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Monitoring generates evidence for decision-making and accountability

Monitoring

Improving operational performance

- Learning
  - Making use of Lessons

- Operational Decision-making
  - Project design and revision

- Reporting
  - Baseline, target, achievement values

Evaluation

Improving accountability

- External
  - EB + donors
  - Partners & Beneficiaries

- Internal
  - Staff
WFP’s Normative Framework for Monitoring

- Strategic Results Framework
- Standard Operating Procedures
- SRF Business Rules
- Minimum Monitoring Requirements
Strengthening Monitoring: Recent Progress

- Updating of the **full suite of corporate guidance** materials for monitoring;
- Development of new guidance materials on **outcome monitoring and Third Party Monitoring**;
- Development of new guidance package for **M&E in emergencies** (forthcoming);
- Development of norms, standards, guidance and tools to broaden coverage and improve the quality of reviews.
- Provision of **funds to support monitoring activities** at regional and country levels including $1.2 million to support activities of the RMEAs;
- Provision of **$900,000 in grants to 21 COs for the strengthening of outcome measurement** and reporting;

- Development of **Regional monitoring capacity** assessments and strategies
- **Training on the new SRF indicators** in all Regions, targeting all CO and RB M&E staff;
- Launch of a **M&E Learning Programme (MELP)**;
- Scale-up in the **use of remote technologies in 10 ‘self-starter’ countries**;
- Full roll-out of **COMET Design module** and partial roll out of the Implementation module;
COMET modules

**Design Module**
Assist in creating Project **logframe**

Recording your **M&E results** over time

- Strategic Objectives
- Outcomes

**Implementation Module**
Assist in creating **Plans** at different levels.

Monitoring operational progress and reporting on actual achievements at **outputs** level

**Monitoring Module**
Broader M&E features to support monitoring planning, beneficiary feedback, mobile data collection and analysis, links with VAM assessment and surveillance information, etc.
Key systemic weaknesses still to be tackled

- Inconsistent **fund allocation** from project budgets to conduct monitoring at country level in-line with the Normative Framework

- Insufficient numbers and **capacity** of Monitoring staff at country level

- **Prioritization of monitoring** by managers
Thank you!