Policy on Country Strategic Plans

Executive Summary

The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development makes clear that sustainable development, including ending hunger and improving nutrition, depends on effective partnerships that span both humanitarian and development contexts. The centrality of effective partnerships across contexts is also reiterated through commitments made by some participants at the World Humanitarian Summit. As the world’s largest humanitarian organization addressing the challenges of global hunger and nutrition, WFP embraces this partnership imperative, seeking to align and integrate its food assistance capacities and programmes with interventions and investments of governments, other United Nations agencies and a range of actors from the private sector and civil society, which can together generate the systemic changes needed. Partnership and collaboration at the country level are at the heart of the global call to action of the 2030 Agenda. The WFP Strategic Plan (2017–2021) affirms and deepens this commitment. As WFP focuses on its core business of saving lives, it will do so in ways that contribute to building resilience and stimulating productive opportunities for food-insecure and marginalized people over the longer term.

This Policy on Country Strategic Plans outlines WFP’s approach to strategic and programmatic planning at the country-level. It introduces a unique programmatic framework based on coherent country portfolios, which replace existing programme categories and project documents.

This framework responds to the increasingly complex world in which WFP operates, marked by protracted humanitarian crises resulting from conflict and disasters and emerging global food security and nutrition challenges. Guided by WFP’s Strategic Plan (2017–2021), the Country Strategic Plan framework leverages WFP’s strengths and capacities in humanitarian response and recovery, seizing opportunities to apply these capabilities beyond saving lives. The Country Strategic Plan approach provides the flexibility to respond under fluctuating circumstances and to unforeseen emergencies.

The approach has been informed by reviews and evaluations; best practices in other organizations; and lessons learned through experience in selected countries. The approach seeks to: i) support countries in responding to emergencies and making progress towards zero hunger; ii) operationalize the
WFP Strategic Plan at the country level; and iii) enhance strategic coherence, focus, operational effectiveness and partnerships.

The Country Strategic Plan framework has the potential to improve the quality of WFP’s assistance by: i) identifying WFP’s specific contributions within a country; ii) establishing the basis for effective partnerships, including with the Rome-based agencies; iii) increasing effectiveness and efficiency in emergency response and integrating it in a broader framework for zero hunger; iv) aligning WFP’s contribution with national Sustainable Development Goal targets and national and United Nations plans; v) providing greater flexibility in dynamic operational contexts; vi) integrating capacity development and resource mobilization more fully; vii) reducing transaction costs; and viii) enhancing performance reporting and accountability. Country Strategic Plans provide a line of sight of how resources deployed translate into results achieved. Country Strategic Plans will be vehicles for resource mobilization and management. They will ensure compliance with Board decisions and adhere to guidelines and procedures regarding the allocation of multilateral contributions for development.1

Ending hunger must be achieved in the context of increasingly complex and protracted humanitarian needs. Building on WFP’s strengths and experience across its mandate, this Policy is part of an integrated roadmap, which includes the Strategic Plan, the Corporate Results Framework (CRF), and a revamped financial framework. Together, this planning and budgeting architecture allows WFP to align relief, early recovery and development interventions, while upholding its commitment to prioritizing the needs of the most vulnerable people in support of the 2030 Agenda.

**Draft decision**

The Executive Board:

- **approves** the Policy on Country Strategic Plans (WFP/EB.2/2016/4-C/1/Rev.1*) (the CSP Policy);
- **requests** the Executive Director to submit Country Strategic Plans containing pilot Country Portfolio Budgets for Executive Board approval in 2017 (pilot CSPs);
- **notes** that the CSP Policy provides for modification of WFP’s existing programme categories, which would necessitate amendments to the WFP General Rules and Financial Regulations, and accordingly **authorizes**, pending normative amendments expected to be approved at EB.2/2017, the temporary application to the pilot CSPs of provisions of the WFP General Rules and Financial Regulations referring to existing programme categories as if such references were to the Country Strategic Plan; and
- **requests** the Secretariat to propose for Executive Board approval at EB.2/2017 amendments to the programmatic terminology employed in WFP General Rules and Financial Regulations to accommodate the Country Strategic Plans framework.

---


* This is a draft decision. For the final decision adopted by the Board, please refer to the Decisions and Recommendations document issued at the end of the session.
Introduction

1. *The State of Food Insecurity in the World (2015)* states: “Over the past 30 years, the typology of crises has gradually evolved from catastrophic, short-term, acute and highly visible events to more structural, longer-term and protracted situations […]. In other words, protracted crises have become the new norm, while acute short-term crises are now the exception.” The Committee on World Food Security adopted the Framework for Action for Food Security and Nutrition in Protracted Crises in 2015, in recognition of the impact on affected populations of food insecurity and undernutrition.

2. In keeping with the 2030 Agenda, as WFP focuses on its core business of saving lives, it must do so in ways that contribute to outcomes that provide productive opportunities over the longer term. This approach is also consistent with the commitments made by some participants at the World Humanitarian Summit. WFP must collaborate across institutional boundaries at the humanitarian–development–peace-building nexus while ensuring that it does not deviate from the primacy of humanitarian principles. Prevention, mitigation and preparedness for early action are critical. The ultimate aim is to shrink humanitarian needs over the long term with the view of contributing to the achievement of the Sustainable Development Goals. The Strategic Plan (2017–2021) provides the framework for WFP to make significant contributions in these areas in different contexts.

3. The Country Strategic Plan framework provides the flexibility to respond under fluctuating circumstances and to unforeseen emergencies while integrating emergency responses in a broader programmatic framework to achieve zero hunger. This policy outlines WFP’s approach to strategic planning, programming and managing operations at the country level. The approach facilitates the effective and efficient implementation of the 2030 Agenda, encompassing humanitarian and development efforts, and better aligns WFP’s planning with that of governments, other United Nations agencies, including the Rome-based agencies, and a range of actors from the private sector and civil society.

4. The design and implementation of each Country Strategic Plan (CSP) must reflect the needs in the specific country or region, the added value that WFP can bring in a particular time and place, and the presence and capabilities of other actors. WFP will work with partners to implement programmes that save lives and livelihoods, strengthen the capacity of communities and countries to manage underlying risks, and ultimately end hunger. WFP will select the appropriate mix of tools based on a rigorous analysis of needs and context, beneficiary preferences, operational goals, efficiency and evidence of potential impact. Not every Strategic Result in the Strategic Plan (2017–2021) will apply in every country at all times.

5. The CSP approach presented in this policy has been under development since 2014 and is informed by internal evidence, reviews and evaluations; broad consultations, including with the Board; best practices in other organizations; and lessons learned through experience in selected countries.

---


4 In line with the policy on WFP’s role in peacebuilding in transition settings, WFP/EB.2/2013/4-A/Rev.1.
Rationale for the Country Strategic Plan Approach

The Planning Architecture to Date

6. To date, WFP has implemented projects in the following programme categories depending on the specific needs and context:5

- Emergency operations (EMOPs) are WFP’s responses to new emergency needs and last for up to one year, with the possibility of extension for one more year.6 Their emphasis is on saving lives, reducing malnutrition and protecting livelihoods.
- Protracted relief and recovery operations (PRROs) respond to protracted needs during and in the aftermath of complex emergencies and long-term crises for up to three years. They focus on re-establishing and stabilizing livelihoods and food security to the extent possible, while providing relief as necessary.
- Development programmes comprise country programmes (CPs) and development projects (DEVs). CPs last for up to five years and include several components, while DEVs are generally limited to a single activity.
- Special operations (SOs) are undertaken to rehabilitate and enhance transport and logistics infrastructure to facilitate the timely and efficient delivery of food assistance, especially for emergency and protracted relief, and to enhance coordination within the United Nations system and with other partners through the provision of common services.
- Trust funds are not a programme category in themselves, but are used as mechanisms for programming extra-budgetary resources received as contributions for specified purposes – such as providing services to governments, development partners and other United Nations organizations – that do not fall within one of the four programme categories but that are consistent with WFP’s objectives and policies.7

7. Country strategy outlines were presented to the Board for information and guidance in tandem with development projects until the end of 2002. Since 2009, country strategy documents have been used to guide WFP’s delivery of coherent and strategically focused assistance through its operations in a country. These documents, which focused on strategic direction, were voluntary, endorsed internally and not submitted to the Board for approval.

Limitations Addressed by the Country Strategic Plan Approach

High transaction costs resulting from fragmentation among projects

8. Fragmentation among projects with different approval processes, durations and planning cycles increases the transaction burden of internal processes. The multiple processes required to design, draft, submit, approve, implement and manage each project limit efficiency and significantly increase transaction costs in terms of time and resources. In addition, the fragmented approach to programming among projects limits coherence among activities.

Limited coordination among different project types

9. In 2015, 42 of WFP’s 75 country offices implemented projects in more than one programme category, excluding trust funds and regional operations. Each programme category has its own strategy, which is often not synchronized with those of other categories. In 2001, the Board recommended re-examining the use of different instruments for development, relief and emergency assistance. Members pointed out that the existence of separate programme categories often led to the perception that humanitarian and development activities in a country were not coordinated.8

---

5 For a list of the purposes of WFP programmes, projects and activities, see Article II of WFP General Regulations.
6 See “Definition of Emergencies” (WFP/EB.1/2005/4-A/Rev.1).
7 Extra-budgetary resources are available for implementing activities that are within WFP’s regulatory framework, but are not explicitly approved by the Board.
10. A 2010 programme category review\(^9\) attempted to clarify the relationship between programme categories and WFP’s Strategic Objectives in order to address perceived inconsistencies in use of programme categories. The review found that the project-based approach continued to fall short of promoting interconnections between humanitarian and development responses, linkages that are essential in recovery and transition contexts, and for achieving sustainable results. A fragmented approach to response also tended to reduce the effectiveness of assistance.

**Lack of synergy between strategic and programme planning**

11. As country strategies are optional and focus on higher-level objectives, they have not always contributed to effective programme design and implementation, thereby limiting the potential impact of assistance. One of the main concerns raised during the 2013 business process review regarded the unclear relationship between country strategies and project documents. In many cases, the contents of the two documents overlap, while in others there is no readily identifiable link between them.

12. The synthesis of four strategic evaluations undertaken in 2011 pointed out that the short duration of many projects adds to the challenge of achieving outcomes.\(^{10}\)

**Limited strategic oversight and governance**

13. The business process review also raised concerns about the rationale for having project documents approved by the Board and country strategies approved by an internal committee, when the latter are intended to provide a strategic framework for the former. Its review of isolated project documents gives the Board a fragmented picture of WFP’s work in a country, reducing its ability to provide strategic oversight and guidance. This has sometimes left Board members feeling overwhelmed by the volume of projects, making it difficult to provide meaningful, strategic inputs to or guidance on WFP’s work and/or positioning at the country level. In the 2014 statistics on the use of Board time, the Executive Board Bureau noted that the Board spent close to 40 percent of its time discussing and approving individual operations and welcomed a review of how this time could be used more strategically. Furthermore, a large proportion of WFP’s interventions – those that are included in EMOPs, as well as activities supported by trust funds – are not submitted for approval by the Executive Board, further reducing the ability of the Executive Board to advise strategically on WFP’s portfolio in a country.

**Opportunities for improvement**

14. In 2011, WFP’s Oversight Office reviewed the country strategy process internally\(^{11}\) and drew the following conclusions and recommendations:

- An improved country strategy document could become the main channel through which the Board approves WFP’s plans in a given country, but the review and approval process needs to be adjusted.

- The internal review process should be improved to ensure better communication of corporate issues during the early stages of country strategic planning and to derive corporate benefit from lessons learned and best practices.

- The internal management committees reviewing country strategies and project documents should be merged to ensure that operations reflect strategic plans.

- Adequate resources and support should be made available to ensure capacity in strategic planning at the country level.

---

\(^{9}\) WFP/EB.A/2010/11/Rev.1.

\(^{10}\) Office of Evaluation. 2012. *Four Strategic Evaluations on the Transition from Food Aid to Food Assistance: A Synthesis (Full Version)* (OE/2012/S002). These four strategic evaluations examined: i) WFP’s role in social protection and safety nets; ii) WFP’s role in ending long-term hunger; iii) from food aid to food assistance – working in partnership; and iv) how country offices adapt to change.

A policy paper should be submitted to the Board on using the country strategy as the main document for obtaining the Board’s approval of WFP operations in a country and delegating the approval of subsidiary documents that is currently with the Board.

15. The potential for improving country strategies to incorporate new ways in which WFP can contribute to national food security and nutrition initiatives was also identified in the four strategic evaluations referenced in footnote 10. The 2013 Annual Evaluation Report reaffirmed the importance of country strategies and recommended clarifying their role in WFP’s governance, partnership, strategic and operational frameworks. The 2014 Annual Evaluation Report recommended that WFP clarify, communicate and implement requirements for country office strategic planning and establish them as the basis for systematic long-term planning and performance management of country portfolios, with attention to national contexts, capacities, partnerships and funding. The 2015 Annual Evaluation Report recommended increasing the focus of the country strategy process to align it with national and local systems.

The Country Strategic Plan Approach

16. The CSP approach builds on the achievements of the planning architecture used to date and leverages opportunities for improvement.

The evolving context of hunger

17. Nearly 800 million people – 11 percent of the world’s population – are undernourished, 25 percent of the world’s children are stunted,\(^\text{12}\) and approximately 2 billion people suffer from micronutrient deficiencies.\(^\text{13}\) Around 767 million people live on less than 1.90 US$ a day.\(^\text{14}\) Most of these people live without social protection, often amid conflict and instability. Conflicts are becoming increasingly complex and protracted, with the resultant protracted displacements reaching their highest levels since the 1940s. More than 125 million people are currently affected by humanitarian crises.

18. On average, in 2014 and 2015, WFP provided direct food assistance to more than 78 million people per year in 82 countries; approximately 42 percent of expenditure was on emergency operations, 38.5 percent on early recovery activities, 6.9 percent on development activities and 7.6 percent on special operations; 77 percent of food transfers and 89 percent of cash-based transfers (CBTs) went to saving lives and protecting livelihoods in emergencies. This support ensured that the food security of emergency-affected populations was stabilized or improved through general distributions of food, or CBTs, which increased food consumption and dietary diversity. Activities were also conducted to prevent deterioration in nutrition and related mortality and to support acutely malnourished people in emergencies.

19. Lack of gender equality and women’s empowerment hinders progress in all areas of sustainable development, especially ending poverty and hunger. In many countries, despite progress in reducing poverty overall, food insecurity, undernutrition and overnutrition persist. Hunger is increasingly an urban challenge as well as a rural problem. Climate change increases risk for the poorest countries and most vulnerable people. These dynamics require all stakeholders to work together in combatting hunger, adapting their ways of working accordingly. The changing nature of food insecurity and food systems in individual countries means that responses must be tailored, adaptable and context-specific. Improvement of country-level actions through the CSP approach is essential in enabling WFP to deliver on its objectives in evolving global and country-specific contexts.


Translating the global vision for zero hunger into action

20. In September 2015, world leaders formulated the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, which sets out an ambitious 15-year time frame for achieving sustainable development and ending poverty, hunger and inequality. The Agenda situates humanitarian action within the broader context of human progress and development, with a clear focus on the most vulnerable people and a strong commitment to leaving no one behind. The Agenda is led and driven by Member States, global in coverage and universally applicable; its 17 global goals are integrated and indivisible. Each country, under government leadership, will determine its own priorities and set its own national targets, guided by the global vision of the goals and adapted to the national context.

21. Ending hunger must be achieved in the context of increasingly complex and protracted humanitarian needs. Building on WFP’s strengths and experience across its mandate, the development of this Policy is part of an integrated roadmap, which includes the Strategic Plan, the Corporate Results Framework (CRF), and a revamped financial framework. Together, this planning and budgeting architecture allows WFP to align relief, early recovery and development interventions, while upholding its commitment to prioritizing the needs of the most vulnerable people in support of the 2030 Agenda. These comprehensive frameworks and tools equip WFP to design and deliver efficient and effective emergency responses and coherent, strategy-focused portfolios that maximize impact at the country level.

22. The Strategic Plan provides the overall framework for WFP’s contribution to achieving zero hunger. It prioritizes two goals – SDG 2 on achieving zero hunger, and SDG 17 on partnering to support implementation of the 2030 Agenda – while contributing to other SDGs depending on country contexts and national priorities.

23. Although SDG 2 lies at the core of WFP’s mandate, it is clear that no single agency or entity owns any of the SDGs. To achieve progress on all the goals, including zero hunger, partnerships will be required that span across sectors and areas of expertise within countries and among partners, including WFP and the other Rome-based agencies.

24. To achieve the objectives of the 2030 Agenda, each country will determine, under government leadership, its own priorities and targets and the actions required to reach these. In implementing the Strategic Plan, WFP will work closely with national stakeholders and United Nations country teams to determine how best to achieve zero hunger objectives in the different contexts in which it operates. In this regard, it will be important to have a country-owned national zero hunger strategic review to establish the baseline for the country, WFP and other partners. In addition to providing a baseline, strategic reviews identify priority actions and make recommendations that inform the strategic outcomes and action plans of governments, WFP and other national stakeholders and partners, including FAO and IFAD.

The Country Strategic Plan framework

25. Context determines national needs, priority actions and how actions can be carried out. The 2030 Agenda emphasizes the importance of recognizing that some contexts involve greater challenges than others and thus have special needs for assistance. Effective responses require different actions in a single country and at different times, in line with the differing needs of women, men, girls and boys and their communities.

26. The context in which WFP operates is often one of crisis and/or emergency response. WFP’s new programmatic framework must therefore first and foremost focus on strengthening the effectiveness of WFP’s response in emergency and crisis situations. To achieve this, WFP’s existing emergency response mechanisms will be preserved while being embedded in an overall WFP country framework. This will help to ensure that the speed and effectiveness of WFP’s

15 The 2030 Agenda, paragraph 56: “In deciding upon these Goals and targets, we recognize that each country faces specific challenges to achieve sustainable development, and we underscore the special challenges facing the most vulnerable countries and, in particular, African countries, least developed countries, landlocked developing countries and small-island developing States, as well as the specific challenges facing the middle-income countries. Countries in situations of conflict also need special attention.”
emergency response is not compromised, while also ensuring that concerns relating to lack of internal coordination and coherence, and inadequate transition and exit planning can also be effectively addressed.

27. The CSP approach will contribute to saving lives. By ensuring that WFP’s emergency activities and its other efforts are not treated as isolated or standalone actions it will also contribute to the broader goal of achieving zero hunger and ensuring that no one is left behind. The CSP approach builds on and leverages the fact that in most emergency and development contexts in which it is operating, WFP has considerable experience and/or deep field knowledge of food security and nutrition challenges in the country. The CSP approach enables WFP to more effectively draw on this experience in responding to the needs of affected populations and changes in context, particularly in emergencies.

28. WFP’s programme categories and project documents will be replaced by a unique programmatic framework based on coherent country portfolios. The CSP framework composed of CSPs, Interim Country Strategic Plans (ICSPs, as described in paragraph 32) and Limited Emergency Operations (described in paragraph 34), will facilitate implementation of results-focused portfolios, which will include activities addressing humanitarian and development needs, as required by context. As far as possible, CSPs should be formulated within the broader context of the country’s efforts to achieve zero hunger by 2030, in line with SDG 2. The CSP framework:

   i) defines WFP’s position, role and specific contribution based on country needs and WFP’s strengths;
   
   ii) specifies the outcomes, outputs and activities that WFP will deliver, as agreed within humanitarian response plans or jointly with governments and partners within national development plans; and
   
   iii) identifies the resources and technical support and guidance that WFP will dedicate to maximizing its own contributions.

29. Country Strategic Plans can be designed for a period of up to five years. To be fully effective in advancing towards the goal of zero hunger in diverse contexts, CSPs need to be informed by country-led national zero hunger strategic reviews or similar analyses as well as evaluations, assessments including joint needs assessments, feasibility studies, etc. CSP outcomes, outputs and activities should be aligned with those of WFP partners. Where possible CSPs should be developed in line with the planning processes of governments and United Nations country teams, in particular the Rome-based agencies. CSPs should include the criteria and/or conditions under which WFP support might no longer be required, including transition and/or exit plans.

30. For zero hunger strategic reviews to be truly useful, they should be open and consultative, involving a wide range of stakeholders from government, private sector, civil society and international organizations. They should result in a comprehensive analysis of the challenges the country faces in achieving zero hunger by 2030. The reviews should identify gaps in the national policy framework and programmes; the economic environment, fiscal policy, financial requirements and funding sources as well as partnerships for the SDGs (SDG 17) and for achieving zero hunger; opportunities and requirements for collaboration with the public and private sectors; and the implementation capacities of government institutions and non-governmental partners at the national and local levels. Strategic review reports are country-owned documents that are not subject to the approval of the Board.

31. WFP will endeavour, with the Rome-based agencies, to encourage and/or help to facilitate country-owned national zero hunger strategic reviews. WFP will advocate for national funding of strategic reviews, as well as for joint Rome-based agency funding to be made available.

**Interim Country Strategic Plans (ICSP)**

32. Pending the development and approval of a WFP Country Strategic Plan informed by a national zero hunger strategic review, WFP operations in a country will be delivered through an “Interim” Country Strategic Plan. All ICSPs will be based on existing strategies, studies, assessments including joint needs assessments, analysis and data.
Responding to unforeseen emergencies where an ICSP or CSP is implemented

33. Interim CSPs and CSPs are designed to enable WFP to respond effectively and efficiently in emergencies as well as in other contexts. Unforeseen and sudden onset emergency responses under ICSPs and CSPs will be implemented under the authority delegated by the General Regulations and Rules to the Executive Director and, where required, the Strategic Outcome specific to such emergency response will be approved by the Director-General of FAO. The revision and approval of Strategic Outcomes specific to emergency responses will be guided by a dedicated template (based on the existing EMOP template) aligned with the WFP country framework and the Country Portfolio Budget. The template will draw from Strategic Outcomes and activities focused on crisis response linked to ensuring access to food, addressing acute malnutrition concerns, providing common logistics services, and/or providing other special operations-type services. The activities and outputs involved will be clearly spelled out and articulated. By embedding the emergency response operation within an integrated WFP country framework (the ICSP or CSP), WFP will help ensure effective integration and coherence of its activities in country and a realistic transition plan and exit strategy.

Limited Emergency Operation where there is no established WFP presence

34. An unforeseen and sudden-onset emergency may occur in countries where WFP does not have an operational presence or a country framework. In such situations, WFP may implement a Limited Emergency Operation, which may include special operations as needed, utilizing a modified EMOP template and Country Portfolio Budget of the Financial Framework Review. Limited Emergency Operations would be approved by the Executive Director and, if required, the Director-General of FAO in line with the General Regulations and Rules governing the delegation of authority. A Limited Emergency Operation is planned for an initial period of up to six months. Any further response, if needed, will be integrated into a new ICSP (for which the formulation is described in paragraph 32 and the approval and revisions process in paragraphs 41–43).

Regional responses

35. Certain situations warrant regional strategic, resourcing and operational coordination. Regional initiatives, excluding emergency responses, are normally implemented through individual CSPs and/or ICSPs with additional or augmented WFP Strategic Outcomes as appropriate. The regional bureau coordinates the planning, design and pursuit of these Strategic Outcomes in the countries participating in the regional response, and develops and oversees joint resource mobilization strategies. Country-specific CSPs and ICSPs may include a regional strategic chapeau developed by the regional bureau. Limited Emergency Operations formulated and managed by regional bureaux will be used as and when appropriate. If technical assistance and/or special operations support has been requested by a country where WFP does not have an operational presence or a country framework, a limited response may be coordinated and managed by the relevant regional bureau and/or Headquarters.

Approval and revision process

Country Strategic Plans

36. CSPs are submitted for Board approval at any Board session. Draft CSP documents should be circulated to Board members electronically for comments at least 12 weeks before the approval session. All comments, if any, should be received electronically within 20 days of circulation. Comments will be published on the Board website, and be discussed with concerned governments and stakeholders and incorporated, as appropriate, into the final CSP. The final document will be posted in English at least six weeks before the Board approval session.16

---

16 The timeline and process for submission of CSPs to EB.1/2017 will be discussed and agreed with the Executive Board Bureau.
37. In exercising its governance authorities and responsibilities, the Board will respect the spirit of the commitment expressed in the 2030 Agenda that: “All of us will work to implement the Agenda within our own countries and at the regional and global levels, taking into account different national realities, capacities and levels of development and respecting national policies and priorities. We will respect national policy space for sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, in particular for developing States, while remaining consistent with relevant international rules and commitments.”

38. In cases where a CSP or new Strategic Outcome not previously foreseen in a CSP is entirely funded by the host country, it will be subject to the provisions of Financial Regulations 5.1 and 5.2, which delegate approval of bilateral projects to the Executive Director, unless the host government elects to have the CSP or Strategic Outcome approved through the regular CSP approval process. Depending on country context, some activities or Strategic Outcomes may continue to be funded by trust funds or other budgetary mechanisms; however the programmatic framework and rationale for them will be included, to the extent possible, in the CSP, the Interim CSP, or Limited Emergency Operations.

39. CSPs may be revised to respond to contextual and operational changes. The approval of revisions will be sought from the Board in the event of a fundamental change to the overall strategic focus of WFP in a country involving an addition and/or deletion of one or more WFP Strategic Outcomes in the CSP. However, CSP revisions concerning fundamental changes to CSPs that arise as a result of the addition of a new Strategic Outcome funded entirely by a host country may be approved by the Executive Director, as allowed for under paragraph 38. All other revisions within the time frame of Board-approved CSPs will be carried out in line with the applicable General Regulations and Rules governing the delegation of authority. The Secretariat will analyse data to determine objective measures for the delegation of authority to be proposed to the Board.

40. Emergency responses, described in paragraph 33, and/or any special operations will be approved by the Executive Director and, if required, the Strategic Outcomes specific to such emergency responses will be approved by the FAO Director-General, in line with the applicable General Regulations and Rules governing the delegation of authority. The revision of such emergency responses and special operations will follow the same approval process.

Interim Country Strategic Plans

41. ICSPs following a Limited Emergency Operation, and ICSPs based on previously approved project documents regarding transition to the CSP framework and Country Portfolio Budget – as described in paragraph 97 – will be approved for up to 18 months by the Executive Director as a bridge to a strategic review-informed CSP. Within this 18-month period, WFP country offices would be expected to develop and submit strategic review-informed CSPs for approval by the Executive Board. Where a strategic review that provides a national roadmap for zero hunger has not been completed, country offices will submit a new ICSP lasting up to three years for approval at any Board session and in line with the procedure described for CSPs in paragraph 36.

42. All revisions to ICSPs approved by the Executive Director (i.e. during their initial eighteen months) are to be approved in line with the applicable General Regulations and Rules governing the delegation of authority. All revisions to ICSPs approved by the Executive Board will follow the same rules as Board-approved CSPs elaborated in paragraph 39.

---


18 The feasibility of including trust funds in CSPs, ICSPs, or Limited Emergency Operations in all situations and all contexts will be further considered during 2017.

19 In 2017, further consideration will be given to the interaction between the Board approval processes for CSPs and CSP revisions and the Executive Director’s authority to approve bilateral projects under Financial Regulation 5.
43. As with CSPs, emergency responses described in paragraph 34 and/or any special operations to be embedded into an ICSP will be approved by the Executive Director and, if required, the Strategic Outcomes specific to such emergency responses will be approved by the FAO Director-General, in line with the applicable General Regulations and Rules governing the delegation of authority. The revision of such emergency responses and special operations will follow the same approval process.

The CSP/ICSP Results Chain: Addressing the Gap between Strategic and Operational Planning

44. References to CSPs in the remainder of this document do not distinguish between Interim and full CSPs, unless otherwise specified. The CSP approach enables WFP to integrate the strategic orientation of its assistance with performance management and budgeting processes to strengthen its capability to manage for results. The design, planning, implementation, performance management and reporting of CSPs are based on the results chain, which clarifies the relationship between resources deployed and results achieved. The results chain of a CSP framework translates the results framework of the WFP Strategic Plan (2017–2021) to the country level, as illustrated in Figure 1. It provides a model that defines the necessary steps for achieving stated objectives, and outlines the causal relationships and underlying assumptions regarding how results are to be achieved.

Figure 1: WFP Strategic Plan (2017–2021) results framework

---

**Strategic Goals**

45. The highest-level results of the CSP results chain are WFP’s two Strategic Goals: Strategic Goal 1 is in line with SDG 2 – End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture; and Strategic Goal 2 with SDG 17 – Strengthen the means of implementation and revitalize the global partnership for sustainable development. As noted in the WFP Strategic Plan (2017–2021), WFP’s prioritization of these two SDGs reflects its own history and mandate, and the interconnections among all 17 SDGs.

**Strategic Objectives**

46. The Strategic Objectives frame WFP’s programmatic and operational focus, and link the Strategic Goals to the Strategic Results through which WFP contributes to country and global efforts towards achievement of SDGs 2 and 17 and their targets.
Strategic Results

47. The Strategic Results elaborated in WFP’s Strategic Plan (2017–2021) focus WFP’s responses on what countries need. WFP’s eight Strategic Results are mapped to the SDG 2 and SDG 17 targets that are relevant to WFP’s capacities and mandate, aligning WFP’s support to national and global efforts on the SDGs. WFP CSPs contribute to the WFP Strategic Results that are relevant to and prioritized in specific countries, in collaboration with multiple stakeholders and partners.

National SDG targets

48. Nationally defined SDG targets adapt the global SDG targets to the local context. Based on national zero hunger strategic reviews and in line with the planning processes of governments and United Nations country teams, WFP will identify the national SDG targets and results that it is well placed to support.

Strategic Outcomes

49. Guided by the WFP Strategic Results, and taking into account local context and priorities and its own strengths, WFP will contribute to relevant national SDG targets through a set of Strategic Outcomes related to each WFP Strategic Result.

50. WFP adheres to the United Nations Harmonized Terminology for Results-Based Management adopted by the United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA), which defines outcomes as: “The intended or achieved short-term and medium-term effects of an intervention’s outputs, usually requiring the collective effort of partners. Outcomes represent changes in humanitarian conditions which occur between the completion of outputs and the achievement of impact.” WFP Strategic Outcomes describe the short- to medium-term effects that contribute to the achievement of humanitarian targets, national SDG targets and WFP Strategic Results. Each Strategic Outcome is tied to only one higher-level result - a WFP Strategic Result/SDG target or Strategic Objective. WFP Strategic Outcomes describe the people or entities that will benefit, the geographic scope, the result that is sought, and the foreseen time frame of the programme intervention. Formulated at the country level, Strategic Outcomes are framed around focus areas – crisis response, resilience building and root causes – and aligned with standardized Strategic Outcome categories included in the Corporate Results Framework.

51. WFP Strategic Outcomes are developed at the country level jointly with the government and key partners and/or are aligned to the humanitarian outcomes laid out in humanitarian response plans. They make a substantive contribution to meeting humanitarian needs and achieving national priorities; reflect the national or regional goals and targets to which WFP’s assistance contributes. WFP and partners contribute to Strategic Outcomes through the outputs of their activities. Strategic Outcomes are typically included in United Nations strategic planning frameworks and humanitarian response plans in a country and/or articulated through national plans, including zero hunger, development and humanitarian plans. Attribution of results at the Strategic Outcome level is typically collective, combining contributions from WFP and various governmental and non-governmental partners.


21 Crisis response: aims to provide relief and maintain food security and nutrition in relation to a crisis, and may also include recovery efforts to restore livelihoods; targets internally displaced persons, refugees, vulnerable host communities, and malnourished and food-insecure populations affected by a shock – conflict, natural disaster or economic crisis.

Resilience building: aims to build resilience to future crises and shocks by providing support to people and institutions and enabling communities and institutions to develop their assets and capacities to prepare for, respond to and recover from crises; typically supports people, communities and institutions in areas that are food-insecure, poor, hazard-prone or vulnerable to climate change.

Response to root causes: occurs in the context of long-standing and/or unaddressed needs and vulnerabilities, and aims to address the underlying, root causes of vulnerability, including unavailability of food, poverty, and poor access to education and basic social services, etc.; objective is to ensure and protect the food security and nutrition of the most vulnerable people and communities while strengthening institutional capacity to respond to their needs; typically targets people and communities suffering from chronic food insecurity, persistent poverty and limited access to services.
The wording of Strategic Outcomes needs to be appropriate to the national context, resonate with national and subnational actors, show clear alignment with national priorities and goals and be consistent with the national terminology and policy environment. Individual Strategic Outcomes vary from country to country in pitch and formulation, but they all show a clear link to the achievement of a national SDG target, and hence also a WFP Strategic Result. Strategic Outcome statements must reflect joint prioritization and ownership as well as consensus among the government and other main stakeholders.

While Strategic Outcomes and outputs in the results chain are likely to be achieved through collective efforts, the planning and implementation of WFP activities will reflect WFP’s specific contribution to these collective efforts. Figure 2 provides an example of the results chain of a CSP highlighting the central role of activities in achieving outputs and outcomes.

Figure 2: Example of the results chain for a WFP CSP

The CSP logical frameworks will use outcome indicators from the CRF. WFP country offices retain the flexibility to complement or fill gaps in the CRF with country-specific outcome indicators as required.

Outputs and activities: Linking WFP’s work to other SDG targets

WFP adheres to the United Nations Harmonized Terminology for Results-Based Management adopted by OCHA, which defines outputs and activities as follows:

- Outputs are changes in skills, abilities and/or capacities of individuals or institutions, or in availability of new products and services that result from the completion of activities in an intervention under the control of WFP.
- Activities are actions taken or work performed through which inputs – such as funds, technical assistance and other types of resources – are mobilized to produce specific outputs.

As the full definition of outputs specifies that they “are achieved with the resources provided and within the time period specified”, a WFP output may be linked directly to one and only one higher WFP Strategic Outcome. WFP activities will produce one or more output and will be aligned with the activities of WFP partners. As laid out in the Financial Framework Review, costing will be performed at the activity level and as such activities will play a central role in linking resources to results and demonstrating value-for-money. WFP will provide detailed information on activity planning, implementation, budgeting, monitoring and evaluation in CSPs and through Country Operations Management Plans (COMPs) as described in paragraph 87.
57. The prominence of food security and nutrition in the 2030 Agenda underscores the importance of zero hunger to the three dimensions of sustainable development: economic, social and environmental. However, the enablers of food security and nutrition – food availability, access, stability, consumption and utilization, and health and sanitation – are multi-dimensional, interdependent and complex, indicating that progress towards ending hunger will require comprehensive strategic and operational action to address linkages among sectors such as agriculture, infrastructure, education, water, health, economic growth and employment, energy, environment, gender equality and social protection; and to promote synergy and complementarity among food security and nutrition interventions aimed at achieving SDG 2 and other SDGs. WFP will contribute directly and indirectly to other national priorities and other SDGs through the outputs of its activities. As stated in the WFP Strategic Plan, WFP will collaborate with partners, including IFAD and FAO, to leverage each one’s capacities and strengths to support countries to achieve the SDGs.

58. For example, by supporting a school meals programme in food-insecure areas of a country, WFP may contribute to national SDG 2 targets related to access to food, improved nutrition or smallholder livelihoods. To reflect this contribution, the Strategic Outcomes, Results and Objectives of WFP’s results chain will all be oriented towards WFP Strategic Goal 1 – Support countries to achieve zero hunger (SDG 2). However, the activities and outputs associated with this support may also make substantial contributions to the achievement of other national SDG targets, such as those relating to education (SDG 4), gender equality and women’s empowerment (SDG 5), family income (SDG 1) and health (SDG 3). These contributions to other national SDG targets may be identified and mapped at the country level, in relevant national, United Nations and other planning frameworks.

59. In support of SDG 17, WFP may respond to the request of a national government and partners to provide specific services that support achievement of other SDGs. While these services may match WFP’s strengths and capacity, they may not be directly focused on zero hunger efforts. When supporting the response to an emergency, WFP may provide supply chain, logistics and communications support to the broader humanitarian community, such as procurement services and provision and management of communication systems, storage facilities, cargo and personnel transport. The activities and outputs associated with this support, while not necessarily directly supporting zero hunger, can make substantial contributions to the achievement of several national SDG targets. These contributions can be identified and mapped at the country level, in relevant national, United Nations or other frameworks.

Projected Impact of the Approach

60. WFP’s approach to country strategic planning has the potential to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of WFP’s assistance in the following ways.

61. 

62. As a context evolves to recovery, the CSP enables a multi-sector approach to recovery programming, addressing risk and building resilience for food security and nutrition, which requires wide consultation and long-term collaboration. In each context, all aspects of the programme cycle will be examined through a resilience lens to determine how actions can best be integrated with national government strategies and partner-supported programmes. The integration of emergency responses into the CSP framework allows WFP to optimize its response to protracted situations by addressing both short- and long-term issues, thereby increasing the
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effectiveness of its interventions, while maintaining flexibility to respond quickly and efficiently to any change in the situation.

63. **Improved alignment with national SDG targets and partners:** CSPs that are informed by country-led national zero hunger strategic reviews reflect national SDG targets and government ownership of the 2030 Agenda. Such CSPs articulate how WFP’s assistance in a country contributes to broader national plans and priorities for transitioning from and/or phasing out external assistance. The longer-term planning horizon of CSPs facilitates the setting of criteria for transition and the identification of actions to achieve conditions – such as capacity of government counterparts – the expected time frame, contextual assumptions, and external factors that could influence progress towards these objectives. Using the reviews as a tool for fitting the SDGs – particularly SDG 2 – into the local context, national planning institutions play a leading role in the formulation of zero hunger strategic reviews and are responsible for incorporating priority issues and recommendations identified by the reviews into national policies, strategies, plans and programmes. The reviews also foster greater coherence among operations and strategies of the Rome-based agencies and other partners at the country level, helping WFP to forge deeper partnerships through consensus on common approaches to eliminating hunger.

64. **Greater focus, improved visibility and communication:** The approach helps WFP to articulate its specific contribution to national efforts and reposition itself at the country level. Through the country strategic planning process, governments and development partners have greater understanding of WFP’s multifaceted mandate and are increasingly involving WFP in policy and programme dialogue across the humanitarian–development spectrum. This enhanced positioning and visibility is enabling WFP to communicate its value added to all stakeholders.

65. **Integration of operational support, technical assistance and resource mobilization:** National zero hunger strategic reviews systematically integrate strategic, resource and technical assistance planning that is informed by analyses of resourcing and capacity constraints. CSPs ensure targeted institutional capacity enhancement to support governments in designing and managing their nationally owned hunger solutions, and to equip WFP country offices with information, skilled staff and required capacities in line with the WFP People Strategy.

66. **Flexibility to plan for and respond to dynamic operational contexts while better linking humanitarian and development work:** CSPs are context-specific and adaptable to changes in the operating environment. They promote links between humanitarian and development assistance and enable effective resilience building. CSPs better ensure that WFP’s crisis response supports recovery and long-term development, and that its development activities are informed by an understanding of risk and protect vulnerable people from crisis. At the same time, the CSP and its budget structure improve transparency and alignment with funding commitments while maintaining programmatic resource-allocation flexibility.

67. **Increased strategic guidance and reduced transaction costs:** CSPs will enhance the strategic role and efficiency of the Board, increasing its ability to provide strategic oversight and guidance. This is possible because the plans: i) present a comprehensive picture of WFP’s intervention in a country rather than the fragmented view obtained from individual project documents; and ii) reduce the number of projects to be discussed by the Board, resulting in time and cost savings. CSPs will also increase operational efficiency. By integrating strategic and programme planning, resourcing, technical support and performance management, and replacing individual project documents, CSPs reduce the process-management burden for WFP at the country, regional and Headquarters levels and increase the efficiency and quality of planning and implementation.

68. **Harmonization with the humanitarian programme cycle and other United Nations agencies and processes:** The CSP framework will be aligned with strategic response plans and joint resource mobilization efforts of the United Nations humanitarian programme cycle by adequately reflecting emergency-related outcomes and activities that are part of the wider humanitarian response.

69. The Quadrennial Comprehensive Policy Review (QCPR) reinforces the need for simplified, inclusive and nationally owned programming processes with clear lines of accountability. The United Nations Development Assistance Framework (UNDAF) is the strategic, medium-term results framework that guides the collective vision and response to national development
priorities of the United Nations system at the country level. The WFP approach to country strategic planning is aligned with and supportive of the nationally owned programming processes referred to in the QCPR; WFP will endeavour to maintain this alignment with subsequent QCPRs. The approach also clarifies WFP’s contribution to the collective vision and response outlined in the UNDAF guidelines that are being prepared by the United Nations Development Group. Country strategic planning cycles will be aligned with national and – consequently – UNDAF planning cycles. National zero hunger strategic reviews will complement the common country analyses that inform UNDAFs, and CSPs will ensure that WFP outcomes are coherent with both national and UNDAF outcomes and complement the outcomes of other United Nations agencies.

70. *Enhanced performance management, reporting and accountability:* CSPs respond to a QCPR recommendation that programmes deliver demonstrable results at the country level. The plans articulate the cause and effect relationships between WFP’s assistance, the resources it employs, and the results it achieves. By clarifying the links between resources and results, CSPs improve reporting on results, and WFP’s accountability to beneficiaries, host governments, donors and other partners. The approach will make it easier to evaluate country portfolios, measure progress and extract lessons from country-level actions. Country portfolio evaluations will help WFP identify thematic and/or systemic patterns and specificities at a global or regional level.

**Policy Implementation**

**Roles of WFP Country Offices, Regional Bureaux and Headquarters**

71. WFP country offices are responsible for the country strategic planning process, with the support of Headquarters divisions and the relevant regional bureau. In consultation with governments, Headquarters divisions and the relevant regional bureau, the Country Director leads the country steps in the process, including the selection of a strategic planning team, the development and implementation of a work plan and the allocation of resources. Country Directors work in coordination with governments to ensure an effective participation from all technical areas to facilitate adequate inputs and collective ownership. They also ensure alignment of country strategic planning cycles with United Nations calendars and national development planning.

72. WFP regional bureaux coordinate the scheduling of CSPs in their region and monitor their timely preparation. They provide strategic and technical guidance and support, and oversee quality standards from a regional perspective, formulating and disseminating best practices and lessons learned across the WFP offices in their regions. Regional bureaux also provide in-country support to strategic planning processes and focus on assisting country offices in the formulation of portfolios informed by national zero hunger strategic reviews. They provide a regional perspective, coordinate the planning and design of a regional response, as provided in paragraph 35, and are accountable for Strategic Outcomes in countries participating in the regional response.

73. Headquarters provides guidance and support throughout the country strategic planning process. Headquarters divisions set guidelines and quality standards and deliver training, technical support and quality assurance, collecting and disseminating lessons learned. In coordination with regional bureaux, Headquarters divisions may also directly support national and WFP strategic planning processes by deploying subject specialists and contributing to the articulation of Strategic Outcomes and activities, and by promoting innovations, partnerships and cross-functional thinking. All CSPs will be subject to rigorous internal quality assurance and approval.

**Partnerships**

74. WFP’s Strategic Plan builds on WFP’s identity as the world’s largest humanitarian organization fighting hunger. This identity brings a responsibility to fulfil commitments and expectations in emergency response and recovery in contexts of crisis and disruption, aiming to save lives through first-rate food assistance designed and implemented in partnership with national governments, international agencies and other actors. The Strategic Plan affirms this critical dimension of WFP’s work, and provides a coherent and transparent framework for WFP to improve its support to countries in making progress towards the achievement of zero hunger using skills, capacities and competencies built up not only through humanitarian action but also in development initiatives. These skills, capacities and competencies are unique, significant, and
global in reach, offering scope for a new generation of systemic interventions and strengthened partnerships motivated by the transformative spirit of the 2030 Agenda.

75. The country strategic planning process is aligned with WFP’s Corporate Partnership Strategy (2014–2017), which defines partnership as “Collaborative relationships between actors that achieve better outcomes for the people we serve by: combining and leveraging complementary resources of all kinds; working together in a transparent, equitable and mutually beneficial way; and sharing risks, responsibilities and accountability, to achieve objectives that could not be achieved as efficiently, effectively or innovatively alone, and where the value created is greater than the transaction costs involved.”

76. The two-pronged approach of a national zero hunger strategic review process followed by formulation of the CSP adheres to the principles of the partnership strategy; promotes these values during engagement with governments, donors, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), the private sector, United Nations agencies – including the Rome-based agencies – and other actors at the country level; and enhances opportunities to build and strengthen partnerships through the consultative planning process. The national zero hunger strategic review process fosters coherent support to countries in achieving zero hunger, and generates consensus on coordination of individual partner actions; and the CSPs articulate the short- and long-term contributions of partners to national SDG targets and WFP Strategic Outcomes, drawing on the complementary strengths of partners, including the Rome-based agencies.

77. The country strategic planning process fosters public-private partnerships and private-sector investments in ending hunger, including through resource mobilization and the scaling up and harnessing of market forces to sustain socio-economic development. The planning process contributes to the priorities of the WFP Private-Sector Partnerships and Fundraising Strategy (2013–2017) by seeking resource and capacity transfers from the private sector to support WFP activities. In line with this strategy, the country strategic planning process in selected countries includes identification of opportunities to design new funding models that leverage domestic and international, public and private resources to maximize progress towards national humanitarian and development objectives.

78. In line with the Addis Ababa Action Agenda of the Third International Conference on Financing for Development and as an integral part of implementing the 2030 Agenda, national zero hunger strategic reviews include assessment of private-sector resource flows to enhance understanding of the food security and nutrition situation in a country and to facilitate use of the transformative potential of the private sector in promoting inclusive and sustainable development.

79. Plans for continued engagement with government donors are embedded in the country strategic planning approach. Against the backdrop of the Principles and Good Practice of Humanitarian Donorship, WFP will seek resources to operationalize its Strategic Plan (2017–2021) at the country level through the CSP framework. Increasing the alignment of aid with donor and host country priorities; promoting local resource mobilization through effective engagement with country-led processes; allocating humanitarian funding in proportion to needs; providing humanitarian assistance in ways that support the recovery of long-term development; and seeking flexible and predictable funding are principles that continue to drive resourcing efforts under the CSP approach.

80. WFP’s partnerships with NGOs have traditionally involved little substantive engagement. The country strategic planning process fosters strategic interactions and dialogue with NGOs and other civil society actors, whose roles and capacities are considered and utilized to varying degrees, as appropriate to the context. For example, during national zero hunger strategic review processes, WFP may advocate for actions to strengthen the capacities of national civil society actors, and consider including these actions in its resulting CSP.
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81. While WFP’s decentralized approach to engagement with NGOs has brought advantages – in allowing WFP country offices to determine which partnerships to pursue and how these partnerships should be managed – the country strategic planning process helps to ensure that partnerships with national and international NGOs are prioritized and managed in ways that build on partners’ deep understanding of local contexts to enrich WFP programmes, facilitate increased access and support greater accountability to target populations.

**Country-Level Financial Management and Operational Planning**

**Country Portfolio Budget**

82. Budget planning for CSPs follows the structure of the Country Portfolio Budgets developed under the Financial Framework Review (WFP/EB.2/2016/5-B/1). The Country Portfolio Budget will consist of Strategic Outcomes developed on the basis of needs assessments, and/or identified Strategic Outcomes based on strategic reviews or similar analysis in collaboration with government counterparts and partners. The budget for development activities will be guided by estimated available resources as per General Rule X.8. The budget will provide a breakdown by the four high-level categories transfer, implementation, adjusted direct support costs, and indirect support costs. The Country Portfolio Budget accompanying the CSP will be the total budget broken down by the four high-level cost categories and approved by total budget per WFP Strategic Outcome for the duration of the CSP framework.

83. The Country Portfolio Budget will harmonize WFP’s strategies and operations at the country level with the Strategic Plan (2017–2021), the country strategic planning approach and the CSP results framework. The budget structure will: i) maximize WFP’s ability to respond efficiently and effectively to prioritized operational needs; ii) provide for disciplined financial management, reporting and analysis; and iii) facilitate fundraising.

84. Requirements for the Country Portfolio Budget framework include an overview of all operations within a country office, in line with the CSP; a clear “line of sight” from strategy to planning to resourcing to results; a simplified and unified structure for implementing operations; clear demonstration of impact, cost-effectiveness and cost-efficiency; and improved accountability.

85. Each CSP framework will serve as a vehicle for resource mobilization and fund management, including the procedures for allocating multilateral contributions for relief and development assistance to which WFP has committed. WFP will continue to recognize that policy work on humanitarian-development coherence is supported by relevant funding streams for both humanitarian and development activities and, through country operations management planning, available and forecasted resources will inform the implementation of activities.

**Operations Management Planning**

86. Country operation management plans (COMPs) will support the implementation of CSPs as part of WFP’s internal management process. The COMP is derived from the annual planning cycle and will consist of a country’s programme of work, including budgets for needs-based and resource-based implementation plans.

87. Operational and budgetary information from the COMPs, including activity-level details, will be made available to Member States via an online portal. Specific information will be provided on an annual basis for the full duration of the CSP. This will include:

a) modality of transfers by Strategic Outcomes and Activity;

b) an overview of beneficiaries, broken down by age group, and by status;

c) beneficiaries by Strategic Outcome and Activity, disaggregated by sex and age;

d) food rations or transfers by activity for each Strategic Outcome and Activity;

e) breakdown of transfers by modality; and

f) quantitative information, by dollar value by Strategic Outcome and activity and by tonnage where applicable.
88. In addition to receiving WFP-created reports in an annual cycle, Member States can use the portal to access and create reports at any time and for any period. The online portal will also link resources to results which will consist of Strategic Outcome budgets broken down by activity with respective planned results (output/outcome targets).

89. The online portal will be periodically updated with expenditure information and the outputs delivered, together with a description of the activities prioritized according to the available funding. This holistic view of operations, together with formal Board processes of CSP and Management Plan approval, as well as its annual review of the Annual Performance Report, Standard Country Reports and post-factum reports on the use of delegations of authority will facilitate the Board’s fulfilment of its oversight role. It will also contribute to the information required by Member States for fundraising purposes. The Secretariat expects that the online portal will be functional by the second quarter of 2018.

**Performance Management**

90. Each CSP will include a logical results framework. WFP will develop and budget for a country-level monitoring and evaluation plan – a component of its performance management system – as part of each CSP. The plan will be discussed with government counterparts and other partners. Overall performance of CSPs will be assessed in accordance with the Corporate Results Framework. Progress towards gender equality and other cross-cutting corporate results will also be assessed.

91. In line with international norms, the monitoring of SDG indicators and of selected national, subnational and thematic indicators will be the responsibility of national authorities with the assistance of international organizations.²⁶

92. WFP’s performance management will involve tracking outcome, process, output and activity indicators. Outcome and output achievement values will be reported on in annual performance reports at the country and global level. Key performance indicators for organizational effectiveness, efficiency and economies will also be established, capturing value-for-money considerations. These indicators will be monitored through various managerial systems and processes and will also be reported on in country and global level annual performance reports.²⁷

93. All outcome, output and process indicators relating to individual/people will be disaggregated by sex and age. The main purpose of monitoring will be to ensure that operational decision-making and revisions to the CSP are evidence-based. Monitoring, reviews and evaluations will support accountability, with findings and lessons being used to refine WFP’s work and future engagement, helping ensure that future assistance is informed by reliable evidence.

94. Under the management of the Office of Evaluation, all CSPs, other than ICSPs, will undergo country portfolio evaluations towards the end of their implementation period, to assess progress and results against intended CSP outcomes and objectives, including towards gender equity and other cross-cutting corporate results; and to identify lessons for the design of subsequent country-level support. Without prejudice to the independent selection of Country Portfolio Evaluations by the Office of Evaluation in line with the Evaluation Policy, Interim CSPs will undergo decentralized reviews as appropriate.

95. For all CSPs, decentralized evaluations of selected CSP components and appropriate decentralized reviews will be managed by WFP at the country level with support of the relevant regional bureau as needed. All CSPs, other than ICSPs, will undertake a decentralized mid-term review. Findings and recommendations from all reviews and evaluations will inform annual resource-based country operational planning exercises to ensure that timely mid-course improvements are made as necessary. Monitoring systems will track progress towards planned outcomes and measure progress towards gender equality and other cross-cutting corporate

---


²⁷ Reporting procedures and principles will be elaborated in the Corporate Results Framework (CRF).
results. The required monitoring, evaluations and reviews will be included in CSP budgets and resourced accordingly.

Risk Management
96. All CSPs will include analysis of the risks associated with their implementation and mitigation measures. The analyses will take into account the country context and will assess institutional, programmatic and security risks. The mitigating measures will seek to maintain WFP’s strategic direction as set out in the CSP in the event that an anticipated risk transpires.

Transitional Arrangements
97. WFP country offices that are able to submit a CSP for Board approval by February 2018, should prepare a CSP informed by a national zero hunger strategic review before that date. Other country offices must prepare and submit an Interim CSP by 31 December 2017. ICSPs based on previously approved project documents will serve as a bridge to full CSPs during the transition phase, and will help country offices to establish and implement uniform programmatic, financial and operational systems in line with the new Strategic Plan. The Executive Director will start approving ICSPs in 2017 for implementation from 2018 for up to 18 months. Limited Emergency Operations will become operational on 1 January 2018.

98. The Secretariat is committed to piloting, refining and validating the Country Portfolio Budget model in 2017 to support the CSP approach. This period will enable close consultation with partners regarding system readiness and allow for the development of business solutions before WFP moves to broader implementation in 2018.

99. The first Board approvals of CSPs, and the piloting of their associated Country Portfolio Budgets are expected to occur at EB.1/2017. The Secretariat will report back to the Board on implementation of the CSPs with pilot Country Portfolio Budgets, along with any recommendations for the further refinement of the underlying programmatic and financial framework based on their implementation.28

100. The CSPs with pilot Country Portfolio Budgets would apply the new programmatic and financial framework; this would cause certain inconsistencies with provisions of WFP’s current General Rules and Financial Regulations, which refer to existing programme categories.29 Thus, the Board will need to authorize, for the sole purpose of CSPs with pilot Country Portfolio Budgets approved during the transitional period between EB.1/2017 through 31 December 2017, certain derogations from WFP’s General Rules and Financial Regulations;30 in particular the Board would authorize the temporary application to CSPs with pilot Country Portfolio Budgets of provisions in the WFP General Rules and Financial Regulations referring to existing programme categories as if they referred to CSPs.

28 The piloting of Country Portfolio Budgets will occur in 2017 only. Accordingly, the pilot Country Portfolio Budget components of CSPs approved by the Board in 2017 will become Country Portfolio Budgets without the need for further Board consideration or approval, unless necessary, once the revised normative and financial framework is introduced in 2018. Thereafter, these CSPs will be governed by the financial and normative framework to be finalized and approved by the Board at EB.2/2017.

29 A preliminary indicative list of General Rules and Financial Regulations that may be affected includes: General Rule II.2; General Rule X.2; General Rule X.7; General Rule X.8; General Rule XIII.2; Financial Regulation 1.1; Financial Regulation 4.2; Financial Regulation 8.1; Financial Regulation 9.3; Financial Regulation 10.1; Financial Regulation 10.2.

30 The Board is authorized to address such inconsistencies and derogate from the General Rules and Financial Regulations further to General Regulation VI.2.(b)(vi) and General Regulation VI.2.(b)(vii).
101. In addition, the CSP framework and the new financial framework will require the Board to revisit the Executive Director’s authorities in relation to programme approvals and revisions due to the fact that the existing delegation of authority to the Executive Director is based on the current programme categories and budgetary thresholds and not on the CSP framework. A revised delegation of authority structure, which will be informed by the implementation of the CSPs with pilot Country Portfolio Budgets over the course of 2017, will accordingly be presented to the Board at EB.2/2017 as part of the Financial Framework Review process. The provision under the General Regulations for joint decisions by the Executive Director and the Director-General of FAO will be retained. The Financial Framework Review (WFP/EB.2/2016/5-B/1) sets forth arrangements for the interim application of the delegation of authority to the Executive Director for the implementation of CSPs with pilot Country Portfolio Budgets in 2017.

102. The technical adjustments necessary for the introduction, beyond the pilot phase, of the revised programmatic and financial framework throughout WFP will require revision to WFP’s General Rules and Financial Regulations. The Secretariat will present proposals, which would be informed by the experience of the CSPs with pilot Country Portfolio Budgets, on these amendments at EB.2/2017 for the Board’s approval in respect of amendments related to this Policy on Country Strategic Plans and more specifically the revised programmatic terminology, so that the WFP General Rules and Financial Regulations can accommodate the CSP framework.

Ensuring Success

103. Transition management: A roadmap will be prepared to ensure timely and successful transition from project documents to CSPs, taking into consideration country-specific project cycles and timelines. Progress and developments will be reported on through tailored communications for all stakeholders – Board membership, governments, donors, staff, partners and beneficiaries. Regional bureaux and country offices will have central roles in leading the transition.

104. WFP-wide synergies: Collaboration and synergies across WFP will be essential in ensuring that the redesigned system is fully functioning by 1 January 2018.

105. Guidance and training for implementation: In line with the People Strategy, guidance and training on the design and implementation of CSPs will be provided to staff. Gaps in human resources will be filled and the transition process will generate lessons for improving implementation.

Operationalization of WFP’s Principles

106. Integration of WFP principles: Recognizing that WFP must operate in accordance with certain principles as a part of the United Nations, the formulation and implementation of CSPs will adhere to WFP’s principles. Anti-fraud/anti-corruption, protection and “do-no-harm” and related measures will be considered during the joint design and implementation of programmes with governments and partners.

107. WFP values: The WFP core values stated in Annex II of the Strategic Plan (2017–2021) reflect WFP’s commitments to the Standards of Conduct for the International Civil Service (2013) and the WFP Code of Conduct (2014). The core values also affirm WFP’s commitments to humanitarian principles, the centrality of protection of and accountability to affected populations, and the ideals enshrined in the United Nations Charter.

108. Implementation of cross-cutting and related measures: Gender equality and women’s empowerment as provided under WFP’s Gender Policy (2015–2020) will be incorporated into CSPs; impacts of climate, environmental and other cross-cutting issues will be considered in accordance with WFP’s climate, environment and other relevant policies during their formulation and implementation.

---

31 See the Delegation of Authority Appendix to the General Rules.
32 WFP/EB.A/2015/5-A, as may be amended and updated from time to time.
### Acronyms Used in the Document

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COMP</td>
<td>country operation management plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP</td>
<td>country programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRF</td>
<td>Corporate Results Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSP</td>
<td>Country Strategic Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEV</td>
<td>development project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMOP</td>
<td>emergency operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAO</td>
<td>Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGO</td>
<td>non-governmental organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCHA</td>
<td>United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRRO</td>
<td>protracted relief and recovery operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QCPR</td>
<td>Quadrennial Comprehensive Policy Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG</td>
<td>Sustainable Development Goal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO</td>
<td>special operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDAF</td>
<td>United Nations Development Assistance Framework</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>