiaries having received WFP       | CP distribution reports        |   |
| sufficient quantity for targeted beneficiaries in  | food assistance by activity, sex, age group and          | WFP Food Aid monitors          | Ration is accepted by the                   |
| conflict affected areas without harming and in     | displacement status.                                     | monthly and quarterly reports, | beneficiaries (SO1 and SO2)                 |
| respect of the international protection standards. | Actual quantity of food distributed by activity and by   |                                |   |
|  | commodity types.   |                                | Complementary programs are                  |
|  | • Actual number of patients by activity                  |                                | implemented by partner organizations        |
|  | Percentage of food distributions occurring less than 7   |                                | (GOV, UN agencies, NGOs) to achieve         |
|  | days latter than the planned date of distribution. (80%) |                                | nutritional, agricultural and educational   |
|  | Ratio of beneficiary to quantity of food distributed     |                                | outcomes                                    |
| Enhanced awareness of partners and WFP             | by type of activity. (1)                                 | Protoctions Training report    |   |
| staff on protection issues.                        |  | Protections Training report    | Strong commitment of the                    |
|  | Number of awareness training sessions organised by       |                                | Government to support Education efforts in  |
|  | WFP on protection-related issues by sector (6)           |                                | the north (by providing qualified teachers) |
|  |  |                                | the north (by providing quanties teachers)  |
| SO 2 additional outputs                            |  |                                | Schools are functional 120 days             |
| Physical and productive assets                     | Actual number of participants in each food for asset     | CP activity reports            | per year in targeted areas                  |
| created through food for asset activities          | and income-generating activities as a percentage of      | Food Aid Monitors reports      |   |
|  | planned participants, by project category, sex           | i oou i nu montoris reports    |   |
|  | 12 bridges, 400 water wells, 80 Km of irrigation         |                                |   |
|  | canals rehabilitated.                                    |                                |   |
|  | 150 Km of road rehabilitated                             |                                |   |
|  | Surface area (ha) of land cultivated per crop type       |                                |   |
|  |  |                                |   |
| Skills acquired through food                       | Number and types of food supported trainings             |                                |   |
| supported training programs                        | conducted  |                                |   |
|  |  |                                |   |

| BASIC ASSUMPTION | S |
|------------------|---|
|------------------|---|

Security conditions allow staff members of WFP and implementing partners as well as beneficiaries to have access and work freely in the targeted areas.

Other required non-food resources are available to assisted institutions and projects

Competent CPs are available and operational

Timely contribution of funds and commodities are secured. \* Targets will be determined by the base line survey

\*\* Targets will be determined during projects identification Source: Project documents

## **30. Revised Logical framework**

#### LOGICAL FRAMEWORK Matrix - PRRO 10672.0 - Côte d'Ivoire

| Results-Hierarchy   | Performance Indicators   | Means of Verification  | Assumption and Risks                        |
|---|--|--|---|
| IMPACT  |  |  |   |
| Stability and household food security are<br>supported through food aid interventions that<br>preserve human and productive assets and<br>that encourage recovery prospects of<br>vulnerable people who have been suffering as<br>a result of the crisis. | Number of former combatants demobilised<br>Number of IDPs and/or refugees repatriated or<br>resettled<br>Human development index | <ul> <li>PNDDR reports</li> <li>UNHCR and partners reports</li> <li>UNICEF MICS report</li> <li>UNDP HDI report</li> </ul> | • Regional and national political stability |
| OUTCOMES  |  |  |   |

| <b>Results-Hierarchy</b>   | Performance Indicators   | Means of Verification  | Assumption and Risks   |
|--|--|--|--|
| <ul><li>SO 1: Save lives of war-affected populations who are critically food insecure</li><li>SO 2: Protect livelihoods, support rehabilitation of productive assets and</li></ul> | <ul> <li>IDPs and Refugee returnee beneficiaries resettled<br/>Beneficiaries: 17,000</li> <li>Proportion of beneficiary household expenditures</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Project database / CP<br/>activity reports</li> <li>Project database / CP<br/>activity reports</li> </ul>   |  |
| enhance resilience to shocks<br>SO 3: Support the improved nutrition and<br>health status of children, women, PLWH and<br>other vulnerable people                                  | <ul> <li>devoted to food (&lt;50% of household expenditures)</li> <li>Beneficiaries: 55,000</li> <li>Global (moderate and severe) acute malnutrition prevalence decreased in children under-five and pregnant/lactating women in targeted areas Beneficiaries: 68,000</li> </ul>   | UNICEF MISC survey   | <ul> <li>Security conditions in<br/>the intervention areas</li> <li>Sector policies<br/>targeting<br/>demobilization, rural</li> </ul> |
| SO 4: Support access to primary education<br>and reduce gender disparity in access to<br>education   | <ul> <li>Net enrolment rate: percentage of primary school-age boys and girls enrolled in WFP assisted primary schools</li> <li>Ratio of girls and boys enrolled in WFP targeted primary schools (&gt; 0.7)<br/>Beneficiaries:</li> <li>hot meals 580,000</li> <li>teachers take home rat. 18,000</li> <li>girls take home rations 243,000</li> <li>Total beneficiaries: 981,000</li> </ul> | Field survey (qualitative) /<br>DNC database<br>Project database / CP<br>activity report<br>Assisted schools records | infrastructure<br>rehabilitation, health<br>care and education<br>access   |
| OUTPUTS  |  |  |  |

| Results-Hierarchy   | Performance Indicators   | Means of Verification   | Assumption and Risks  |
|---|--|---|---|
| SO 1: Save lives of war-affected populations w  |  |   | <ul> <li>Assumption and Kisks</li> <li>Progress is made in the peace process, elections are held and results accepted by all political parties</li> <li>UNHCR collaboration</li> <li>Natural and human made disasters absent</li> <li>Implementing partners endowed with appropriate training skills</li> </ul> |
| <ul><li>1.1 The Nutritional status among IDPs and returnee refugee beneficiaries is maintained</li><li>1.2 Improved access to food for resettled IDPs and returnee refugees</li></ul> | Prevalence of Global (severe and moderate) acute<br>malnutrition among beneficiary population of<br>children under five years of age (targets will be<br>determined by the base line survey)<br>Proportion of beneficiary household expenditures<br>devoted to food (<50% of household revenues) after<br>resettlement / return during lean session<br>Beneficiaries (1.1+1.2): 17,000 | UNICEF MISC survey<br>Project database / CP<br>activity reports<br>Nutritional screening in case<br>of escalation of crisis<br>Post distribution monitoring | Medical personnel<br>available<br>Health centres<br>functional in targeted<br>Departments<br>UNICEF/ WHO<br>collaboration   |

| Results-Hierarchy   | Performance Indicators   | Means of Verification  | Assumption and Risks |  |  |
|---|--|--|----------------------|--|--|
| SO 2: Protect livelihoods, support rehabilitation   | SO 2: Protect livelihoods, support rehabilitation of productive assets and enhance resilience to shocks  |  |                      |  |  |
| 2.1 Increased ability of targeted Ivorian<br>households vulnerable to shocks to maintain<br>or increase their productive and physical<br>assets.                  | a) IDPs and Returnee refugees in Communities<br>Percentage of properly maintained roads, bridges<br>and water wells rehabilitated (>90% of planned<br>interventions)<br>Beneficiaries (FFW) 2,000<br>Beneficiaries (FF agriculture, seed prod.) 5,300<br>Beneficiaries w. family members: 35,000       | Project database / CP<br>activity reports<br>Post distribution monitoring<br>Cooperating partners (CP)<br>end of project reports<br>FAO end of project report. |                      |  |  |
| 2.2 Increased ability of targeted Ivorian<br>households vulnerable to shocks to acquire<br>and apply learned skills including households<br>affected by HIV/AIDS. | b) Resettled IDPs and Returnee refugees in farm<br>Percentage increase in food crops production<br>Percentage of trained beneficiaries applying food<br>transformation and/or conservation skills (>90% of<br>trained beneficiaries)<br>Beneficiaries 4,200<br>Beneficiaries w. family members: 20,000 | Project database / CP<br>activity reports<br>FAO end of project report.  |                      |  |  |
| SO 3: Support the improved nutrition and healt  | h status of children, women, PLWH and other vulnerab   | le people  |                      |  |  |

| Results-Hierarchy  | Performance Indicators  | Means of Verification   | Assumption and Risks |
|--|---|---|----------------------|
| <ul> <li>3.1 Reduced level of malnutrition among targeted</li> <li>- children under-five and</li> <li>- pregnant/lactating women in targeted areas in</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>3.1.1 Moderately malnourished children under-five<br/>Prevalence of Global (moderate and severe) acute<br/>malnutrition among targeted population of children<br/>under-five using weight/height by sex.</li> <li>Recovery rate among targeted moderately<br/>malnourished children under five (&gt;70% of<br/>children)</li> <li>Beneficiaries: 6,000</li> <li>moderately malnourished children under-five</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>UNICEF MISC survey</li> <li>Project database / CP activity report</li> </ul> |                      |
|  | 3.1.2 Caretakers of severely malnourished children<br>Prevalence of Low birth weight of children born<br>to pregnant women having received WFP food<br>assistance (<10%)  | <ul> <li>Project database / CP<br/>activity report</li> </ul>                         |                      |
|  | <ul> <li>Number of pregnant and lactating women assisted</li> <li>Beneficiaries <ul> <li>pregnant and lactating women: 4,000</li> </ul> </li> <li>3.1.3 Distribution of supplementary feeding in MCH</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Project database / CP activity report</li> <li>Nutrition baseline</li> </ul> |                      |

| 3.2 Improved nutrition & health status of beneficiaries under ART/TB treatment and of beneficiaries in PMTCT programs       3.2.1 a) People living with HIV/AIDS under ART/TB treatment (PLWH)       Project database / CP activity report         3.2.1 b) HIV positive mothers identified for PMTCT programs       Project database / CP activity report       Project database / CP activity report         Mumber of patients of TB and ART-Retrovirus Treatment and care programs (95%)       % of weight gain among patients of Tuberculosis (TB) and ART-Retrovirus Treatment (ART)       Project database / CP activity report         Prevalence of Low-birth weight among targeted women in PMTCT and/or       Project database / CP activity report       Project database / CP activity report         3.3 OVC - Food for training       Demobilised and HIV orphans and vulnerable children (OVC), affected by HIV and AIDs who did not follow regular courses       Project database / CP activity report         3.3 OVC - Food for training       Demobilised and HIV orphans and vulnerable children (OVC), affected by HIV and AIDs who did not follow regular courses       Project database / CP activity reports         3.3 OVC - Food for training       Demobilised and HIV orphans and vulnerable children (OVC), affected by HIV and AIDs who did not follow regular courses       Project database / CP activity reports         Percentage of children who did not follow regular courses       Percentage of children who did not follow regular courses       Project database / CP activity reports         Porter target and thildren who did not follow regular courses       Not thi | <b>Results-Hierarchy</b>   | Performance Indicators   | Means of Verification  | Assumption and Risks |
|--|--|--|--|----------------------|
| children (OVC), affected by HIV and AIDs who did<br>not follow regular courses<br>Percentage of children who did not follow regular<br>courses having successfully completed food<br>supported skills and literacy training<br>Beneficiaries: 3,000<br>Beneficiaries w. family members: 15,000   | beneficiaries under ART/TB treatment and of<br>beneficiaries in PMTCT programs | <ul> <li>ART/TB treatment (PLWH)</li> <li>3.2.1 b) HIV positive mothers identified for Prevention of Mother-To-Child Transmission (PMTCT)</li> <li>Treatment adherence rate by specific treatment and care programs (95%)</li> <li>% of weight gain among patients of Tuberculosis (TB) and Anti-Retrovirus Treatment (ART) programmes after 9 months.</li> <li>Prevalence of Low-birth weight among targeted women in PMTCT and/or</li> <li>Prevalence of Global (moderated and severed) acute malnutrition among targeted children in PMTCT programmes</li> <li>Beneficiaries (3.2.1 a) + 3.2.2 b) ): 8,400 Beneficiaries w. family members: 33,000</li> </ul> | activity report<br>Number of patients of TB<br>and ART under treatment<br>Field survey (qualitative)<br>Project database / CP<br>activity report<br>UNICEF MISC survey |                      |
| <b>SO 4:</b> Support access to primary education and reduce gender disparity in access to education  | <b>SO 4:</b> Support access to primary education and                           | <ul> <li>children (OVC), affected by HIV and AIDs who did not follow regular courses</li> <li>Percentage of children who did not follow regular courses having successfully completed food supported skills and literacy training Beneficiaries: 3,000</li> <li>Beneficiaries w. family members: 15,000</li> </ul>   | 5  |                      |

| Results-Hierarchy  | Performance Indicators   | Means of Verification  | Assumption and Risks |
|--|--|--|----------------------|
| Increased enrolment and attendance rate of<br>boys and girls in WFP assisted primary<br>schools, including orphans and vulnerable<br>children.   | <ul> <li>Absolute enrolment: number of boys and girls enrolled in WFP assisted primary schools</li> <li>Attendance rate of boys and girls in WFP assisted primary schools (&gt;95%) pils</li> <li>ficiaries: 580,000 enevolent teachers (food for social workers) ficiaries (teachers): 3,600 ficiaries w. family members: 18,000</li> </ul> | Project database / CP<br>activity reports<br>Project database / CP<br>activity reports<br>Beneficiary schools records<br>DNC database              |                      |
| Reduced gender disparity between boys<br>and girls enrolled in WFP assisted primary<br>schools in targeted regions (18 Montagne,<br>Denguele Bafing, Worudougou)   | Increase in number of girls enrolled in WFP<br>targeted primary schools, especially in higher<br>grades<br>Beneficiaries girls: 60,750<br>Beneficiaries w. family members: 243,000   | Project database / CP<br>activity reports<br>Beneficiary schools records<br>DNC database<br>Food security monitoring<br>survey                     |                      |
| Increased coordination among WFP, UNICEF<br>and other partners in support of the education<br>system under the leadership of the national<br>Government  | <ul> <li>Number of schools jointly targeted for the implementation of essential learning package</li> <li>school feeding (4.1 + 4.2),</li> <li>de-worming (drugs),</li> <li>improved energy stove (Adviser + materials),</li> <li>HIV/AIDS awareness, etc. (training)</li> <li>Beneficiaries 200 schools</li> </ul>                          | Project database / CP<br>activity reports<br>Assisted schools records<br>CP and Government annual<br>reports<br>WFP and UNICEF activity<br>reports |                      |
| ACTIVITIES   |  |  |                      |
| SO 1: Save lives of war-affected populations who are critically food insecure         1.1 The Nutritional status among IDPs and returnee refugee beneficiaries is maintained         A 1.1 General emergency distribution to | <ul> <li>Food by SO TM</li> <li>SO1 1,274</li> <li>SO2 10,259</li> <li>SO3 8,472</li> <li>SO4</li> <li>- hot meals 9,001</li> </ul>  | Sources of data:<br>Project documentation  |                      |
| A.1.1 General emergency distribution to IDPs and returnee refugees in camps  | - girls take home rations 8,254  | Project database   |                      |

| <b>Results-Hierarchy</b>                                   | Performance Indicators   | Means of Verification                      | Assumption and Risks |
|--|--|--|----------------------|
| 1.2 Improved access to food for resettled                  | Total: 47,256  | CO monitoring unit                         |                      |
| IDPs and returnee refugees                                 |  | Pre-mission survey                         |                      |
|  |  | Evaluation field survey                    |                      |
| A.1.2 General emergency distribution to                    | Budget by component US\$                                       | Interview of:                              |                      |
| resettled IDPs and returnee refugees during                | A D' O 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0                     | Agency staff (HQs, CO)                     |                      |
| lean season  | A. Dir. Oper. costs 30,537,092                                 | Project staff (CO, Sub-offices)            |                      |
| SO 2: Protect livelihoods, support                         | WFP food cost 14,753,385<br>- cereals 9,273,355                | Implementing partners<br>Local authorities |                      |
| rehabilitation of productive assets and                    | - cerears 9,275,555<br>- pulses 2,221,646                      | Beneficiaries                              |                      |
| enhance resilience to shocks                               | - mixed blended food 1,074,533                                 | Denenciaries                               |                      |
| 2.1 Increased ability of targeted Ivorian                  | - vegetable oil 1,919,333                                      |  |                      |
| households vulnerable to shocks to maintain                | - sugar 194,655  |  |                      |
| or increase their productive and physical                  | - salt 69.864  |  |                      |
| assets.  |  |  |                      |
| A.2.1.1 Rehabilitation of                                  | External transport 6,720,625                                   |  |                      |
| - Km 80 of irrigation systems and of                       |  |  |                      |
| - 400 water wells  | Total LTSH 6,662,502   |  |                      |
| A.2.1.2 Rehabilitation of 12 bridges                       |  |  |                      |
| A.2.1.3 Rehabilitation of km 150 of roads                  | Other direct operations costs 2,400,580                        |  |                      |
| A.2.1.4 Rehabilitation of XXX primary                      | D. D. ( ) 0.004512   |  |                      |
| teacher housing  | B. Direct support costs 8,004,513<br>- staff 6,706,338         |  |                      |
| 2.2 Increased ability of targeted Ivorian                  | - staff 6,706,338<br>- office exp. & oth. recur. costs 854,175 |  |                      |
| households vulnerable to shocks to acquire                 | - equipment & oth fixed costs 444,000                          |  |                      |
| and apply learned skills, including                        | - equipment & our.fixed costs 444,000                          |  |                      |
| households affected by HIV/AIDS                            | C. Indirect support costs. 2,697,912                           |  |                      |
|  | 2,0,7,,12  |  |                      |
| A.2.2 Food for training (literacy and                      | WFP 41,239,517   |  |                      |
| agriculture) of IDPs and refugee returnee<br>beneficiaries |  |  |                      |
| SO 3: Support the improved nutrition and                   | Total 49,866,272   |  |                      |
| health status of children, women, PLWH and                 |  |  |                      |
| other vulnerable people                                    |  |  |                      |
| 3.1 Reduced level of malnutrition among                    |  |  |                      |
| targeted   |  |  |                      |
| in Secon   |  |  |                      |

| 3.2 Improved nutrition & health status of beneficiaries under ART/TB treatment, of beneficiaries in PMTCT programs and of OVC in families         A.3.2.1 Distribution of supplementary feeding to PLWH in families         A.3.2.2 Distribution of family rations for Prevention of Mother to Child Transmission (PMTCT)         3.3 Non-formal education and skills training of demobilised and HIV orphans and vulnerable children (OVC).         A.3.3.1 Food for training of OVC children who did not follow regular school courses         SO 4: Support access to primary education and reduce gender disparity in access to education         4.1 Increased enrolment and attendance rate of boys and girls in WFP assisted primary schools including orphans and vulnerable | <b>Results-Hierarchy</b>                 | rarchy Performance Indicators | Means of Verification | Assumption and Risks |
|--|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| beneficiaries in PMTCT programs and of<br>OVC in families<br>A.3.2.1 Distribution of supplementary<br>feeding to PLWH in families<br>A.3.2.2 Distribution of family rations for<br>Prevention of Mother to Child Transmission<br>(PMTCT)<br>3.3 Non-formal education and skills training<br>of demobilised and HIV orphans and<br>vulnerable children (OVC).<br>A.3.3.1 Food for training of OVC children<br>who did not follow regular school courses<br>SO 4: Support access to primary education<br>and reduce gender disparity in access to<br>education<br>4.1 Increased enrolment and attendance rate<br>of boys and girls in WFP assisted primary   | 2 Improved nutrition & health status of  | & health status of            |                       |                      |
| OVC in families         A.3.2.1 Distribution of supplementary         feeding to PLWH in families         A.3.2.2 Distribution of family rations for         Prevention of Mother to Child Transmission         (PMTCT)         3.3 Non-formal education and skills training         of demobilised and HIV orphans and         vulnerable children (OVC).         A.3.3.1 Food for training of OVC children         who did not follow regular school courses         SO 4: Support access to primary education         and reduce gender disparity in access to         education         4.1 Increased enrolment and attendance rate         of boys and girls in WFP assisted primary  |  |                               |                       |                      |
| A.3.2.1 Distribution of supplementary<br>feeding to PLWH in families<br>A.3.2.2 Distribution of family rations for<br>Prevention of Mother to Child Transmission<br>(PMTCT)<br>3.3 Non-formal education and skills training<br>of demobilised and HIV orphans and<br>vulnerable children (OVC).<br>A.3.3.1 Food for training of OVC children<br>who did not follow regular school courses<br>SO 4: Support access to primary education<br>and reduce gender disparity in access to<br>education<br>4.1 Increased enrolment and attendance rate<br>of boys and girls in WFP assisted primary  | 1 0                                      | programs and of               |                       |                      |
| feeding to PLWH in families<br>A.3.2.2 Distribution of family rations for<br>Prevention of Mother to Child Transmission<br>(PMTCT)<br>3.3 Non-formal education and skills training<br>of demobilised and HIV orphans and<br>vulnerable children (OVC).<br>A.3.3.1 Food for training of OVC children<br>who did not follow regular school courses<br>SO 4: Support access to primary education<br>and reduce gender disparity in access to<br>education<br>4.1 Increased enrolment and attendance rate<br>of boys and girls in WFP assisted primary   | VC in families                           |                               |                       |                      |
| feeding to PLWH in families<br>A.3.2.2 Distribution of family rations for<br>Prevention of Mother to Child Transmission<br>(PMTCT)<br>3.3 Non-formal education and skills training<br>of demobilised and HIV orphans and<br>vulnerable children (OVC).<br>A.3.3.1 Food for training of OVC children<br>who did not follow regular school courses<br>SO 4: Support access to primary education<br>and reduce gender disparity in access to<br>education<br>4.1 Increased enrolment and attendance rate<br>of boys and girls in WFP assisted primary   | 2.2.1 Distribution of supplementary      |                               |                       |                      |
| A.3.2.2 Distribution of family rations for         Prevention of Mother to Child Transmission         (PMTCT)         3.3 Non-formal education and skills training         of demobilised and HIV orphans and         vulnerable children (OVC).         A.3.3.1 Food for training of OVC children         who did not follow regular school courses         SO 4: Support access to primary education         and reduce gender disparity in access to         education         4.1 Increased enrolment and attendance rate         of boys and girls in WFP assisted primary  | 11 5                                     |                               |                       |                      |
| Prevention of Mother to Child Transmission<br>(PMTCT)         3.3 Non-formal education and skills training<br>of demobilised and HIV orphans and<br>vulnerable children (OVC).         A.3.3.1 Food for training of OVC children<br>who did not follow regular school courses         SO 4: Support access to primary education<br>and reduce gender disparity in access to<br>education         4.1 Increased enrolment and attendance rate<br>of boys and girls in WFP assisted primary  |  |                               |                       |                      |
| (PMTCT)         3.3 Non-formal education and skills training<br>of demobilised and HIV orphans and<br>vulnerable children (OVC).         A.3.3.1 Food for training of OVC children<br>who did not follow regular school courses         SO 4: Support access to primary education<br>and reduce gender disparity in access to<br>education         4.1 Increased enrolment and attendance rate<br>of boys and girls in WFP assisted primary  | •  | •                             |                       |                      |
| 3.3 Non-formal education and skills training of demobilised and HIV orphans and vulnerable children (OVC).         A.3.3.1 Food for training of OVC children who did not follow regular school courses         SO 4: Support access to primary education and reduce gender disparity in access to education         4.1 Increased enrolment and attendance rate of boys and girls in WFP assisted primary  |  |                               |                       |                      |
| of demobilised and HIV orphans and vulnerable children (OVC).         A.3.3.1 Food for training of OVC children who did not follow regular school courses         SO 4: Support access to primary education and reduce gender disparity in access to education         4.1 Increased enrolment and attendance rate of boys and girls in WFP assisted primary   | /  | and skills training           |                       |                      |
| vulnerable children (OVC).         A.3.3.1 Food for training of OVC children<br>who did not follow regular school courses         SO 4: Support access to primary education<br>and reduce gender disparity in access to<br>education         4.1 Increased enrolment and attendance rate<br>of boys and girls in WFP assisted primary  | e  |                               |                       |                      |
| who did not follow regular school courses         SO 4: Support access to primary education         and reduce gender disparity in access to         education         4.1 Increased enrolment and attendance rate         of boys and girls in WFP assisted primary   |  |                               |                       |                      |
| who did not follow regular school courses         SO 4: Support access to primary education         and reduce gender disparity in access to         education         4.1 Increased enrolment and attendance rate         of boys and girls in WFP assisted primary   |  |                               |                       |                      |
| SO 4: Support access to primary education         and reduce gender disparity in access to         education         4.1 Increased enrolment and attendance rate         of boys and girls in WFP assisted primary   |  |                               |                       |                      |
| and reduce gender disparity in access to         education         4.1 Increased enrolment and attendance rate         of boys and girls in WFP assisted primary   |  |                               |                       |                      |
| education       4.1 Increased enrolment and attendance rate<br>of boys and girls in WFP assisted primary   |  |                               |                       |                      |
| 4.1 Increased enrolment and attendance rate<br>of boys and girls in WFP assisted primary   |  | y in access to                |                       |                      |
| of boys and girls in WFP assisted primary  |  |                               |                       |                      |
|  |  |                               |                       |                      |
|  |  |                               |                       |                      |
| children   |  | is and vulnerable             |                       |                      |
|  | haron                                    |                               |                       |                      |
| A.4.1.1 Distribution of Emergency school   | 4.1.1 Distribution of Emergency school   | Emergency school              |                       |                      |
| feeding to primary schools children  | eding to primary schools children        | schildren                     |                       |                      |
| A.4.1.2 Distribution of Food to Benevolent   | 4.1.2 Distribution of Food to Benevolent | bood to Benevolent            |                       |                      |
| teachers (Food for social workers)   |  |                               |                       |                      |
| 4.2 Reduced gender disparity between boys  |  |                               |                       |                      |
| and girls enrolled in WFP assisted primary   |  |                               |                       |                      |
| schools in targeted regions (18 Montagne,  |  |                               |                       |                      |
| Denguele, Bafing, Worudougou)  | inguele, Bating, Worudougou)             | bugou)                        |                       |                      |
| A.4.2 Distribution of Emergency school   | 4.2 Distribution of Emergency school     | Emergency school              |                       |                      |
| feeding to primary schools girls   | 6 1                                      | 6 5                           |                       |                      |

| Results-Hierarchy                            | Performance Indicators | Means of Verification | Assumption and Risks                    |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| 4.3 Increased coordination among WFP,        |                        |                       | <ul> <li>Security conditions</li> </ul> |
| UNICEF and other partners in support of the  |                        |                       | allow staff members of                  |
| education system under the leadership of the |                        |                       | WFP and implementing                    |
| national Government                          |                        |                       | partners as well as                     |
|  |                        |                       | beneficiaries to have                   |
| A.4.3.1 De-worming of pupils                 |                        |                       | access and work freely                  |
| A.4.3.2 Construction and use of improved     |                        |                       | in the targeted areas.                  |
| energy cooking stoves by schools             |                        |                       | • Other required non-                   |
| A.4.3.3 Delivery of HIV/AIDS awareness       |                        |                       | food resources are                      |
| courses to pupils                            |                        |                       | available to assisted                   |
|  |                        |                       | institutions and projects               |
|  |                        |                       | <ul> <li>Timely contribution</li> </ul> |
|  |                        |                       | of funds and                            |
|  |                        |                       | commodities are                         |
|  |                        |                       | secured                                 |
|  |                        |                       | • Competent CPs are                     |
|  |                        |                       | available and                           |
|  |                        |                       | operational                             |

# Acronyms

| rectonyms               |  |
|-------------------------|--|
| AIDS                    | Acquired Immuno Deficiency Syndrome                              |
| ALNAP                   | Active Learning Network for Accountability and Performance       |
| ANADER                  | Agence Nationale de Développement Rural                          |
| ART                     | Anti Retro-Viral Therapy   |
| CAP                     | Consolidated appeal process                                      |
| СО                      | Country Office   |
| COGES                   | Comité de Gestion  |
| CSB                     | Corn Soya Blend  |
| DDR                     | Disarmament, Demobilization and Repatriation                     |
| DNC                     | Direction National des Cantines                                  |
| DREN                    | Direction Regional de l'éducation et de la nutrition             |
| DSC                     | Direct Support Cost  |
| EFSA                    |  |
|                         | Emergency Food Security Assessment                               |
| EMOP                    | Emergency Operation  |
| EQAS                    | Evaluation Quality Assurance System                              |
| FCFA                    | Franc – Communauté Financière d'Afrique                          |
| FAO                     | Food and Agriculture Organization                                |
| FFE                     | Food for Education   |
| FFT                     | Food for Training  |
| FFW                     | Food for Work  |
| GDP                     | Gross Domestic Product   |
| GPI                     | Gender Parity Index  |
| HIV                     | Human immunodeficiency virus                                     |
| ICRC                    | International Committee of the Red Cross                         |
| IDP                     | Internal displaced people  |
| IEP                     | Inspection des ècoles primaires                                  |
| IOM                     | International Organization for Migration                         |
| LF                      | Logical framework  |
| M&E                     | Monitoring and Evaluation  |
| MCH                     | Mother and child health  |
| MICS                    | Multi indicator Cluster Survey                                   |
| NGO                     | Non Governmental Organization                                    |
| OCHA                    | Office for the Coordination of the Humanitarian Activities       |
|                         |  |
| OECD<br>OEDE            | Organization for Economic Co operation and Development           |
| ·                       | Office of Evaluation   |
| ONUCI                   | Opération des Nations unies en Côte d'Ivoire                     |
| OVC                     | Orphan and other Vulnerable children                             |
| P4P                     | Purchase for Progress  |
| PLWH                    | People Living with HIV/AIDS                                      |
| PMTCT                   | Prevention of Mother to Child Transmission                       |
| PNDDR                   | Programme National de Dèmobilisation, Dèsarmement et             |
|                         | Reinsertion  |
| PNN                     | Programme National de Nutrition                                  |
| PRRO                    | Protracted Relief and Recovery Operation                         |
| PRSP                    | Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper                                 |
| SFC                     | Supplementary Feeding Centre                                     |
| SO1, SO2, SO3, SO4, SO5 | Strategic objectives 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5                            |
| SMART                   | Standardised Monitoring and Assessment of Relief and Transitions |
| ТВ                      | Tuberculosis   |
| TFC                     | Therapeutic Feeding Centre                                       |
| TOR                     | Terms of Reference   |
| UN                      | United Nations   |
| UNDP                    | United Nations development programme                             |
| UNHCR                   | United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees                    |
| UNICEF                  | United Nations children's fund                                   |
| US\$                    | United States Dollar   |
| WFP                     | World Food Programme   |
| ** 1.1                  | wong roog riogramme  |



Office of Evaluation Via Cesare Giulio Viola, 68/70. 00148 Rome, Italy Tel +39 0665131

http://www.wfp.org/about/evaluation