

Evaluation into Use: How OE Stimulates Learning for Programme Improvement

WFP evaluations serve the dual purpose of accountability and learning. Learning means that lessons are drawn from experience, accepted and internalized in new practices or policies, to build on successes and avoid past mistakes (WFP Evaluation Policy 2008).

Learning from evaluation can be stimulated during the evaluation process, in the way the

findings and recommendations are presented at the end, and in the way they are used in subsequent decision making. The most appropriate mix varies according to the type of evaluation and who the main users are intended to be. Here is an overview of the ways and forms used by WFP's Office of Evaluation (OE) to help WFP from evaluations.

<i>How?</i> In what way or form does OE present evaluation results? <i>When?</i> When is the most appropriate moment for	<i>Where?</i> Where does the event usually take place or where can I find the product?		
each one? Who? Who is each one for and who is involved?	<i>How Often?</i> How often is each one used?		

1. During the evaluation

How & When?	Who for?	Where?	How often?
An Internal Reference Group reviews and comments on every major milestone product during the evaluation: Terms of Reference, Inception Report, & Evaluation Report. The independent evaluators decide whether to accept, partially accept or reject comments on the Evaluation Report and explain why.	The Internal Reference Group comprises representatives from all the WFP units involved in delivering the subject being evaluated.	Members come from HQ, Regional Bureau, and/or Country Office, depending on the type of evaluation	Systematic for every evaluation
Debriefing of initial findings by evaluation team at the end of the evaluation's field work	 All interested WFP staff (in CO, RB, HQ) Occasionally also other non- WFP stakeholders such as government, implementing partners (<i>Example: Afghanistan</i> <i>Country Portfolio Evaluation 2012</i>) 	Country Portfolio and Impact Evaluations: in the country Policy and Strategic Evaluations: at HQ, with RB & CO on conference call	Systematic for every evaluation
Workshop with key stakeholders before finalization of the evaluation recommendations. Dialogue is often the best way to sharpen interpretation of findings and deepen analysis, leading to more effective recommendations.	 WFP staff Sometimes also other stakeholders such as government or implementing partners(<i>Example: 2011 School</i> <i>Feeding Workshop, 2012 Joint</i> <i>Global Logistics Cluster, Private</i> <i>Sector Partnerships Workshops</i>) 	As Debriefing	For selected evaluations

2. After the evaluation

How?	Who for?	How often?	
Synthesis of series of evaluations	 Executive board 	For every series of evaluations	
(Example: Synthesis of 4 Strategic	 Senior management 	(Strategic Evaluations and Impact	
Evaluations of different dimensions of the	• (sometimes) A wider audience	Evaluations are usually in series)	
shift from food aid to food assistance, 2012)			
Tailor-made inputs to Strategic	 Country offices 	Whenever there is a relevant Country	
Review Committee (SRC)	 SRC decision makers 	Portfolio Evaluation or Evaluation	
		Country Synthesis (approx. 4 per year)	
Tailor-made inputs to Policy	All relevant decision makers	After relevant Policy/Strategic	
Committee & Programme Review		Evaluations & Country Portfolio/Impact	
Committee (PRC)		Evaluations respectively.	
Top 10 lessons synthesizes ways to tackle	Operational staff/mid-level	Approximately 2 per year with current	
10 key challenges on a selected topic	managers	resources	
(Example: 2012 on Social Protection &			
Safety Nets, on Gender)			
Tailor made briefs or workshops that	 Strategic decision makers at all 	Where strategic added value and demand	
draw out lessons from evaluations on a	levels	(Example: input to Staffing Review;	
particular subject		workshop with Regional Directors).	
		Approx. 2 per year with current resources.	

3. Making the most of the evaluation body of knowledge: Compilation products harvesting lessons from many evaluations

How?	Who for?	Where?	How Often?
Top 10 lessons from multiple evaluations	Operational staff &	OE website Other relevant websites	Topics based on
(Example: targeting, Cash & Vouchers) Evaluation country synthesis: presents country-specific lessons as an evidence base for development of a Country Strategy. Links to the	mid-level managers CO preparing a Country Strategy 	Supplied to CO at beginning of Country Strategy Development	demand and supply 7 done to date In consultation with RMP
Country Strategy Working Questions.		• OE website	
Annual Evaluation Report: synthesis of findings from all evaluations in one year. Analyses strengths and weaknesses and makes	 Executive Board Senior Management Of interest to a wide 	 OE website WFP external website kiosk	• once a year
organization-wide recommendations.	audience.		

Future

In the future, OE plans to work on a better use of the learning tools that already exist, particularly increasing the number of syntheses and better diffusion (e.g. publication of articles on wfp.org, emails alerts of relevant evaluations to interested users, announcements on Twitter - started for JGLC 2012). OE is also improving the quality of communication plans for each evaluation.

The guiding principle is to provide The Right Information in the Right Form at the Right Time.



All OE learning products are available to WFP staff at: <u>http://go.wfp.org/web/evaluation/home</u>

Evaluation reports and Management Responses are available at: www.wfp.org/evaluation

For more information please contact the Office of Evaluation: <u>WFP.evaluation@WFP.org</u>